Sketch F. Motley Gor Sketches See connecting like accompanying this. Sketch to or filed with field votes for dan confenter # 13, Will wooly # 12,7 11, C.B. Scorborough # 1, 2, 3, 8. Lee Mc brady \$6, 6, 7, and some others to be filed later and will be referred to This sketch , This I day of dec. 1904, subiyon of molley co. File numbers in yellow by S. ventorenberg 1420 Filed Dec 16/1904 John Stirill -Courser. 12 13 7 10 497 6 Z 3 1826 \$ 2008 2008 2008 2008 Go. See Sketel In SF 67 48 counter 32, 179 g 4/25/05.

REPORT OF SURVEY IN S.E. PORTION OF MOTLEY COUNTY BY J.E.EUBANK, CO. SURV'r. To be filed in connection with Field Notes made for C.B.Scarborough, Lee McGrady, Will Wooly, Dan Carpenter, and others.

(Blk. G.) In the matter of the boundary on blk. G. I use the boundary location of Blk "G,"as determined by R.M.Kenney, State Surveyor, and have made no personal observations as to correctness of such location.

(R.H.Hanma) In the matter of the boundary on the R.H.Hanna land I locate it by virtue of its call for Blk. G. and as indicated by the accompanying sketch, I am convinced by observations that the S.E.Cor of Sur No. 1. R.H.Hanna cannot b be identefied by its call for a red mound.

(#247 B.S.&.F.) In the matter of the boundary on No. 247 B.S.&.F. I make the locations from the river calls at the N.W.Corner of survey #248 snae same cert. the other calls for river in 248 and 247 fit approximately close, Mr. Kenney has since adopted this location and set permanent marks at the East corners of No. 52 247, which marks (iron pipes) I use im field notes, and in Mr. Kenneys report you will find reasons for abandoning the call of 247 for the S.W. Cor of SeC #133 of the John Cibson Blbox,

(J.H.Stephens Blk. S.) In the matter of boundary on the J.H.Stephens land2Blk. S., I am convinced by observations, that #1 and #2 cannot be located by the ground calls and are dependent for location on the calls for ## 247 and #248 B.S.&.F. , # and have been so used.

(An explanation) In the region of the N.W.Cor of the Blk. C. you will find there are some slight discrepancies which was brought about by being the closing point in Mr. Kenney's work in Blk. E G. and represents the accumulated error that will unavoidably occur in so large a body of work. (unimportant)

(MATABORMATIVE CO. BLK.M.) In the matter of the boundary on south and East of Blk. M. I was given the ell cor on the west side of No. 123 Blk. M. by Mr. Kenney as located fr from his observations in the interior of the Block , and of which I have no not personally looked into. Which ell cor is 640 vrs N. and 40 vrs west of the N.E.Got of Survey # 247 BS.&.F. (my connection) . Then I trace the south boundary lines of No. 123 and #122 Blk.M. and the east line of #122, and south line of#121 to its junction with west line of No. 1. Rusk Transportation Co.(this tracing asper field note calls .and as indicated on the sketch) . The junction of south line of No. 121 with west line of No. 1 R.T Co.is 1027 vrs south of N.W.Cor of said No. 1. and a practical position for the S.S.E Cor . of #121 Blk.M.

50

Hiled December

Counter 32180

PAGE #2. REPORT OF SURVEY IN S.E.COR. MOTLEY COUNTY.

L Rusk Transportation Co. #1 & #2.) In the matter of boundary on the Rusk Transportion Co. Surveys No. 1, ≤ 2 . They are located from their calls for survey No. 9.T.W.N.G.Ry. Co. which in turn is located from its N.E.Cor. by its calls for salt creek and Torrents Greek, of which you have a full description by Mr. Kenney, I made the run from N.E.No. 9 T.W.N.G. to N.W.#1 R.T.Co. and coming out within a few varas of Mr. Kenneys location for N.W.No. 1, I adopted his location for sake of harmony. The plotting arroubb surveys 13 & 14 A.B.&M. is by virtue of **Ste** field Note calls while in the matter of the T.W.N.G. land the only point in the block attempted to be described on the ground in the Field Notes is the N. E. Cor of No. 9, I take the theory That the Block considered as a unit its survey should be lain Out from **thes** one known Position i.e. the N.E.Cor. of #9, This will create some conflicts, about as indicated on sketch.

(JH.STEphens Blk. B.) In the matter of the boundary on the J.H.Stephens lands Surveys 50,52, 53 e etc. I make the location from the N.W. Cor of survey No. 35 of the same bla as determined by a peak and river call, in this manner and for the follow-There seems to have been a loose connection between the oright ing reasons. of the JH.Gibson block on the south of the Stephens land and the surveys #13, & .14 A.B. &M. from which the Stephens Blk. B. has its origin and it was evidently the purpose of the locating Surveyor to include in th e Stephens surveys all the land between the A.B.&.M. 13& 14 and the J.H.Gibson Block on the south and depending on the connecting line indicated in the Field Notes of #4 13 ABM. but in as much as the north boundary of the gibson Blk was not established then, and also since the locating Surveyor left some tracks on the ground it seems proper to me that the Block B. should be gove erned by these tracks MAXIMAN building the surveys onto eachother and considering the entire block as a unit survey.

as to the manner of locating the Stelhens Land I was furnished a connectig line of Mr. Kenney's from the general Land Office , between N.E.Gor. #11, Brooks, &. Burleson , Blk. G. and N.E.Gor No. 13 , A.B.&M--- North 19467 vs East 5736vrs and since N.W.Gor #35 J.H.Stephens should be 332 vrs west and 13188 vrs south of N.E.Cor of No. 13 A.B.&.M. it would follow that N.W. # 35 should be 5279 vrs North and 5404 vrs east of the N.E.Cor of the said No 11 B.&.B. , I began at the N.E.11 and ran north 5279 vrs and east 5404 Vs where I found that by moving south 156 vrs andwest 155 vrs brings me to a point which I take to be the N.W.Cor of said No. 35, for the following reasons--- The old calls are for a stake on a high hill from which a round p

counter 32181

peak brs N. 100 vrs

PAGE 3. 2 Blk. B. continued-

peak brs north 100 vrs and Pease River south 550 vrs The point I adopt for N.W.Cor of No. 35 is 100 vrs south of a prominent round peak That can be seen for miles to the South east and North, The situation is probably half a mile S.E. from the extreme top of the hastridge that approaches the rive at this place but is on the general slope toward the river and something like 150 or 200 feet higher than the river, There is another peak about 100 vrs west of this one but is not in so promiment a view point as it is partially in a cove and hid from the east by the first mentioned peak . neither is it so apt to be called a round peak as it is rather elongated. It is vrs seek south to Bease River. the nature of the creek (a broad flat sand bed) is such as to render its exact location indeterminable, (The peaks above pere referred to are about 75 feet high.

My Construction from this corner would be to let it determine the south 10lines of surveys #45, #44, #43, #36, #26, & #25 of the Blk. B. and to follow uph the coNstruction of the surveys in this order#85,#34,#48,#49,#50,#52, givig the distances indicated in a work sketch, it locates the S.S.W Cor of #52 , 231 vrs south and 195 vrs east of the N.E.Cor of survey No. 13 T.&.N.B, Blk G. which when I traced out on the ground, I found to be marked by an iron pipe said to have been placed for the identical S.S.W.Cor. of #52 by one Bergen and from which it appears that the homestead surveys just east have been located, and to which I refer in a connecting line filed with field notes for Dan Carpenter, and in which connecting line I describe the method of locating the J.H.Gibson surveys above referred to in this report.

There are two discrepancies in the field Notes of the Stephens land that I will call attention to and explain my disposition of the question raised . In the sum of the distances in the south lines of surveys No. 51 and 52 as they lie on the north of Nos 50, 49, and 48, is 5815 vrs , but there is and really only 5700 vrs space , so there is a shortage of 115 vrs in the eas ern extension of the two surveys.

Again beginning at the N.W.Cor of survey No. 35 JH.Stephens Blk.B. and arearranging the distences on a balance sheet, to the east, south, west, and N. along the boundary of the block to the W.S.W.Cor of #52and again beginat the N.W.35 and in like manner arrange the distances west, south, and east to the S.E.Cor of No. 53 you will kike 211 vrs reaching the corner of # 52, but since the field notes of no. 53, call to join No. 52 at that point and as they are in the same Blk., a part of the same unit survey it seems proper to extend the south line of 53 giving it the 211 yrs excess an I have so considered it in bounding it by field notes to new surveys, Respectfully J. E. Bubank. 32182

3

CONNECTING LINE IN KING AND DICKENS COUNTIES BY R.M.KENNEY STATE SURVEYOR. And compiled by J.E.Eubank, Surveyor of Motley County,

V

The following connecting line was given me by Mr. Kenney just as he had it on his field book and was used by me as explained at the close in determining a part of the west and North boundary of the J.H.Gibson Block. Connecting Line ---- Beginning at the original S.W.J.B.Burleson 3 flat stn set in ground on high hill sloping to north one stone marked \times Thence west var 10°05' at 4341 vrs a point for S.E.1 B.&.B Thence N. at 1900vrs a check stake set in ground at 3986 cross new funce course E&W at 5880 vrs a point original S.W.13 cert. 8/992 B.S.&.F. from which -stump of old cht chitum brs S. 10°E.17 vrs, tree has fallen down but has an old mark Ξ and a new mark \overline{X} .

BEGINNING- at the check stake at N.E. 1 B&B Thence west var 10005' at 1927 vrs pass 26 vrs S. of flint stoneset in ground at 2070 vrs cross a fence 37 vrs 3. of a corner (said to be Chalks) at 3800 vrs point on east edge slope , west of two brenches from S.E. at 3823 vrs cross Paducah and p Pitchfork road at 3972 vrs pass 24 south of a stone Md. (Chalk) , continue-a at 4050 vrs pass 78 vrs south of iron pipe and stone Md. (by Berguan at 5700 a point at 5750 cross Buffalo Can. course N. at 5865 vrs pass 6 vrs south of mound of red sand stone at 5895 vrspass 13 vrs S. of Chalk's Cor. at 7600 vrs a point at 7762 vrs east bluff Bufords crk. course N.E. at 7997 vs pass 5 vrs S. of pile of stone (Curr) opposite mouth of Cottonwood creek, - the mouth of Cottonwood crk. brs S. 30°W. 53 vrs , This point is S.E.#1. BS&F. Thence West at 1826 vrs a point 18 vrs north of a stone marked about 150 vrs west of bufords Creek, and kas said rock is the original N.W. #1 as located by Jasper hayes, at 3734 vrs a point a point thence S. 20 12 vrs a stone marked Sthe original N.E.No. 5 BS&F Thence new count West at B 1825 vrs we are 30 vrs north of chalks cor. at 1835 vrs cross fence on W a lane- 1900 a point for for NE.2 #.Gibson - Thence west new count at 1852 we are 62 vrs N. of gyp Md. Located by Chalk at 1900 vrs a point in wagon road, Thence west at 1300 vrs the east and right bank of Bufords Greek cour. NE . at 1858 vrs pass 71 vrs N. Rk. md, (Chalk) at 1900 a point (foundo nothing) Thence west at 1900 vrs apoint (found nothing) Chalks Cor is 80 south and 32 east our point MkD, 11 phence West 1998 a point Thence south 93 vrs to concrete rock mkd. = - the original SW 15 IHGibson , This is 120 vrs west of Chalks Corner.

connecting line as given me by R.M. Kenney state sure sthick it is filed with his report of work. for s.M. sure Rest. J-8. Enbart surem Midle CONNECTING LINE IN EAST CORNER OF DICKENS AND MOTLEY COUNTIESBY J.E. Eubank. Connecting J.H.Gibson Blk. with Blk. G. and Blk. B. (J.H.Stephens)

Beginning at a stone marked × the original S.W.Cor. # 15 JH.Gibson, Thence west 473 vrs, Thence North 896 vrs an iron pipe Mkd N.E.4. H.G.N. ,G. Thence North 1900 vrs an iron pipe Mkd. N.E.5, H.G.N.G. Thence North, Holly set a stake, Thence east 582 vrs an old stone Md. the S.W.Cor of the T.C. Thornton homestead, Thenne North. (wi a continuous transit line taken from the two pipes in BLY. G. 1 822 wrs a poll 7 wrs east of a stone md th N.W.Cor of the said thornton survey, Thence east from the point 1105 vrs ap point 19 vrs south of a stone Md. the N.E.Cor of the Thornton Survey and W.N.W.Cor of the J.D.Newman Survey, Thence east from point 228 vrs , apoint 23 vrs south of ell cor of said Newman survey and from which point an iron pipe mkd. S.E.14.- G, brs N. 12 W.72 1/2 vrs, Thence east from point 477 vrs, Thence N. at 461 vrs pass 121 vrs west of a set stone the N.E.Cor of said Newman survey (from the ston e a cost 6 ft, dia. brs S. 43 1/2 °E. aft. 19 us, east " about 200vrs), continuing at815vrs a point whence the mile post No. 2 in the doubley and Dickens County line br s S. 43 1/2° H. 206 vrs - at 1192 vr

a stone M2. in west side of draw for N.E.Cor of #18 and is 116 vrs north and 38 vrs south east of a set stone from which a mesq. brs. N. 20°E. 13 12 unan Thence North 484 vrs a set stone 21 vrs west of a Mesquite and 56 m west of the S.E.Cor. o file S.E.Cor of the D.C. Wagley Homestead, - Thence N. 1964 vrs and west 2216 vrs to an iron pipe for the S.SW. cor of survey No. 52 J.H.Stephens BlK. B. said to have been placed by one Bergen and is verified in my connecting line filed with field notes for C.B.SCarborough .

state of the state

Surveyed Aug. 22-23 1904.

Will Wooly Leander Carpenter

Chain Carrier

counter 32184

rubank.

Surveyor of Motley County Texas.

Motley County Sketch File "F" Connecting lines and explanation of survey in the S. S. corner of Mottey County by J.S. Subcules Co. Sur M. Co. Filed Dec. 16th 1904 a set stone 21. and To ToD.M.S. John J. Terrell Course, NSVe iron pipe B by & von Roceaborg field. Dee Sketch mkd F accompanying This report. -See report by Rm. Kering A Besqui 0.B. State Lunger filed. Oct 18/04 in months Con Skelet files .-Dee certified commetry live by Last Partes, filed in this office 2/7/84 100 St See Skettlin O. voul F-6748-

If we consider the Block line to be the direct line between the N.E. 1 BS&F and the SW. 15 J.H.Gibson, which are the extreme original points there would be made a change in variation to cover the 20 vrs south move at the NE. Cor. 5 BS&F and and the last call af the line (93 vrs) at the SW. 15. making in all a swing of 113 vrs in a distance of 7 miles or practically 16 vrs to the mile and would form a base line practically through the four original corners of the block and determining a variation vor the BlK, If this line is continued, bast the NE.Cor of 1B&E would be moved 64 vrs N. of the check stake in the connecting line, and will be 3916 vrs south 6 SW.#13 making a lat. of 1958 vrs for the two tiers of sections included. By the connecting line the DEP. of No. 1BS&F is 1826 vrs, and the Dep.#4 is 1908 vrs, and the excess to the five surveys between NE.5 BS&F and SW15 JHG is 98 vrs, making the dep. for those surveys each 1919 vrs.

Use made of Kennep conneeling line.

The above adjustment is illustrated on the Sketch and accounts for the distances used in the boundary of surveys 15, 16, 18, and 7. J.H.Gibson.

the state of the s

and the prover the second drive to be

and the second of the second o

J. E. Eubonk. Surveyor of Motley County.

Counter 32186

Bin Berry AL COR