

2715

MATADOR, TEXAS, May 26 <sup>1900</sup>  
1899.

Now Chas Rogan

Dear Sir:

I hand you herewith sketch  
and connecting line in Blocks No 3<sup>rd</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> T. & P. Blk T.  
P.S. & F. and Block M. W. Floyd Co.  
These lines have been laid on the ground with  
care, and painstaking and close up  
~~reasonable~~ well. Considering the topography  
of the country an extent of the work.

Object of the survey being to locate the  
position of each of these blocks of land prior to  
making surveys for applicants for surveys of un-  
surveyed School Land.

I desire to state briefly  
that we found two original corners in block 3<sup>rd</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup>  
Texas & Pacific R.R. Co. viz The corner or  
monument which is a reference point for  
the Beginning corner of our No 1. Blk<sup>3</sup> and the South  
East corner of survey ~~No~~ No 113 same blk.

We traced the meander calls for Pease  
river from point to point as called  
for in the original field notes  
Course for course & distance for  
counter 32036

2

distance, regardless of the present course of River. Such work resulted in a close terminal point to the original corner at the mouth of Tom Ball creek. which we found and identified to our entire satisfaction. Careful computation showed that with a change ~~of~~ <sup>in</sup> variation <sup>of</sup>  $0020'$  namely from  $N 10^{\circ} 20' E$  (which was used) to  $N 10^{\circ} 00' E$  we would have reached the point found and identified for original corner at mouth Tom ball, however there is 59 varus excess between the two original corners.

It is very evident that the original locator did not attempt to give a meander of the river at all, but put in the river by approximation, you may have a fair idea of same by noting sketch, Black lines represent the meanders of the official <sup>river</sup>, the red the river as it exists.

However we note that we pass the creeks about entering river

B

in good position as called for in notes  
With The position of Blk 3<sup>rd</sup> 4 thus as-  
certained we proceeded with work to  
locate Block T from the S.E. 1/3 24  
Blk ~~23~~ T. & P. We found the  
mountains bearing in proper course  
except slight deflection in one,  
but found all as close as usual in  
such readings; see description in notes.  
We also found position of 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> same Blk T  
on Pease river, <sup>officially Jones Creek</sup> good except a slight  
excess added say 60 varas between  
N.E. corner Sur. 1 and Corner No 24 T. & P.  
would give surveys 4+5 better position on river and  
said excess would not materially  
disturb the <sup>Relative</sup> bearings to the said  
N.E. corner of Sur No 1 Blk T.

At the S.E. Corner of survey no ~~33~~ 34 Blk  
T 33, 34 and T we found an irregularity  
which we desire to call your special attention  
At Course and distance for S.E. Cor.  
34 we found no evidence of the natural  
objects call for but were in the river

A  
in river valley as called, and also  
same line crossed creek about 1300 varas  
westward in good position for S. B. line sur. 34  
We give connection in notes + sketch with the  
point reputed to be the S. E. Corner of this  
survey 34 Tree call as bearing not  
found but find double stump, Mountain  
not in right position relative to corner  
point; and tree. (see notes.)

Desire to call special attention to the  
manner which our line conflicts with  
Homestead surveys on North Boundary this  
Block all or most of which are, or  
rather <sup>were</sup> surveyed there, from this S. E. cor  
sur. 34. <sup>stump cor</sup> And desire you would  
instruct me as to proper course to  
follow and <sup>to</sup> what extent I should  
recognize or use this corner in  
construction of this block. I ask this thinking  
that probably others have reported  
this corner to that office and that  
we may have all the information

possible at this point. If you desire further investigation of any particular line not reported in this work, will take the matter up and give you the result, because we desire an equitable adjustment of this matter. The parties owning Homesteads which lie in apparent conflict viewed from N.E. corner No 1 are not in conflict seriously from stump corner 34. The same parties owning these various Homestead surveys request me to ask if there is any way by which they may correct surveys out of this conflict, <sup>by roadway or otherwise</sup> It can be agreed by all parties settled on the unsurveyed land to the northward to any correction to be made by relocations which may be allowed by law.

T. H. SEAY,  
COUNTY SURVEYOR,  
MOTLEY COUNTY.

6  
MATADOR, TEXAS, \_\_\_\_\_ 1899.

Hoping to have an Early reply to  
the above mentioned matters  
I remain Respectfully,

T. H. Seay, Co. Sur.  
Molly Co.

Motley Co Sketch

11

Dennis Letter  
dated May 26, 1900.

Recd. 5/31/1900

Wise

TH Seay's Connecting lines

Filed 5/31/00

See letter to J. E. Eubank  
in letter book no.      page

2/5/03 -