

Clarksville April 1862

The Commissioner of the U. S. Office

City of Austin

Sir: In your letter of the 1st of July past you require of me
corrections or explanations of sundry Geodetic surveys I had returned
to your office. — From protracted illness I have been unable to even
Reply to your demands. I will now however make such a statement
of facts as will enable you to see and judge of the condition of these
surveys as drawn from the records of my office, and my knowl-
edge of the facts as they appear to me on the ground.

I will state the condition of the Blossom Prairie surveys together with
D. D. Braxton and others. — You state that the C. Y. H. Hall
Survey conflicts with Robt Carter, that the N. W. corner of W. 9 T. 11
is Survey does not agree with the corners between them
and the Survey of J. Baile, that a labor survey (without name) is
connected with the SW corner of said Hollingsworth Survey, a mistake
or oversight surely exist here, there is not a labor survey that will
appear of record in my office within five miles of this place. I made
the Survey of Carter, Hollingsworth & Baile under the law of July 13
1854, and the Survey of Hall under the act of 160. I measured
marked every line, the work is all mine, and again search for
the correctness of these respective surveys, and will appear as
on the ground as I have stated in my returns. I may have
committed an error in figures in my Notes, but it appears right
of record. The C. C. Davis Survey (which lies at the Beaver ^{Bowie} by fair
gate) very conflicts with the John ^{Bawitz} Survey claim, when you will
find my notes say that the N. W. corner of this Survey stands 150 m South
and 50 m East of the N. E. corner of a 480 acre survey made for
William Davis (I may have used the word North instead of South
of course). I measured the feet to be so, having measured
the Survey between the N. W. corner of the Davis and the corner of
Hollingsworth Survey, and the corner of the Davis 480 acre Survey
of the C. C. Davis Survey, but not the W. M. Davis, and I well know

I am encumbered in saying less by an inspection of the Penn
Survey or Border of my office. He calls to begin, and there
was \$696.07. Then with others $\frac{1470}{1782}$ with the $\frac{1470}{1782}$ put in deposit
into & placed above as stated. Then West. 37.244 Then South $\frac{915}{1283}$
then $\frac{975}{1283}$ nearly opposite each other the 1283 in going down to Scantling SW
corner in all 32.28 ac. Wilson W.S. laid Then North $\frac{1591}{1782}$ East
26.83 as the closing line has no distinction, and from what I have
seen and noted of the lines of their survey. It must have made
in this way, I have stated, for I recollect being by his side when
the S.E.C. of the W.H. Christian's Survey East and west just 1204
ac. to the Scantling Survey, and wrote then I don't know if it would
run over his line. It is all open Prairie. The grounds are cut up, or
permanent flats & flooded, save the N.E. & S.E. corners which
seem to begin on the Cap. Scantling tract, I was called
to these corners about 10 years ago, and have been careful to have
those corners perpetuated by good Board & Stake.

The Adam Smith Survey begins at Ballard S.E.C. & Christians
at the N.E. corner, leave a space of 14.88 ac between them having
some 8 & measured at three times the crop if the line between
the N.E. & S.E. corners of that Ballard Survey which are bounded like
Good made the Scantling 220 survey & makes the lines 11.14 ac
Hepper made the Preston Survey. Begins at Scantlings SW
corner 15.6 ac. then North 11.89 & then East $\frac{8}{15.6}$ to Scantling
SW corner 16.58 to the big creek being 16.58 & then 11.58
The David Chishiro Survey does not reflect with the Patsy
Hickman Survey there is just 1000 ft between them and 25 ac
between Chester N.E.C. and the Preston Survey.

I have been guided in my action on the matter by the course
of the Ballard & the Scantling Survey as my guide — as I am
and have so much affected that is only at intervals that I am considerate
you will be pleased to read this scroll. But as soon as I am able I will
furnish you with a sketch of the Blopen Prairie Survey showing the situation

the Price Survey, as some 7 or 8 years I resurveyed the lines of the first boundaries
of the survey and found ~~them~~ were both in the lines & disconnected from other
Survey until which time I hope you will bear with me, as I believe that
I can show the Price Survey as the City does not agree with the
facts as above stated, the Photograph Map shows, conflicts in those lines.

S. Crosby Esq. Cane St. See I some years ago purchased of Mr.
E. P. Barnett (now of Basque County) his right Survey of 320 acres
lying 4½ miles South of Clarksville. His agent was to process the papers.
It has never been handed over to me. Now I wish you to examine its
condition if the Agent has done his duty & the fees not paid, & if it can
be patented please notify me of the facts in relation to it, and drop
me a line with your bill for expenses and trouble, and I will by return
mail if possible remit the full amount for the fees & for your
trouble.

One I would ask of you in the same turns to
examine my case file for the field notes of a Survey of 320 acres which lies
immediately North of Mr. Barnett's. It was made upon the Head right
Crest, of St. Lucie & Jackson by upon Joseph Green (now of Basque City)
Your Photograph furnished me shows the proper Space & Shape of the Survey, but
lives at Breath or east Harrison. It is made upon the junction crest of
4 Miles, - Red River City. The Record shows that St. Lucie & Jackson emigrated
in 1840, waited until 1844 without taking out his certificate, and at
that time the land was taken up by No. 13 of the 4th class
Please furnish the information in relation to it so that your files and
Records will enable you to do. In so doing you will confer favor
upon me as a person interested in the matter, but I will freely pay
such amount as may cover all your trouble &c.

Yours truly

William D. Orr

File 9.

Wm D. Orr
Elksville April 1862

Explanatory of several
Matters relating to Swamps
in St. Croix County.

Ans. 14th May 1862

Red River Co

that one of my predecessors Mr. Lewis in making the survey of John H. Davis for 640 acres Davis 1480 & 320, and the J. S. Leptosurvey began the survey of John H. Davis just 920 or distant parts the place called for he called to begin at the S. E. corner of the River or Grace Seager's lot but Mr. Lepto made and left of it S. E. corner, but I have made up of the surveys by plot and appurtenances Now for the Blossomprairie survey it will require much time and trouble to give explanations which is required, a short history or relation of facts as we appear from the River, and the subsequent removal of the timber which I know would enable you to see the difficulty which surrounded the surveys called recently, your Photograph makes which you furnish me shows the discrepancy of the survey A brief statement of fact, will I hope be satisfactory for the present The Ballard Survey was first made in that neighbourhood by G. L. Hammett lines and corners made and established to other surveys made conforming to it, this being by a survey of the lines is found to be greatly over the calls as the longer longitude measure over 5000 ft. the calls the lines running finds other surveys such as joined it had to conform, which by their lines. The following Scrantling survey of 220 acres was made the previous year or having no connection with any other survey this survey was made by B. Geodetic calling to begin 500 ft East of a lake when the boundaries found to be over 2000 feet from a South East corner.

The John Price 3d Survey was made by Steppen, and bears date the 5th of March 1842, beginning the 5th July 1842 Second Steppen made the Price, the J. H. Davis, Government and Boston Survey, and fully believe part of the knowledge I have of the case, that the Price and the Boston lines were made by simply plotting out the spaces left by other Leptosurvey Doctor Isaac Wilson Father Second B. Geodetic, I am wordy dole by Still Esq. Poorly & Allen by ^{Right} W. H. Chester Esq. of Rock counter 43050 Red River Co. St. File #9