

Field notes & statements of a  
survey made for research in Blk 64  
H. & T. Co. Ry. Co. in Nolan County Texas,

Beginning at the S.W. cor of sec  
no. 164 in Blk 64, having first thoroughly  
revised this corner as follows;

- (1) There are three crossings on the  
creek by running due West, precisely  
corroborating the calls on the S. line  
of sec 167, approaching this corner.
- (2) The distance between the Ft Chadborne  
Road and the creek, tallies precisely  
with the Field notes of sec no. 167.
- (3) There is no other point N. of the  
W. S. Henry Survey that will answer  
the calls on the S. line of sec 167.
- (4) One of the witness trees, of this  
corner, corroborates the Field notes of  
sec 164, So. wit a mesq. brs S. 45. E. 32rs  
The other is correct in course, but is only  
27rs instead of 37; in distance & hence  
should read a mesq. brs N. 88. E. 27rs  
Thence West (found our instrument will  
trace this line at 9.50. E. variation) at  
10rs crossed cottonwood creek, a branch  
of Dalby creek; at 120rs recross; at  
300rs cross again; (This cannot be  
Dalby creek from the fact that Dalby  
creek is never East of the Ft Chadborne  
Road, until after it enters the W. S. Henry  
survey) at 1560rs cross Ft Chadborne  
Road, at 1900rs pass S.E. corner of

Sec 168; same Blk; cor in position,  
one witness standing; at 3800rs  
the S.W. corner of sec no. 168, same  
Blk, found corner in position; a stone  
m.d.; one witness standing; To wit, a mesq  
10<sup>in</sup> hrs S 49<sup>3</sup> E. 47rs

Thence West from this point; at 1220rs  
cross Valley creek; (Co South) this cor-  
roborates. Locators' Field notes; of sec 169 &  
sec 170) at 1900rs a stk; from which  
a mesq 8<sup>in</sup> hrs N. 5. W. 43rs (D<sup>g</sup> 10<sup>in</sup>  
hrs N. 36. W. 72rs (These witnesses are  
old, but are reversed in course, as  
is frequently the case)

From this point we turned North,  
at 150rs cross West prong of Valley  
creek; at 1260rs cross old channel  
of Valley creek; at 1900rs found corner  
in position; a stone m.d.; from which a  
mesq now, 10<sup>in</sup> X hrs S 33. E. 74rs (old witness)

Thence West, crossing Valley creek,  
1900rs a stone m.d.; for N.W. cor of  
sec 184; (Witness trees not found)

Continuing West from this point, at 1560rs  
cross West prong of Valley creek, (Co S.E.)  
at 1985rs passed rock m.d. put up by some  
person for S.W. cor of sec 216 & S.E. of  
sec 215; at 2530rs cross spring branch  
called Melops; (Co S.E.) at 3062rs recross  
same; (Co N.E.) at 3800rs passed an old  
stone pile supposed to be the N.W. corner  
of sec 197 & N.E. corner of sec. 198. (witness not found)

Thence South at 41800rs a drain (Co S.W.)  
at 4855rs cross Fish creek a branch of  
Dally creek (Co E.) at 7600rs set stk  
for S.W. corner of Sec 194 & N.E. corner  
of Sec 202, (We found no marks of  
locating survey on this line)

We then returned to the S.W. corner  
of Sec 168, which is also the N.E. cor  
of Sec 170, and started South in  
search of the three crossings called  
for in the McKinzie Field notes; at  
17200rs came to the channel of Dally  
creek, at 17600rs reached top of  
bluff; at 19000rs passed rock md  
for S.E. corner of 170 & N.E. corner  
of Sec 171, (no witness found) at  
2195rs a deep ravine (Co N.E.) at  
2500rs a deep ravine (Co N.E.) these  
two ravines, together with the creek; cor-  
respond with the three crossings called  
for in McKinzie Field notes, and also in  
Field notes of Sec 170 & 171; and is the  
only solution of the 3<sup>rd</sup> three crossings  
that can be obtained; and in as much  
as this line cannot be made to cross the  
main more than once, and these ravine  
tally with the callings both in course  
and distance, we conclude that the dis-  
crepancy arose from a clerical error;  
At 28500rs found a stone md. for S  
E corner of Sec 171;

We then went across East and made

search for the N.W. corner of W.B. Henry  
Survey No. 461 and found same in  
position, To wit, a stone was about 6000  
South of Valley creek, from which a  
Large Elm & two N. 5 1/4 W. 112 rods & a  
Paw Oak stump (the tree having been  
destroyed) two S 8 3/4 W. 13 1/4 rods; (These  
are doubtless the old witness trees)

We then measured the distance  
West from this corner to the line  
we were tracing as the East Boundary  
of sec 170 & 171, and West Boundary  
of survey No. in name of  
McKinzie; and found sd distance  
to be 946 rods instead of 370 rods  
as set forth in the McKinzie Field notes.

We then measured the distance North  
to the S.W. corner of sec 168 and  
found it to be only 1857 rods instead  
of 3258 rods as claimed by McKinzie  
Field notes; These facts, together with  
the well known fact that sec 164  
does conflict with the N.E. corner of  
W.B. Henry survey; which could not  
be the case if the S. line of sec 168  
were 3258 rods North of the N.W. corner  
of S's Henry survey; evinces the  
fact that Mr. Angle did not acquaint  
himself with the whereabouts of the  
S's Henry survey, and thus erroneously  
tying his work thereto, has thrown all  
of his work into confusion.

After making making this last named  
research; we returned to the S.E. corner  
of Sec 170 and continued South  
at 9500rs, passed rock and supposed  
to be S.E. corner of surd No. 171; at  
11510rs passed N.W. corner of a Homestead  
in name of E. J. Gardener; at 13600rs  
passed N.W. corner of a Homestead surd  
in name of S. M. Gardener; at 19000rs  
set out for N.E. corner of sec 180,  
but found no marks of Locating  
surveyor; at 23100rs passed S.W. corner  
of S. M. Gardener survey & N.W. corner  
of a Homestead in name of E. M. Dougherty.  
at 32600rs passed the S.W. corner  
of S. C. Dougherty; which is also one  
of the Western corners of S. A. E. J.  
Gardener; at 38000rs passed S.E.  
corner of sec 180, which is also the  
N.W. corner of sec 175; & the S.W.  
corner of E. J. Gardener's Homestead,  
(no visible marks of Locator)  
at 57000rs found several stone  
marks, near each other, but the witness  
trees being destroyed: we could only  
determine the whereabouts of this corner  
by course & distance)

After establishing this corner we went  
across to the N.W. corner of survey  
No. 293, in name of Jas. Jeffries,  
which is also the S.W. corner of survey  
No. 294 in name of E. Taylor; found

200.  
" in position; witnessed by two cedars,  
one of them correct. To wit. a cedar  
10<sup>in</sup>  $\bar{x}$  has N 51 $\frac{1}{2}$  E. 3000; the other correct  
in distance, but the bearing is N 54 E. 3000  
instead of N 56 E, as per Field notes)

Thence N. 30. W. (we found our instrument  
would trace this line at a variation of 9 $^{\circ}$  12 $'$ .)  
at 1726 we found corner in position  
being the N. W. corner of survey 2948  
S. W. corner of survey No. 295; both in  
name of Edward Taylor; and also the  
most easterly S. E. corner of survey  
No. 298 in name of M. C. Zuniga; this  
corner is witnessed by two P. Oaks  
both standing, in position, bearing the  
proper marks; but the line is 8000 excessive;

We here turned N. 60. E. tracing line  
between the two Taylor surveys; at 1375000  
N. Bank of Fish (long) creek; at  
1678000 found corner witnessed by  
two Live Oaks; both standing in position,  
(this line is 32000 excessive)

We then returned to the S. E. corner  
of Zuniga No. 298; and proceeded  
N. 30. W. at 1300000 we passed about  
5000 East of a high land pond; at  
1646000 we set out for N. W. cor of survey  
No. 295, & S. W. of sur. No. 296, in  
name of Chas Colacic, but found  
no witness.

From this point N. 30. W. at 1080000  
a deep ravine; at 1160000 P. Oak or Long

creek. (Co. East) at 1620 rods across Fish  
creek (Co S.W.) at 1646 rods set stk for  
N.W. cor of survey No. 296, in name of  
Chas Colerick; which is also the most  
southerly & beginning corner of survey  
No. 297 in name of Pedro. Martinez,  
(witness trees not found.)

We then proceeded to lay off the  
Pedro Martinez, as follows; N 30. W,  
at 120 rods Fish creek; (Co S.E.) at 410 rods  
Fish creek, (Co S.W.) at 708 rods set  
for cor. From which a mesq  $4\frac{1}{2}$  in  $\times$  hrs  
S 21  $\frac{1}{2}$  E, 13 rods Dr. (forked)  $8\frac{1}{2}$  in  $\times$  hrs N. 57 W.  
26  $\frac{1}{4}$  rods; Thence S. 60. W, 900 rods, set  
& stone ms from which a P.O.  $14\frac{1}{2}$  in  $\times$   
hrs S. 68. E, 21  $\frac{1}{4}$  rods: (This is also, the  
S.E. corner of a Homestead in name  
of W. S. Hughes)

Thence N 30. W, at 686 rods pass the  
N.E. corner of M. S. Hughes survey; at  
1123 rods pass S.E. corner of a Homestead  
in name of S. A. Cooper, at 2238 rods  
pass. N.E. cor of S's Cooper survey;  
which is also, the S.E. corner of a survey,  
in name of E. Hyton; at 2828 rods  
set stk for N.W. corner of S's P.  
Martinez; in line of S's E. Hyton's  
survey; Thence N. 60. E, at 705 rods  
cross Fish creek (Co S.E.) at 2250 rods  
Spring branch (Co S) at 2494 rods the  
N. corner of S's Martinez, a stone ms  
from which a P.O.  $8\frac{1}{2}$  in  $\times$  hrs S. 12. W, 17  $\frac{1}{2}$  rods

and a P. O.  $\times$  hrs S. 86. E. 383 rs  
Thence S. 30. E. 3596 rs to N. line of sur  
No. 296. in name of Chas Colerick.  
a stone md from which a mesq 6<sup>in</sup>  $\times$   
hrs N. 30  $\frac{1}{2}$  W. 693 rs.

Thence S. 60. W. at 1547 rs N. Bank  
of Fish creek, at 1594 rs to beginning.  
Thence returning to S. E. corner of  
2<sup>nd</sup> survey No. 297: we measured  
N. 60. E. 52 rs for N. E. cor of survey  
No. 296. in name of Chas Colerick, a  
stone md from which a mesq 4<sup>in</sup>  $\times$  hrs  
N. 11. W. 30 rs: From this point we traced  
the E. line of S's Colerick & also the  
East line of E. Taylor No. 295; and  
in order to meet the excessive corner  
the same being the S. E. corner of S's  
Taylor No. 295; we changed the bearing  
to S. 30; 33. E. at 1646  $\frac{1}{2}$  rs set a stk  
for S. E. of Colerick & N. E. of Taylor  
295, at 3293 rs the S. E. of Taylor  
295. & N. E. of Taylor. 294; this being  
the excessive corner, we changed bearing  
again to meet the N. E. corner of Jas  
Jeffries. No. 293; thus S. 28. 56. E.  
1726 rs to a stone md the N. E. corner  
of Jas. Jeffries. No. 293; from which  
a dead mesq 10<sup>in</sup>  $\times$  hrs S. 15  $\frac{3}{4}$ . E. 38 rs  
Thence S. 60. W. 1646 rs crossing Fish  
creek, to the N. W. corner of S's, Jas  
Jeffries. No. 293. & S. W. corner of Taylor  
survey No. 294; thus closing the boundary  
#7 of these old surveys.



Having thus established the boundary of  
these old surveys, we returned to the  
S.W. corner of sec 175, blk 64,

Thence South with  $9^{\circ} 50'$  variation,  
at 1900 rs made a stone md for S.  
W. corner of sec 177; continuing South  
from this point, at 538 rs came in con-  
flict with East line of Chas Coler's  
No 296, and 228 rs  $N. 30^{\circ} 33' W.$  from  
S'd. Coler's S.E. corner.

We then returned to the S.W. cor  
of sec 175; again and thence West  
at 1410 rs came to East of Martinez  
No 299; but continued West, at 1900 rs  
set a stk for S.W. corner of sec 179,  
Thence North; at 873 $\frac{1}{2}$  rs recrossed East  
line of S'd. Martinez (this first conflict  
with Martinez is 1386 rs  $N. 30^{\circ} W.$  from S.E.  
cor of S'd. Martinez & the second conflict  
is 2387 from S.E. cor) at 1900 rs stns  
and for N.W. cor of sec 179 & S.E. 181.

Thence West at 576 rs cross East line  
of Martinez 29 rs S.  $30^{\circ} E.$  from its  
N.E. corner; at 636 rs cross N. line of  
S'd. Martinez; at 834 rs cross spring  
branch: (co S) at 1900 rs stk. the N.W. cor  
of sec 190 & N.E. of 189, & S.W. of 181.

from which a L.C. 12" X has  $N. 80^{\circ} W. 484$  rs  
From this point, continuing West, at  
1240 rs cross Fish creek. (co S) at  
1900 rs stk for N.W. cor of sec 189,  
& S.E. of sec 194 from which a double

G. O. 10<sup>in</sup> X hrs N. 103. W. 143<sup>rs</sup> D<sup>g</sup> 22.<sup>in</sup> X  
hrs N. 30. W. 34<sup>rs</sup>

Thence West, 1900<sup>rs</sup> to S.W. corner  
of sec 194; this should have closed with  
the first mark. done in Blck. 64, but  
lacked 100<sup>rs</sup> of closing. so I com-  
promised between the two by adding 50<sup>rs</sup>  
to this line and establishing cor,  
a stone md; from which a G. O. 8<sup>in</sup>  
X hrs N. 47. E. 103<sup>rs</sup>

Thence continuing West, at 1900<sup>rs</sup>  
found no marks. but made stone md  
for N.E. cor, of sec 208 & S.E. cor of  
sec 209; Thence South, 1900<sup>rs</sup> to S  
E. corner of sec 207; but found no  
marks, corroborating Field notes,

Thence West 1900<sup>rs</sup> to N.W. corner  
of sec 207 made a stone md on East  
bank of Salt creek, from which  
a mesq 6<sup>in</sup> X hrs S. 52. E. 3<sup>rs</sup> D<sup>g</sup> 6<sup>in</sup> X  
hrs N. 53. E. 113; Having thus determined  
the Western Boundary of Blk. 64, T & C. Co.  
We went across to the S.W. cor of sec  
35 & S.E. cor of sec 34, in Blk. 3. T & C. Co.  
found cor, in position witnessed by two  
cedars; both standing, & corroborating  
the Field notes

Thence East (found our instrument  
would trace this line on 9° 35' variation)  
at 950<sup>rs</sup> passed 3<sup>rd</sup> mile cor; witnesses found  
at 1900<sup>rs</sup> found cor in position; both  
witnesses standing. continuing East

1600 rods to S.E. corner of sec 36, Blk 3; this being the S. Eastern boundary of Blk 3; Thence South, at 486 rods come in conflict with N. line of sec 207, in Blk. 64, H&S.C. Ry. Co. as already traced out;

Thence West with S's N. line 458 rods to the N.W. corner of S's sec 207

This shows a conflict of 458 rods between these two Blks at this point, and since 64 is run on  $9^{\circ} 50'$  & 3 is run on  $9^{\circ} 35'$ , the greatest variation being on the West, the conflict will increase, going North,

From this last point we ran South tracing the Western Boundary of Blk 64, at 1900 rods set stake for S.W. cor of sec 207, & N.W. cor of sec 206, from which a S. C.  $12^{\text{in}}$   $\times$  hrs S,  $72^{\text{in}}$  E, 20 rods  
D<sub>11</sub>  $14^{\text{in}}$   $\times$  hrs S.  $5\frac{1}{4}$  W. 283 rods

Thence South at 1387, came to line, claimed as S line of sec 31 Blk. 1, a, H&S.C. Ry. Co.

We then went across to the North cor of J Brookshire N<sub>11</sub> found cor in position; witness trees standing

Thence East at 800 rods, variation  $9^{\circ} 35'$ ; found a corner supposed to be the S.E. corner of sec 203, Blk 1, a, <sup>S.W. cor</sup> & sec 32 in Blk 1, H&S.C. Ry. Co. but witness trees do, not correspond with field notes,

Thence East 1900 rods a stake for S. C

corner of S'd. sec 32, but witness does not answer to Field notes.

Thence North 1900 vs. made a stone and for corner, but found no mark,

Thence East at 1118 vs. came to W. B. line of Blk. 64, & 513 vs. N. of the S.W. cor of sec 207. This shows a conflict between Blk. 1 & Blk. 64 of 782 vs. E & W. and 513 vs. N & S.

We then returned to the S. E. of sec 8 & N.W. cor of sec 189. in Blk. 64

Thence South 950 vs. set & stone and for S.W. cor of sec 189, which is 39 vs. N. & 21 vs. West of the N.W. cor cor of a Homestead survey in name of E. Fyler from which a S. O. 12<sup>in</sup> X br S. 28 E. 17 $\frac{3}{4}$  vs. Thence East 930 vs. passed 33 vs. North of S'd. Fyler's N.E. cor. at 1474 vs. conflict with N line of Martinez No. 297. and 471 vs. N. Co. E. from N.W. cor of S'd. Martinez

I, M. N. Weatherly Deputy Surveyor of Nolan County Texas. hereby certify that the foregoing survey for research was actually made by me on the ground and that the marks and bounds, both natural and artificial, here in set forth are true and correct,

This 28<sup>th</sup> day of Feb. A.D. 1889.

M. N. Weatherly  
Deputy Surveyor  
Nolan County Texas

<sup>Nolan Co. Sb. File #1</sup>  
This is to certify that J. K. R. Seaton County  
Surveyor of Nolan County, have examined  
the foregoing field notes of a research  
survey made by myself and my deputy  
M. N. Weatherby in the South Eastern Portion  
of Nolan County, and find them True  
and correct. This 28<sup>th</sup> day of Feby 1890  
J. K. R. Seaton Co Surveyor  
Nolan Co. Texas

4<sup>12</sup>

Nolan County  
Sketch No. 1.

Connections and Sketch  
South East corner of  
Nolan Co. by K. R. Seaton

Filed March 4<sup>th</sup> 1890

R. S. Kelley  
Chief Clerk

See Sketch

75<sup>00</sup>

2-28-90

counter 32483

H  
13

