Cervan pen June 30/93 A. N. COUNTY SUR/EYOR PECOS COUN How I Rest adien Fort Stockton, Texas, May 27 How I Rest Adiene Question Dear Sin Fort Stockton, Texas, May 24 1913 Shore made a survey in the Hest fort of County and my findings are refuncted on acompining Skitch. & # 7 Sa Ry Co Bek 10, 8 GCTSFRy Co Elk, a. Said Blk ro, 10. bing located first But was afterwards resurveyed and I bring formiture with Blk. a. think that It, was hope winds and not done in the field. adhearing to the rule that the all Shall hold as in other resurvays in this comy for example the it is is my company land in this Cannity one resurveys and they hald good over any other loud of latercation some bing in North fort of This county But in augurent I will write field for acading to your in structions. Pleas when ich with ensinely Hants Truchen O all - 7 - 30 - 19 3 - Cam counter \$1092 her



General Land Office,

State of Texas.

Austin.

July 30, 1913.

Barbon.

J.T.ROBISON, COMMISSIONER. J.H. WALKER, CHIEF CLERK.

> Mr. A. N. Lea, Surveyor of Pecos Co., Ft. Stockton, Texas, Dear Sir;

Your letter of May 24th. 1913 addressed to Hon. J. T. Robison was handed me in person by By Mrs. W. F. Stewart on June 30th., together with a tracing sketch or plat showing preliminary work by you of surveys in the Western portion of Pecos Co., near the line of Brewster Co., representing surveys in the west part of blk. A, G. C. & S. F. Ry Co., showing a conflict with surveys in 090 blk. 10, G. H. & S. A. Ry Co. I have some time and made a very careful investigation of all the surveys in blks. A. B. C. & D., also blk.Q situated in Pecos Co.. The calls and cross calls in the field notes of the surveys for each other, having given full instruction to Mr. R. S. Dod, State Surveyor, as to how to re-survey and to distribute the excess between old, original identified corners. Capt. Dod has been at work and is instructed to complete the survey accordingly, for your information see blue-print plat and carbon letter herewith.

This office recognizes blk. A, G. C. & S. F. Ry. Co. surveys to be superior to surveys in blk. 10, G. H. & S. A. Ry. Co., as blk. A. was surveyed and returned to this office prior to the Validation Act of Feb.3, 1883, Chapt.Vll. See act of 26th. Legislature 1899, page 123, Chapt.81, "an act to set apart and appropriate to the permahent School Fund of the State of Texas all the lands heretofore or hereafter recovered from rail road companies or other persons" & etc.

Should it be found that a sold school section in blk. 10 should conflict with an unsold school section in blk. A, I wouldn't cancel such sale, however should it conflict with the individual survey of blk. A, the former should be corrected to the extent of the conflict. If entirely in conflict with individual survey in blk. A the sale should be cancelled.

As requested, I am returning your sketch. Have no further suggestions to make. Capt. Dod, as State Surveyor, has full instructions to re-survey said blocks. Yours truly,

E von R/SCT.

(2)

Commissioner.

counter 34093

2	the part of the
and a second and a second and a second as a se	2
	Fritter Win E Jonary ?
· · ·	
	· Star without
	a second s
and the second se	
Sketch	File 116
File No. Sketch Pecos Corr. From Rid.Sk Filed 7-30 GARRY 343	County
Corr. from RId. SK	13 13
Filed 7-30	
GARBY 34.2	Uno, con
Ву	
· ····································	
	Peros 50
	counter 34099