

Statement concerning conflict of
Block 64 N & T.C. R.R. Co. with Blocks 5
and X. Texas & Pacific R.R. Co. -
Nolan and Taylor Counties. I find
N.E. cor. of 290 and N.E. cor. 291 block 64
both are identified as original location
corners. From N.E. corner of No 290.
I established corner every 1900 \pm . going
west for six miles, as shown on
sketch in Red ink. The S.E. and
S.W. corners of Sur 36 block 5 Texas
and Pacific R.R. are both old st.
mds. identified by stumps of original
bearing trees. From S.W. corner of Sur.
36 block 5. I ran West to S.E. corner
of Sec. 42 block 5 T & P. as made many
years ago by Co. Surveyor of Nolan
County - Weatherby. Said corner
is a st. md. which I adapted.
From this st. md. a st. md. made by
W.C. Breedlove bro S 36 \pm & West 3.4 \pm
For distances see red ink figures
on sketch representing lines actually
measured on ground. No 311 block 64
N & T.C. R.R. Co. conflicts with no. 110.
block X Texas & Pacific and the W.A.
Breedlove Survey, as shown on the
sketch. No 110. T & P. is identified by
stone mds. and original bearing trees
The S. line of Sur. 36 block 5 T & P.R.R. Co. is not
an East & West line but is off in
course 1 $^{\circ}$ being S 89 $^{\circ}$ W. - I find enough

on

evidence on the ground to the original location of surveys 31 and 34 & 37. Distance North from S.W. corner 35 (original corner) to S. line of 34 is 1746 varas instead of 1550 as per original field notes, or an excess of 196 varas. Surveys 35 and 36 have been improved & fenced including this excess. Surveys 39 & 41 have been surveyed from S.W. corner of survey 36 and do not include this excess. Surveys 38, 40 & 43 have for their S. lines a continuation of lines of surveys 31, 34 & 37 and do not include this excess, and are so improved on the ground. Surveys 39 & 41 do not call for surveys N. of them. Surveys 38, 40 & 43 do not call for surveys S. of them, hence this strip of land is not covered by block 5th but is covered by surveys 313, 314, 315 & 316 Block 64 N. & T.C. R.R. Co. Also parts of surveys 312 & 313, lying W. of Sur. 42 block 5th & E. of Survey 110 block X Texas & Pacific are not in conflict with patented surveys, so far as I know. The corrections of surveys 295 296, 303, 502 & 501, ^{Block 64 H & T.C. R.R. Co.} ^{County 1,000 0.00 0.00} me from S.W. corners of Sur. 295 and N.E. cor. of 296 both corners were established by Stephen Turner, in 1886
I Geo. M. Williams, State Surveyor do hereby certify that the above and foregoing statement is true and correct.
Given under my hand, at San Antonio Texas, this 29th day of March 1902

Geo. M. Williams
State Surveyor

Nolan Co

9.

Statement
Concerning Block 64
Nolan & Taylor -
Counties. Conflicts
with Block 5 G. & P. R.R. Co.
Geo. M. Williams
State Surveyor

[Signature]

County 32465

du 2

3/29/07