


1244
416
1760

677
231
416



416

I instance the call of the Wm J. Johnson survey. It was first surveyed by Heffer in perhaps 1841. In 1847 it was surveyed by Seideman
 Heffer begins at element N 90 E. and at a point due north of Heblents survey and a square of 13444. Seideman begins at W 3/4 S 1/2 E and makes it 1440 in length & 1250 wide, leaving the line of Johnson's in two places, and the line you supposed in your letter. That Thomas Brooks conflicted with Walter Pellett I was misled by the letter in getting the proper line in the survey of the Brooks. The whole country is full of marked lines, for the Longford survey was made three times by making new lines. Heffer in 1841 & 22 surveyed all over it some of which show of records. Seideman after he left then claims, and I judge do think hold, the land some of the surveys call for F. S. Blount's had, while one call it as made for Brewster another calls for Longston survey. What was discrepancy may appear on the former maps of this part of the country. I am laboring of the correctness of this work as representing the true boundaries and divisions of lines, many seeming discrepancies and conflicts may appear in the survey, from the mistaken point of beginning of a particular survey instead the 1st Longford survey was changed before any other survey was made since the Brewster 660 the 2d survey left an open space between Longford & Brewster of 400 hence the errors in calls all around, and was to correct them and set them fairly on the map require much labor and time, all of which I have to do at my own time & expense the present holders of them could seem to have little care about fixing up the title to their lands, and may of the early surveys were made without proper care particularly in the measurement. Excuse me if you please at the present & should my disabilities be removed and I again be able to make some more corrections I trust we shall be able to make more & better returns of my work.

I am at this moment too weak & feeble to continue my explanation so receive my good wishes & am your prospect through paper
 you
 William D. Coe

I send the Government dues & patents due on the claims of J. W. Ballard
 Andrew Allison and Robt H. Ash by
 by his widow Elizabeth A. Ash

\$246 Recd of Govt
 July 2/63
 counter 35135
 6609 178 RR
 6891 211 RR
 9651 414 RR

I instance the call of the Wm J. Johnson survey. It was first surveyed by Heffer in perhaps 1841. In 1847 it was surveyed by Seideman. Heffer begins at element N.E.C. and at a point due north of the element survey and a square of 1344 is Seideman begins at Wm Bailey N.E.C. and makes it 1440 in length & 1230 wide, leaving the line of Johnson's in two places, and the line you supposed in your letter. Thus Thomas Brooks conflicted with Walter Pelett. I was misled by the letter in getting the proper line in the survey of the Brothers. The whole country is full of marked lines, for the Langford survey was made three times by making new lines. Heffer in 1841 & 2 surveyed all over it some of which show of records. Seideman afterwards took claim, and Fuggle do think hold the land. Some of the surveys call for G. S. Blount's land, while one call it as made for Brewster as other calls for Longston survey. What was describing may appear in the former maps of this part of the country. I am satisfied of the correctness of this work as representing the true boundaries and divisions of lines, many seeming discrepancies and conflicts may appear in the survey from the mistaken point of beginning of a particular survey instead the 1st Longford survey was changed before any other survey was made. Hence the Brewster call the 2d survey left an open space between Longford & Brewster of 400, hence the errors in call all around, and more to correct. This and set them fairly in the map requires much labor and time, all of which I have to do at my own time & expense. The present holders of them could seem to have little care about fixing up the title to their land, and many of the early surveys were made without proper care particularly in the measurement. Excuse me if you please at the present & should my disabilities be removed and I again be able to make some more corrections I trust we shall be able to make more & better returns of my work.

I am at this moment too weak & feeble to continue my explanation. So receive my good wishes for your prosperity through life.
 yours
 William D. Coe

I send the Government due & payable for
 on the claims of J. W. Ballard
 Andrew Allison and Robt H. Ash by
 by his widow Elizabeth A. Ash

\$246 Recd of Cash 6609 178 RR
 July 27 63 6891 211 11 7
 counter 35135 9651 414 1 7

File 14.
Red River Co.

Between Big Pine Creek
and Red River.

Filed July 20th / 1863.

North by W. from Clark's
village on the Red River

State of Arkansas