

1082

Fort Davis, Texas, July 18th, 1936.

Judge J.H.Walker, Commissioner,  
The General Land Office of Texas,  
Austin, Texas.

Fischer Ranch, Presidio County.  
G.H.& S.A.Ry.Co., Block 7.  
T. & P. Ry. Co., Block 2.

Dear Sir:

After doing surveying on the Fischer Ranch in Presidio County, for the issuance of Corrected Field Notes for Sections, 84, 88, 89 and 90, Bl.7, G.H.& S.A.Ry.Co.; on three different occasions, I spent a day and more in your Department with Mr.Giles, who finally worked out an outline plan for me to follow in completing the said Resurvey Work, which I have been able to use satisfactorily to the Ranch Owners and Managers, Mr.and Mrs.Henry Fletcher, and Mr. W. Lee Fischer.

Using the enclosed Map of the survey done as a reference for this report, explain as follows, to wit:-

Ran out the lines from S.E.Cor.97 and S.W.Cor.84, Bl.7 to the North to the N.W.Cor.88 and N.E.Cor.89; and found that by adding the 16 varas to this line total, that it virtullay equalled the total of the lines run one mile West of this line, and also the line run 1488 varas East of same, which is the total of the lines along the Block line between Block 7 and Block from North to South, that is, from the N.W. Cor.Sec.324, Bl.2 Southward to the S.Line of Survey 83 (84); and this also equalled the ~~line~~ line I run from the N.E.Cor.324 ~~South~~ South to the ~~South~~ South Line of Survey 83, Bl.7 at point D on map. Then leaving each Section and Survey in each of the two Blocks retain their full value as called for of the 640 acres, lines in Block 7 being for 1900 varas, and those in Block 2 being for 1901 varas, I was able to close up the Four Surveys 84, 88, 89 and 90, Bl.7, as shown on the said Map, thus showing the correct amount of acreage for each of said four surveys, which are shown by Corrected Field Notes herewith presented for your inspection and acceptance or rejection; and also by Map herewith handed you.

As a further satisfactory proof of the work done, and to check against the work of Paul McCombs for Block 2, T. & P.Ry.CO., I ran the South Line of said Block 2 Eastward from Survey 84 of Bl.7, and found his South line of said Bl.2 and the North line of J.B.Ammerman's work of Block 7, G.H.& S.A.Ry.Co., (which I also ran, measuring at many points from corners of their respective surveys), and found that the ~~two~~ said lines continued to get nearer together toward the East, which is shown on the said Map, said reduction being approximately at rate of 1-1/2 varas per mile. From the W.line of Sec.83 which is the lower part of the East line of Sec.84, Bl.7, each North and South line of the surveys in said Bl.7 continued to become longer at the rate of 1-1/2 varas per mile, which will cause each of said surveys to increase in their acreage to that extent under my corrected survey work, which I did on the ground during my survey work as ~~shown~~ shown.

~~Did not run the common block line between Blocks 4 and 7 to the West of Survey 90, Bl.7, but it can be done from my findings on the West line of said survey ~~90~~ 90, Bl.7 as shown by Field Notes and Map.~~

The Fischer Ranch, the Federal Loan Representative, Judge J. C.Fuller of Marfa, requests me to deliver this data to you in person, that such explanation may be made as required, and I plan to do so soon. However, should I not be able to do so, then will mail you this, Field Notes and Map of work.

Yours very sincerely,

*Robert C. Withers*  
Robert C. Withers, L. S. L. S.,

P.O.Box 113, Fort Davis, Texas.

RECEIVED

AUG 1 - 1936

RETURNED TO MAIL

counter 34645

RECORDED TO MAP

ANG 1 - 1936

RECEIVED

P.O. Box 113, Fort Davis, Texas.

Robert C. Withers, L. S. L. S.,

Yours very sincerely,

*Robert C. Withers*

this, Field Notes and Map of work. However, should I not be able to do so, then will mail you that such explanation may be made as required, and I plan to do so. O. Fuller of Marfa, requests me to deliver this data to you in person. The Fischer Ranch, the Federal Loan Representative, Judge J.

West line of said survey 20, Bl. 7 as shown by field notes and map. West of survey 20, Bl. 7, but it can be done from my findings on the map. Did not run the common block line between Blocks 4 and 7 to the

I did on the ground during my survey work as ~~shown~~ shown.

in their acreage to that extent under my corrected survey work, which 1-1/2 acres per mile, which will cause each of said surveys to increase of the surveys in said Bl. 7 continued to become longer at the rate of lower part of the East line of Sec. 84, Bl. 7, each North and South line which is shown on the said map, said reduction being approximately at the few said lines continued to get nearer together toward the East, many points from corners of their respective surveys, and found that work of Block 7, G.H. & S.A. Ry. Co., (which I also ran, measuring at his South line of said Bl. 5 and the North line of T.B. Ammerman's South line of said Block 5 Eastward from survey 84 of Bl. 7, and found against the work of Paul McCombs for Block 5, T. & P. Ry. Co., I ran the As a further satisfactory proof of the work done, and to check

tion and acceptance or rejection; and also by map herewith handed you. The amount of acreage for each of said four surveys, which are shown by corrected field notes herewith presented for your inspection. Surveys 84, 88, 89 and 90, Bl. 7, as shown on the said map, thus showing those in Block 5 being for 1901 acres, I was able to close up the four called for of the 640 acres, lines in Block 7 being for 1900 acres, and Section and survey in each of the two blocks remain their full value as the South line of survey 83, Bl. 7 at point D on map. Then leaving each also adjusted the K line I run from the N.E. Cor. 354 ~~to~~ south to the Cor. Sec. 354, Bl. 5 ~~to~~ to the S. line of survey 83 (84); and this between Block 7 and Block 5 from North to South, that is, from the N.W. acres East of same, which is the total of the lines along the Block line the lines run one mile West of this line, and also the line run 1/488 1/2 acres to this line total, that it virtually equalled the total of North to the N.W. Cor. 88 and N.E. Cor. 89; and found that by adding the Ran out the lines from S.E. Cor. 27 and S.W. Cor. 84, Bl. 7 to the

this report, explain as follows, to wit:-- Using the enclosed map of the survey done as a reference for

Managers, Mr. and Mrs. Henry Fletcher, and Mr. W. Lee Fischer.

which I have been able to see satisfactorily to the Ranch Owners and on outline plan for me to follow in completing the said Resurvey work, day and more in your Department with Mr. Giles, who finally worked out for the issuance of corrected field notes for sections, 84, 88, 89 and after going surviving on the Fischer Ranch in Presidio County.

T. P. Ry. Co., Block 5.  
G.H. & S.A. Ry. Co., Block 7.  
Fischer Ranch, Presidio County.

Sketch File No. 60-B  
Presidio County  
Report by Robt. C. Withers  
Filed 8-1 1936  
J. H. Giles, County Clerk

Descriptive: located abt  
2.0 mi. S 80° W  
from Marfa

See Sk. File No 60-A

July 18th, 1936.

county 34696

1025