

Surveyor's Office.

J. E. EUBANKS

Received as stated \$

400

JUN 12 1903

W. B. ANTHONY,

RECEIVER

Hon. John. T. Terrell,

Austin, Texas,

Dear Sir:-

ago.

A few weeks ^{ago} I was instructed by you to send in a connecting line showing the relative positions of the Knott land, Matador Cattle Co. Blk. No., the Homesteads adjacent, and the J. Poitevent Blk. 2.

Accompanying this is a connecting line and sketch which I hope you will find sufficient information to enable you to pass upon the school files that these lands surround. You will observe the sketch is as yet only a skeleton. The work that I have done formerly will be moved out of connection with this, and the work recently removed from the Land Office by parties living in that district will follow in a few weeks. I can soon as I can work it up. I will then copy in a full sketch.

I hear ^a the work on the Poitevent land at the mouth of Tom Bull Creek at the point X described by Capt. Kenny and which you have now on file. In his connecting line in Block 1.

Respectfully yours,

M O \$4⁰⁰ am
6/22/03 or later

J. E. Eubanks

surveyor motley

counter 31993

connection by
State Survey R M 6/22/03
filed June 1/1903

Matador, Texas, June 16, 1903.

See

Meander Connecting Line on North Pease River,

Surveyed May 28 - June 2, 1903. Magnetic Variation $10^{\circ}30' E$

Beginning at a stone mound 20 vrs South of a point from which a forked Cottonwood marked \bar{X} old mark and \bar{X} new mark on west bank near mouth of Tom Ball Creek brs $\underline{\text{South } 68^{\circ} \text{ E. } 510 \text{ vrs}}$, Thence $S.83^{\circ}35' E.$ at 520 vrs a pt. from which the N.E. cor. of survey No. 23, T&P R.R. Co., Blk. 4, as located by M.E. Ragsdale brs $N.1^{\circ}20' W.69$ vrs. at 965 vrs a pt. at east bank at mouth of Connies Creek, - Thence $S.25^{\circ}30' E.$ ^{at 944 vrs. pt. for N.E. cor. of survey No. 1.} $\lambda^{\circ}15' 1$ vrs. to a white stone Mld. another stone Mld. in mesquite bushes brs $S.35^{\circ}15' W. 34$ vrs Meas. $2 \frac{1}{2}$ " dia. \bar{X} brs $S.22^{\circ}10' W. 19$ vrs, both objects at N.W. cor. of Sand point. Center round Khowl Brs S. $45^{\circ} 10'$ W. red bluff on N. side river brs $N. 51^{\circ} 30' W.$ ^{at 1571 vrs. pt. for N.E. cor. sur. no. 2.} Thence N. $58^{\circ}10' E.$ 1120 vrs pt. Thence N. $38^{\circ}10' E.$ ^{at 1551 vrs} Pt. Stone Mld. made by T.H. Seay for N.E. cor. of Survey No. 2, Blk. No. 2. J. Poitevent

2 brs $N.41^{\circ}27' W. 53^{\circ}3/3$ vrs- a chitum "dia. on sand bank Mld. \bar{X} brs N. $35^{\circ}41'$ W. 180 vrs. red end of hill brs $S.26^{\circ} 55' W.$ south point of red bluff big $22^{\circ}30'$.

Thence N. $43^{\circ}25' E.$ 1387 vrs, Pt. n. sun. hill - Thence North $20' E.$ $14^{\circ} 2$ vrs to a stone Mld. on small hill top $22^{\circ}15' W.$ $1/2$ vrs down on north side and near end of large hill brs $S.25^{\circ} 55' W.$ 100 vrs to a rock 200 vrs. red bluff brs N. $50^{\circ}27' W.$

3 Thence N. $68^{\circ}10' E.$ 1065 vrs, a $11^{\circ} 15'$ off road in Seay Connecting line at his first station in the North Boundary line of Survey No. 4, brs $N.30^{\circ} 51' W.$ 56 vrs, Thence North $20' E.$ $11^{\circ} 15'$ vrs. to a stone Mld. on east bluff of river Bank - a catt brs, N. $42^{\circ} 10' W.$ 5 vrs (thin catt. marked \bar{X} on low face). Thence N. $20' E.$ $11^{\circ} 15'$ vrs. to a stone Mld. a chitum in cluster 3" dia. + brs S. $28^{\circ} 10' W. 13^{\circ} 45'$ vrs. N. $1^{\circ} 30'$ E. $1^{\circ} 40'$ W / about 130 vrs a red bluff point brs N. $4^{\circ} E.$ ^(at 22 vrs. pt. for N.E. cor. sur. 2.) ^{at 969 vrs. pt. for N.E. cor. 5.} Thence N. $20' E.$ $11^{\circ} 15'$ vrs pt. Thence N. $20' E.$ $11^{\circ} 15'$ vrs. to a stone Mld. a chitum in cluster 3" dia. + brs S. $28^{\circ} 10' W. 13^{\circ} 45'$ vrs. N. $1^{\circ} 30'$ E. $1^{\circ} 40'$ W / about 130 vrs a red bluff point brs N. $4^{\circ} E.$ ^(at 22 vrs. pt. for N.E. cor. sur. 2.) ^{at 969 vrs. pt. for N.E. cor. 5.}

Thence North \bar{X} with meanders of river. 1386 vrs. N. $72^{\circ} 10' W.$ 620 vrs. N. cor. of survey No. 5 by Ragsdale survey. A catt brs, N. $42^{\circ} 10' W.$ 5 vrs (thin catt. marked \bar{X} on low face). Thence N. $20' E.$ $11^{\circ} 15'$ vrs. pass touching west side of a cottonwood of 10 m. \bar{X} marked \bar{X} at 130 vrs. to a forked catt. 1" dia. brs S. $28^{\circ} 10' W. 13^{\circ} 45'$ vrs. N. $1^{\circ} 30'$ E. $1^{\circ} 40'$ W / about 130 vrs. ^(at 44 vrs. pt. for N.E. cor. survey No. 6.)

Thence N. $20' E.$ $11^{\circ} 15'$ vrs. same line from which a white stone Mld. 1" dia. on N. side of river. 1386 vrs. red bluff about 100 vrs dist. brs N. $51^{\circ} 30' W.$ 5 vrs.

Page 2.

A stone md. and X cut in soft red bluff brs N. $14^{\circ}07'$ W. overhanging cap-stone on red bluff brs S. $40^{\circ}32'$ W. another more distant brs S. $45^{\circ}08'$ W.

Thence North $73^{\circ} 15'$ E. with meanders of river 950 vrs a point, The nce N. $19^{\circ}24'$ E. 2925 vrs Pt. for N.E.Cor of Sur. No. 7.

Thence N. $19^{\circ}24'$ E. 1220 vrs to a cotton wood on East bank of river Mkd.

X X , Thence N. $64\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ E. 208 vrs Pt. Thence N. $61^{\circ}15'$ E 168 vrs to a the rock Md. $4\frac{1}{2}$ vrs from a tree hackberry as described in M.E.Ragsdales Field Notes at N.E.Cor of Survey No. 7, Thence N. 80° E. 468 vrs, PT. Thence S. $65\frac{1}{2}$ E. 760 vrs a Pt. 66 vrs East of a set stone, from which stone a Cott. brs S. 44° W. $22^{\circ}2/3$ vrs (marked $\frac{X}{2}$),

Thence S. 43° E. at 12 vrs a Pt. for N.E.Cor. of survey No. 8. at 1222 vrs Pt. Thence S. $75\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ E 1102 vrs a stone Md. a mesquite post set by G.H.Chipman brs S. 33° E. $57\frac{3}{4}$ vrs south pt of bluff brs, N. $41\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ W. crevice in bluf brs S. $54^{\circ}04'$ W. a rock marked X. brs S. $88^{\circ}10'$ E. about $\frac{1}{2}$ mile.

Thence S. 84° E. at 8 vrs a Pt for N.E.Cor of Survey No. 9. at 425 vrs a Pt. Thence N. $47^{\circ}6' 56'$ E. at 624 $\frac{1}{2}$ vrs Marble colored gyp rock marked X at foot of red bluff at south bank of river 1 at 1 80 vrs pass mouth of Antelope creek at 1476 vrs pass cott tree mkd. 1476 at 1617 vrs a Pt. from which a cott. brs S. $63\frac{3}{4}^{\circ}$ E. Thence N. $61^{\circ} 37'$ E. 514 vrs Pt. 15 vrs N. of a stone Mkd. X.B. from which Pt Acott. tree brs S. $63^{\circ}18'$ W. 55vrs Do. brs S. $20^{\circ}15'$ E. 16 vrs T.H.Seays N.E.Cor. for No. 10 brs N. $77^{\circ}35'$ W. 101 vrs.

Thence N. 40° E. at 13 vrs a Pt. for N.E.Cor of survey No. 10, at 1363 vrs Pt. Thence South $82^{\circ}15'$ E. 739 vrs Pt. Thence South 37° E. 496 vrs a stone Md. another stone Md. Brs S. $4^{\circ}16'$ W.a Do. brs S. $0^{\circ}03'$ E. both about 500 vrs Dist a Mesq. brs N. $77^{\circ}18'$ W. 147 vrs.

Thence S. $49^{\circ}30'$ E. at 11 vrs a Pt for N.E.Cor of Survey No. 11 at 1474 vrs a Pt on a large Gyp rock marked X. a gyp rock in bank marked X. brs S. 23° E. 14 vrs ,Thence N. $63^{\circ}45'$ E. 16 vrs a Pt. Thence East at 54 vrs a Pt for N.E.Cor of survey No. 12 at 99 vrs a pt. from which a stone Md. brs S. 95° W. Do. brs S. $52^{\circ}30'$ W. Do. brs South 61 vrs, a hackberry brs, S. $11^{\circ}05'$ W. 49 vrs.

Thence S. $73^{\circ}45'$ E. 1074 vrs Pt. a mesquite brs S. $51^{\circ}30'$ W. $7\frac{1}{2}$ vrs Thence N. $90^{\circ}30'$ E. at 835 vrs a Pt. on S. bank of river, for N.E.Cor of Sur. No. 13. from which a stone pile in draw brs N. $80^{\circ}30'$ E. 46 vrs , from which stone pile a cott. brs S. $5^{\circ}07'$ E. 97 vrs. a three prong cott. brs,

N. $80^{\circ}13' E.$ 135 vrs, a Hby. brs N. $45^{\circ}30' E.$ $86\frac{1}{4}$ vrs, fork of Double Cott. both prongs mkd. \times brs 4 S. $45^{\circ}18'$ E. 49 vrs, stone Md on Hill Brs, S. 874 vrs.

*Arrived to
N.G. Knott's
Survey*

Thence South with East line of No. 13 at 337 vrs pass 150 vrs west of corner stone at NE. Cor of Motley Co. at 747 vrs pass 36 vrs east of a stone Md. on Hill, pass ~~about~~ ^{43'} ~~RT~~ vrs west of stone md on hill at 776 vrs, and at 2054 vrs pass 18 vrs East of stone Md. used and described by T.H.Seay in his connecting line as S.E.Cor of No. 13, at 2402 vrs a pt, for S.E.Cor of No. 13. Thence West with South line of 13, at 310 vrs cross west line of sur. no. 61, for F.P.Knott. at 1332 vrs a Pt. Thence South. 1485 vrs. a Pt. Thence West 147 vrs to a set stone the N.E.Cor of the J.J.Oates Homestead survey, from which stone a mesq. brs N. $59^{\circ}E.$ 146 vrs, Thence S. $0^{\circ}32' S.$ E. 956 vrs a set stone for N.E.Cor Oates Sur. Thence ~~W~~ S. $89^{\circ}28' W.$ 484 475 vrs set stone ~~W~~. S.W.Cor of Said Oates Survey, Thence S. $0^{\circ}32' E.$ 1425 vrs set stone ~~W~~ S.E.Cor of J.S.Thomas Survey, from which a Hby on high rock bluff Brs. S. $58^{\circ}30' E.$ 172 vrs Thence S. $89^{\circ}28' W.$ 950 vrs set stone S.W.Cor said Thomas Survey. Thence South 1909 vrs to a point in North line of survey No. 10 M.C.Co. Blk. M. Thence N. $89^{\circ}35' E.$ 262 vrs to N.E.Cor of same, Thence south $0^{\circ}25' E.$ at 700 vrs cross Sisk Creek. at 950 vrs pass a stone Md (very old) on east side of creek, from which a cott, stump(badly decayed) brs S. $40^{\circ}NW$ 150 vrs, a red bluff point across creek brs N. $75^{\circ}W.$ Continuing the course at 1307 vrs a Pt. in ^{North} ~~west~~ line of Sur. No. 146 M.C.Co. Blk. M. (to continue the East line of No 10, at 1900 vrs you arrive at a small gulch, to then follow the S. line of same I. cross a Large canyon at 108, and 1050 vrs.) Beginning at the Point arrived at in North line of 146. Thence ,N. $89^{\circ}35' E.$ at 664 vrs the original N.E. Cor of said No. 146. marked by a stone md and cedar stake, a gyp rock in natural bed brs N. $15^{\circ}W.$ $41\frac{1}{2}$ vrs, mkd. ^{N.E.} a gyp rock in ledge brs N. $45^{\circ}W.$ a gyp rock in ravine brs S. $42^{\circ}E.$ Thence N. $89^{\circ}35' E.$ 1413 vrs a stone Md. and cedar stake the original ^ACor. of Sur. 149, where this Connecting line meets My line brought west from the East side of F.P.Knott Block.

Jerry Kelley, George Blackshear, I.E.Boren,)

W.M.Bethany, J.R.Williams, E.S.Eubank,) Chain Carrier

I J.E.Eubank Surveyor of Motley County Texas, Do hereby Certify that the foregoing survey was made by me on the ground that the marks natural and artificial*are truly described just as I found them on the ground.

The foregoing is recorded in my office in the School Land Record, ^{book} on page 308 to 403.

Connecting Line, Between F.P.Knott Block and Blk. M. for
M.C.Co. Surveyed May 25 - 27, 1903, Mag. Var. $9^{\circ}15' E.$
Beginning at the S.E.Cor of Survey No.1, mkd. by a stone Md. from which
a cott brs N. $58^{\circ} E.$ 280 vrs, mkd. ~~X~~ old mark, a Do. brs N. $38^{\circ} E.$ Mkd.
Z (269vrs), Centre of peak brs S. ~~to~~ $89^{\circ} W.$ about 700 vrs, South Pease
river is S. about 150 vrs. Thence Nth at 750 vrs Cross Cotton Wood Creek.
at 1900 vrs pt. for N.E.Cor of Sur. No. 1. Thence Nth at 775 vrs pass 200
vrs west of a cave in prairie. 72 feet in dia. and 06 feet deep, this cave
is a land mark for this Survey No. 4 and by its position determines an
excess of 25 vrs. From the S.E.Cor of No. 1, this cave and the identified
corner where I began I use to determine the variation of the block as they
are the only points that I can identify. Continuing the course N. at
1925 vrs a pt for N.E. Cor. of Sur. No. 4.

Thence West 5700 vrs, Ft S.W.Cor of No. 20, Thence North 3800 vrs Pt.
for N.E.Cor of Survey No. 24. Thence West.11400 vrs Pt for S.W.Cor Sur
No. 1, G.T.Knott. Thence North at 1900 vrs pass ah iron pipe Mkd. K.L.I.
at 2220 vrs Pt. Thence west 50 vrs a stone pile and cedar stake the origin-
al N.E.Cor of survey No. 14 M.C.Co.

(The fore going is truly describing the corners and boundaries just as
I found them on the ground.)

Recorded in School Land record book. Page 405;

J. E. Lubank

Surveyor of Motley County Texas

John McAdams, } Chain carriers.
Amos Cook,

Filed June 22nd 1903,

John J. Terrell
Commissioner

See 16 Texas page 439 ~~Williams vs Simpson~~
See 84 Texas page 291 - 489
a 64 a page 302 & 3. ~~Done Done~~
as to recovery of Blks 1 & 2
of Poitevent on both Pease river. over

Sketch file "D"
Motley Co.

N.E. corner of
County Sketch and
boundary connecting said by
E. G. Lubbank Co. Sun M Co.
on North Peale river from
N. E. corner as established
by R. M. Kerney State Survey
and so recognized by all the
old settlers, from whence a forked
Cottonwood near mouth of
Gam Ball Cr. in S 68 E 580 m.

Filed June 22/03
Julia J. Durrell
Conover
by E. G. Lubbank
of Lubbank

The survey by M. C. Rassdale, State Surveyor of Dakota
3 & 4 T & R.R. and Banks 1 & 2, located on Granite or
North Peale river, is not in accordance with the old original recognized
corner corner of sur. 23 Sketch & Sur. 1 Blk 1, distant about 600 m
N. W. from mouth of Gam Ball creek, he Rassdale places said corner
about 520 m East at mouth of Gam Ball creek, thereby creating a conflict
between patented surveys no. 82 & 83 H. H. Campbell S. 57 E 19 & 20 and surveys
17 & 72 Blk 1 C. G. B. & N. R. R. Co. S - 126 S 28 E 56 & E 55 2082 reduce
his work should not be recognized. See field note of
connecting line by E. Lubbank Co. Sun Motley Co. in the file
going Aug. 22/03

~~See recent explanation & connecting line by E. Lubbank
dated November 12/03 and filed in the office Nov 15/03 attached
to this. & send R. Campbell~~

~~Aug 22/03~~

~~See recent explanation & connecting line by E. Lubbank
dated November 12/03 and filed in the office Nov 15/03 attached
to this. & send R. Campbell~~



General Land Office.

State of Texas.

Austin.

November 9, 1903.

JOHN J. TERRELL, COMMISSIONER.
J.T. ROBISON, CHIEF CLERK.

Mr. J. E. Bubank,
County Surveyor of Motley Co.,
Matador, Texas.

Dear Sir:

I return you herewith correct field notes of F.E.Bain, survey No. 4, block FF in N.E. part of Motley county. The Calculator finds the same does not close by an error in latitude of 344 varas.

In examining the field notes of your connecting line and sketches, I find M.E.Ragsdale, in his resurvey of blocks 3 and 4 T. & P.R.R.Co. and blocks 1 and 2, J.Poitevent on Quitaque or North Pease river, is not in accordance with the old original recognized common corner of survey No. 113, blk. 3, survey No. 23, blk. 4, and survey No. 1, blk. 1, distant about 600 vrs. N.W. from the mouth of Tom Ball creek. You state that you begin "at a stone mound 20 vrs. South of a point from which a forked cottonwood mk'd X old mark and X new mark on West bank near mouth of Tom Ball creek brs. S68 E. 510 vrs. Thence S 83 35' E at 529 vrs. a point from which the N.E. corner of survey #23 T. & P.R.R.Co. blk. 4, as located by M.E.Ragsdale, brs. N 1 30' W. 69 vrs." &c., thereby creating a conflict between patented surveys #82 and 83 H.H.Campbell and patented surveys Nos. 17 and 72 blk. J.B. for G. B. & C. N.G.R.R.Co., hence his (R) work should not be recognized.

You have indicated on your supplement sketch the conflict. I disagree with you as to the construction of surveys 82 and 83. They are not governed by the J.Poitevent block, survey No. 82, as patented calls to begin "at the N.W. corner of survey #15 by virtue of G.B. & C.N.G.R.R.Co. This point is also on East line of survey #1, Blk. 2,



General Land Office.

State of Texas.

Austin.

JOHN J. TERRELL, COMMISSIONER.
J.T. ROBISON, CHIEF CLERK.

J.E.B.#2.

and is marked by a stone mound from which a Cottonwood tree 30" brs. S 2 E. 180 vrs., little red hill brs. N. 51 E 1/4 mile. Thence North 320 vrs. to gypsum rock, thence East 1900 vrs. a stone in earth mound between flat and North Pease" &c. Now in the absence of the above described original corners, this survey should be held intact with block J.B. and not to block 2 J.Poitevent.

All the surveys made under the act of February 23, 1900 based on Ragsdale resurvey work, will be suspended for the time being, except the surveys for B. F. Moore (S.F. 5567, 68, 69, 70). They will be examined in due course of time.

Just as soon as we hear from Capt. R. M. Kenney as to the true position of block T.B.S. & F. and block JB, G.B. & C.N.G.R.R.Co. and connected up with original corner on Pease river, that has the forked Cottonwood bearing at mouth of Tom Ball creek and to the Ragsdale corners, I will then take up the entire matter.

Very respectfully,

H.vonRosenberg (JEB)
Sketch file "D" Motley Co.

Acting Commissioner.

Capt. R. M. Kenney, Matador, Texas.
This carbon is for your information.

126

Matador Texas. Nov. 12, 1903.

Hon. John. J.Terrell,
Austin Texas.

Dear Sir:-

I am in receipt of yours of 9, Inst. in which you returna copy of F.N. made for F.F.Bain for corrections , on refering to my map I find that the run of 2008 vrs, should have been 2354 vrs it being the length of the East line of Survey No. 5, J.Poitevent less the 578 vrs of that line that is included in the F.N. to Survey No. 2. of Blk. F.F., this still leaves a difference of 2 vgs in Latitude But that occurs in the calculation of the two runs of S. 89 50'W., the latitude there by your calculator is made in each case 2 vrs it figures 2.76 vrs and being so much nearer 3 vrs than 2 vrs I had so used it and that gave a balance . I have corrected the F.N. sent you as indicated above, the record here being already correct, and hope you will find it satisfactory.

Now I will make an explanation of some points in that section of country, in which I see you do not understand my construction. At first I made a survey of four sections for F.F.Bain and in accordance with the Ragsdale Survey, and also one Field Note for B.F.Simpson on same theory, after this Mr. Kenney informed me of the discrepancy in that system in its relation to the original work at the mouth of Tom Ball Creek, and at about this time I was asked by the land Office to furnish a connecting line between some known point in J.Poitevent Land and the F.P.Knott Land,

In view of this fact I began at the original N.W.Corner of survey No. 1, Blk. 2 J.Poitevent and meandered the river to the N.E.Corner of survey No. 13, continuing the line away ffom the river to the S.E.Corner of survey 1, F.P.Knott, then all the work I did in the vacancy was in accordance with this connecting line, following the same theory in the Poitevent Land that was used in the Ragsdale Survey, I then corrected survey #2 & 4, for F.F.Bain and the survey for B.F.Simpson known as # 27 Blk. Mc.to my ~~to my~~ construction of the Poitevent Land , the other surveys of the first work not being affected by the change, and so the whole system is entirely independent of the of the Ragsdale Survey.

As to the H.H.Campbell # 82& 83, the theory of construction for Blk. T. B.S.&F.and Blk. J.B., of the G.B.&C.N.G. as furnished by you some two months ago places the west line of survey No. 1, blk. J.B,some 600vrs west of the west line of survey No, 1 blk. 2.J.P. and this correspondingly moves all the surveys in blk. J.B. west with reference to the poitevent Land. (In matter of fact their position as shown on the lithograph maps is not materially changed but the whole northern part of Motley County ^{north} is shown on those maps about 600 vrs too far west) , it is this construct^m that throws the Campbell Land into conflict with #72 & 17, and not the fact that I constructed the Campbell surveys with reference to the poitevent land under Ragsdale Survey (Which I did not do) as is your idea. In fact the S.W.Cor of Survey No. 82 is well marked on the ground and it is this corner that I use from which to construct the Campbell surveys and I do not use either the Blk. 2 nor Blk.J.B. in this construction.

It is a fortunate coincidence however that the west line of No. 82 is identical with the East line of No. Blk.2, and has a traditional value in helping to identify the point used as N.W.Cor. of No.1, byme, since it shows that a Number of years ago when this(C) work was done and the other work was comparatively new the Surveyor used the same point.

Enclosed I furnish you a connecting line between the N.E.Cor. of No. 1, Blk. T,B.S.&F.and the S.W.Cor of #82 H.H.Campbell, And if you would care to make a reinvestigation of my work in that portion of the county, with what light this explanation might throw on it, I think you will find that you have all data necessary to passing on the same and relieve the settlers of the suspense of waiting, Thanking you for whatever attention you may give this matter I am,

Respectfully yours,

J. E. Lubank,

Surveyor of Motley County.

P.S.The Mc Adams and Cook claims recently passed on by you are a part of this same system of work, and are encumbered with all the questions that the other lands are ~~in~~ the construction of the river surveys-

Yours,

J.E.E.

counter 31990

CONNECTING LINE BETWEEN N.E.COR.SUR.NO.1.BLK.T.,B.S.&.F.and
S.W.COR. SUR. NO. 82.H.H.CAMPBELL.

Beginning at the point located by State Surveyor R.M.Kenney as N.E.Cor. of Survey No. 1., B.S.&F.Blk. T. under instructions from Commissioner of the General Land Office, a stone md brs S. $23^{\circ}12'$ E. 68.5 vrs, Thence N. $54^{\circ}55' E. 215$ vrs. Thence N. $79^{\circ}15' E. 95.4$ vrs. Thence N. $78^{\circ}25' E. 329.6$ vrs to a stone md on ridge made by Capt. Kenney, for N.E.Corner of said No. 1 under the theory of constructing Blk. T. from N.E.Cor #23 Blk. 4.near mouth of Tom Ball Creek. Thence N. $31^{\circ} E.$ at 1790 vrs a gyprock on top of white hill at 4367 vrs a point. Thence N. 383 vrsa pt. Thence N. $50^{\circ}52' W.$, 60 vrs to an old stone Md.the original S.W.Cor of Survey No. 82. H.H.Campbell, from which a red round hill brs. N. $51^{\circ} E.$ about 1/4 mile and a large Cott. with old marks brs S. $2^{\circ} E$ 170 vrs , the Cott. is in a creek about 100 vrs below forks Thence N. 320 vrs a point, Thence East 1900vrs a point. Thence N. 470 vrs a Pt. for N.N.W.Cor of # 82, as used in F.N. of S.F. for No. 24, Blk. Mc. for A.J.Smith.

I J.E.Eubank, Surveyor of Motley County do hereby certify that the foregoing Survey was made on the ground and that the marks natural and artificial are described just as I found them on the ground.

Surveyed Sept. 25, 1903.

Bearings marked X

J.B.Foster,)
W.H.Denney.)
(Chain Carriers.

I further Certify that they are recorded in Homestead Record on Page 301. in motley County Texas.

This 12, day of Nov. 1903.

J.E.Eubank,.....

Surveyor of Motley County Texas.

436
473

counter 31991

Sketch
"D'" &
"D": Mol

Motley

* 2.

Sketch file "D"
~~Motley County~~
N. E. corner of lot
Letter of Explanation
and affidavit of
conducting line
by J. S. Culbank Co.
Dear [Signature]
Filed No 16/03
J. S. Robison
Chief Elk. Acting Comm
by C. von Rosenberg
See SF 4980.82 & 83.
Sketch D
See State Surveyor R. M. Kennedy
report filed June 1905 and
mk'd. DD