

See field notes of connecting line surveyed May 8 & 9th 1880 by Jas H. Parks, Deputy Sur. Jack Ld. Dist. and filed in the Genl. Ld. Office Pely 7/84 in Motley County sketch files.
 2/2/05 D. von Rosenberg
 Examining Draftsman

The State of Texas,

Motley

County.

Survey No.

Block No.

Grantee

connecting line

CORRECTED FIELD NOTES of a re-survey of ~~xxx~~ acres of

land situated in Motley County, about miles

from center of County, and same being Section

No. Block No. Certificate No.

issued to

made by me as State Surveyor by virtue of Title LXXXIX, Chapter One, Revised

Civil Statutes of 1895.

Magnetic Variation 10.30

BEGINNING AT a stone md. the original S.E. corner #21 J.H.Gibson, by cont. 46.

Thence ^{South} 1900 vs. a point put check peg for S.E. 72 Thence ^{South} 1900 vs. a check peg for S.E. 71. The original notes call for a stone md. at this corner but I fail to find any. The pond call at 800 vs. is about right being E. of the line, but as it covers a good deal of ground, the call is not much good to locate a corner. Thence ^{South} at 1509 vs. the N. bank of a branch, course S.E. at 2083 vs. a stone set in the ground, to which I add an iron pipe marked S.E. #132. J.H.G. This places this corner 575 vs. S. of the N. bank of the branch and agrees with the call for the branch in the field notes of #133. running N. on its E. line. Thence ^{West} 1913 vs. a check peg for S.W. 132. J.H.G. Thence N. 59.1/4 W. 327 vs. a md. of cobble stone and gyp rock, the original N.W. corner #125. Blk. M. M.C.Co. We find the cott. Wd. bearings as called for in the original notes of #125. One of the trees is now in edge of large tank and has fallen down, the other at mouth of branch still stands. Thence ^{West} crossing Hby. creek, at 1900 vs. a point. Thence ^{South} 22 vs. to an old gyp rock md. the original N.W. corner of #124. Blk. M. Thence ^{South} 1892. 1/2 vs. a point. Thence ^{East} 21 vs. to old stone md. the original S.W. corner #124 Blk. M. Hby. 8" diam. (old mark) brs. N. 5.3/4 W. 45 vs. Thence ^{East} at 333 vs. cross Hby. creek C.N.E. at 1034 a prong of Hby. creek C.N.W. at 1901. 1/2 vs. a point. Thence ^{North} 13 vs. to a md. of cobble stone near head of short draw C.S.W. into a branch of Hby. creek Hby. tree (old mark) brs. S. 41.1/2 W. 80 vs.

to the place of beginning.

and Chain Carriers.


I, _____, State Surveyor, do hereby certify that the foregoing re-survey is correct; and that it was made by me in the field in accordance with law, and that the limits, boundaries and corners with the marks, natural and artificial, are truly and correctly described in the foregoing plat and field notes.

Re-surveyed _____ day of _____ 190_____

Approved:

State Surveyor.

Commissioner General Land Office.



The State of Texas,

Survey No.

Block No.

County. Grantee

CORRECTED FIELD NOTES of a re-survey of acres of land situated in County, about miles from center of County, and same being Section No. Block No. Certificate No. issued to made by me as State Surveyor by virtue of Title LXXXIX, Chapter One, Revised Civil Statutes of 1895.

Magnetic Variation 10.39

BEGINNING AT E. point of Hell's Gap Mt. S. 15° W. red hill S. 26° W.

We put iron pipe at this corner. Thence East 1900 vs. a point. Thence North 13 vs. iron pipe for S.E. 125 Blk. M. Thence East at 284 vs. cross a fence at 1900 vs. point, put check peg. Thence East at 1421 vs. cross fence at 1937 vs. a point. Thence South 37 vs. to a large md. of gyp rock, 80 vs. E. of the head of a canon, the original S.E. corner 127 Blk. M. Thence South 611 vs. a point. Thence East 1.1/2 vs. to a md. of gyp rock, the original S.E. corner #119 Blk. M. to which we add an iron pipe. The original and patented field notes of #119 call for this corner to be on the N. line #1. A.B. & M. and thence runs W. with the N. line Nos. 1. & 2. A.B. & M. 2285 vs. to a stone md. the N.W. corner #2. which is the Ell corner #119, I find this corner at 2270 vs. Thence begin at S.E. #119 M.C.Co. and run East at 1515 vs. put a pile of gyp rock for N.E. #1. cert. 33 A.B. & M. Thence East at 1688 vs. W. and left bank of Salt creek course nearly N. for about 150 vs. where it turns N.E. at 1763 vs. cross E. fence of the Joel Price pasture at 1900 vs. put a mesquite stake for N.E. #9. cert. 0/22 T.W.N.G.R.R.Co. This is where the locator of Blk. M. located the N.E. #9. in 1882 from the calls of salt creek, and the mouth of Tarrant's creek. The channel of salt creek and the mouth of Tarrant's creek seem to have changed some since the original location of #9. From the present appearance of the two creeks, I think the channel of Salt creek was about 100 vs. further N., and the mouth of Tarrant about 100 vs. W.

..... to the place of beginning. and Chain Carriers.

I, State Surveyor, do hereby certify that the foregoing re-survey is correct; and that it was made by me in the field in accordance with law, and that the limits, boundaries and corners with the marks, natural and artificial, are truly and correctly described in the foregoing plat and field notes.

Re-surveyed day of 190.....

Approved:

State Surveyor.

Commissioner General Land Office.

"note"
 Survey no. 9 T.W.M.G. R.R. Co. cont.
 #10/22 was surveyed April 27/76.
 with the N. S. cor. of no. 9 - about
 four years after no. 9 was made
 2/2/05
 B. von Rosenberg
 Examining Draftsman

The State of Texas,

Survey No.
 Block No.
 County. Grantee.....

CORRECTED FIELD NOTES of a re-survey of..... acres of

land situated in..... County, about..... miles

..... from center of County, and same being Section

No..... Block No..... Certificate No.....

issued to.....

made by me as State Surveyor by virtue of Title LXXXIX, Chapter One, Revised

Magnetic Variation..... 10.30

Civil Statutes of 1895.

BEGINNING AT..... of where they are now. So I take it that the locator

of Blk. M. five or six years after #9. was put on the ground had a

better opportunity to locate from these creek calls than one would

now after the lapse of 28 years. Hence I adopt his location, as being

very close to its original calls. Thence running ^{South} 1900 vs. I find

the S.E. corner of #9. is about 200 vs. W. of the E. prong ~~and~~ and 76 vs.

E. of W. ~~prong~~ or main prong of Tarrant creek. To locate #9. by its

calls from S.E. # 13 A.B. & M. would place it about 1/2 mile south and

(one 1/4 m)

1, 1/4 miles west of the mouth of Tarrant's creek. I will give exact distance

between these corners in my corrected field notes of the surveys

that are in conflict. By reference to my map it will be seen that

the system of surveys located by Jasper Hays is badly in conflict

with those located by Armstrong in 1875, and other surveyors ignoring

the calls for the creeks at the N.E. #9 have located the Hays system

by course and distance from the S.E. corner of # 13 A.B. & M. and the

result is that several of the patented surveys in Block M. M.C.Co.

are covered entirely, and at the same time by this mislocation, create

vacancies and then undertake to appropriate these lands, as in the

case of the three surveys made for Jno. Cunningham. As there is so

much depending on the location of #9 and as the position I have taken

in the matter has made quite a commotion among those interested,

I have gone into details and submit the facts to you as I find them

on the ground.

Very respectfully yours,

Jno. Hodges

Dred Corbett

to the place of beginning.

and

Chain Carriers.

I, R. M. Kenney

State Surveyor, do hereby certify that the foregoing re-survey is correct; and that it was made by me in the field in accordance with law, and that the limits, boundaries and corners with the marks, natural and artificial, are truly and correctly described in the foregoing plat and field notes.

Re-surveyed 19 day of Sept 1904

To Hon. J. J. Terrell Comr.

R. M. Kenney

State Surveyor.

Approved:

John J. Terrell
Commissioner General Land Office.

Examined and found correct
March 23/05. B. von Rosenberg
Examining Draftsman

Sketch E1

²⁵
Motle County
Sketch files

Field notes of connecting
line in S.E. corner of
Motle county by Stall
Survey R. M. Kenney.

Examined, approved and
filed March 23rd 1905.

See Sketch E Motley Co.
also large sketch by Stall Survey
R. M. Kenney of Dickens & Motley
cos. filed Aug. 9/05.
D. von Rosenberg
Draftsman

CORRECTED FIELD NOTES.

Survey No. _____

Grantee _____

County _____

Filed in Land Office this the _____

day _____

190 _____

Commissioner _____

100-6

counter 32178

E1