


A Sketch showing the connection of the surveys on the East side of
the James Miro claim of 26 Acres



Not in My office Records (shown the 1)
By the order of J. E. Lane Subst. 6460-
full meeting on the 21st June only
57490

In a note to me from

the Com L.O. the following statement appears "William J. Smith 100 acres, conflict
with the survey in the name of Saml. French" "The best line of A. McClellan 2850.00
"John Dickson 950" "Saml. French 930" "Destine from the Miro SE corner 700.
"57430, S E line of N° 32 N 9 P P R R 613 East line of Miro 2580 deduct 6193
" Deduct 5726 results only lines 763. The Survey (Smith's) calls for 1112 = 335
" Actual conflict with S. French 335. 1911 R.R. Survey"

Now let me show the facts as they are and appear upon the ground the E.P. line of the
Miro from the record is only 6034, McClellan going 613 further East - all the meetings on
west to, is 5580 and N.W. end of N° 613 at meter 6193 East to the point Miro claim
begins at the S.W.C. of the McClellan run so 27 3800.00 and then goes West 5000
counter 35131

I have never yet been able to find any proper S.E.C. the distance fell out in some urban but
 much under the length I had on the ground. I have two pieces of evidence under the Act of 1854 but
 they were abandoned. I have set N.W.C. from S. for 2. or 1900 or 1. Thus I made the French
 Survey of 1873 that the course of the Miro gave it as his opinion that the distance would be 700
 was the proper course but as I could find nothing to establish it I believe there was
 an error, and this is the reason why I in making the 1873 survey made the point of
 beginning at French's S.W.C. and gave as the map 1113. Now I have measured all of them along
 the Miro line to see how well they agree but the distance given on the Blake map is correct, and
 that there is no conflict on the ground with the survey of Smith and Francis. I made the survey
 of John Decker and Wm G. Smith under the Act of 1860 Francis being made before, October, 1850
 Smith's No. 1113 Francis 930 - making from N.W.C. 2998 leaving 802 to complete the
 the call of 3800. Your map of the Miro land, if your photograph be correct does not show the fact
 there from the N.W.C. of M.C. to the S.E.C. ^{of Miro} by map ~~shown~~ by the scale reads 3100. I believe and know
 all the while that there was ~~error~~ in this survey, and is one reason why I did comply
 with the request made me to correct the survey of P. Blond & Jas Miro, there has been
 no survey made on the N.B. of the Miro claim. There is no error or conflict with the
 survey represented except Francis's call in saying 700 as N. of Miro S.E.C. which was
 occasioned by the want of acknowledgment of the facts, as none was visible, by which I
 should have ^{been} governed. I hold that the P. considers very east of it, as not well
 defined boundaries. Compare then these surveys, their distances with the Miro line
 from N.W.C. the error in the map will be manifest.

File 13.
Red River

South of Clarksville,
East of James Miro's survey.

Filed March 14/1863.

7 miles S.W. from
Clarksville