OFFICE OF THE COUNTY SURVEYOR PRESIDIO COUNTY MARFA TEXAS FEB. 4 1940

Mr. Bascom Giles; Commissioner, General Land Office, Austin Texas.

REFERRED TO MAP

FEB 6-1940

Dear Sir:

In answer to your letter of Feb. 1st. I wish to report the following.

I am making the corrected field notes for sections, 97,51 and 47, these will be forwarded to your office as soon as complete.

With reference to sections; 83,58,57,56,55,30,29,28,27,1 & 2 & 26: I am prepairing a sketch as yousugested; but would at this time like to point out the following facts in this case. 1. Since in the starting of the surveys of both GH & SA 7 & 8 Ammerman took of from the South east corner of Section 371 Texas and Pacific Block No. 2, It would appear that T & P Blk. 2 were the older survey. With this evidence I started the survey, with the futher assumption that the Paul Mc Combs resurvey was also correct, I therfore ran the north line of this groupe of surveys, with the exception of 26, along the Mc Combs line of pipe and always checked exactly on the line. I checked the west line of 2 and found that it had a bearing of S 0 25' W and was 745 varas long. I then ran the east line of 83 and found it to be a north and soth line, and 710 varas long as ne arly as I could determine. I ran the south line with in reason, that is with in a foot or fraction therefor. The east and west lines of each section was then run and as a check and to proportion the determinable drift of the south line. It was in this way that I arrived at the results that were forwarded to your office.

2. It will be seen by my notes that Ammermans north line is gradualy approching the line set by Paul Mc Combs in 1908 thereby indicating that Ammerman ran N 89 35' west or that Mc Combs ran his line S 89 35' W. But Mc Combs states in his notes that he ran his line with a transit and calls for an east and west line as the south line of the T & P Blk. 2; While an examination of the notes of Ammerman, made for corrected field notes 1889, makes a definite call for the north line of this block of sections tobe N 89 35 W. From the fact that that the west line of these sections is shorter than the east it would appear that either the south line must converge on the north or the north must converge on the south. And the evidence presented all points to the convergence of the south line with the north. Moreover the east line of sections 2,3,6,7,10,11,13 have calls for S 0 25' W in as far as block GH & SA Blk. 7 ise conserved.

It is my intention to make an actual field recheck of the salient points of thas groupe of sections to prove that my reasoning and work are correct.

Thanking you, I am

+ 10

Very truly yours

Mund

R. J. Munro County Survyor Presidio County Marfa Texas

PS. Please address future comunications about these sections c/o Clyde Mc Farland Marfa Texas

counter 34656

WERT LOVE

J. C. THOMPSON COUNTY JUDGE

J. H. FORTNER COUNTY CLERK

R. I. BLEDSDE

CLARA SHEILDS

JACK RAWLS COMMISSIONER PRECINCT NO. 2 COMMISSIONER PRECINCT ND. 3 HALL KILPATRICK COMMISSIONER PRECINCT NO. 4

D. A. KNIGHT TAX ASSESSOR-COLLECTOR

> JDE D. BUNTON SHERIFF

E. F. NICCOLLS

R. J. Munro County Surveyor

FEB 21 1940

REFERRED TO MAP

counter 34657

Mr. Bascom Giles, Commissioner Genæral Land Office Austin Texas

My dear Mr. Giles:

In answer to your letter of Feb. 1st, <sup>1</sup> am returning the field notes with such corrections as requested.

As to sections 83, 58, 57, 56, 55, 30, 29, 28, 27, 1 & 2 they have been rewritten to more clearly define the areas. As to section 26 the field notes have been rewritten to increase the length of the east and west line and therefore the area. My procedure was as follows.

**PREJIDIO COUNTY** 

Marfa, Texas February 19 1940

1. Since the Texas and Pacific Block No. 2. is the older survey, I used that as the base line of all of my surveys in this area, with the exception of the west line of section 83 where I accepted the two monuments as described by Mr. Withers as being the NW & NE corners of section 83, These two mounds I found to be as described by Mr, Withers. 2. I visited all corners of this survey and made an espescial point of the East line

of survey No. 2. GH & SA 7 at which point I found the Ammerman survey to be correct.

3. I am inclosing a sketch of the area showing the original figures that I sent you and the figures, in Parentheses from which I derived my figures.

It seems to me that all of the east and west lines of the tier of sections just south of the above mentioned sections should be increased in length to off set this slight error, and I have so done with regard to section 26.

I rechecked the bearing of the Paul Mc Combs line and find it to bear East.

Hoping that these corrections will meet with your approval

I am

Very truly yours

mina Munro

County Surveyor Presidio County

File No. 66 Presidio County Surveyor's letters Filed 2 - 21, 19.40 BASCOM GILES, Com'r File Clerk 8 the 37658