

0 956  
D240

M. M. ANDERSON

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL CIVIL ENGINEER  
LICENSED STATE LAND SURVEYOR  
707 MCBURNETT BUILDING  
SAN ANGELO, TEXAS

A-469  
A-626

PHONE 3384

4-25-49

REPORT OF SURVEY'S IN RUNNELS COUNTY

This report covers the H. T. Davis Survey's No. 315 and 314, the Joseph Farwell Survey No. 313, the Allison Taylor Survey No. 38, the John Farrar Survey No. 336 and the B. G. Glaser Survey No. 49½ in Runnels County, Texas

I began my survey on the John Farrar Survey No. 336 and the B. G. Glaser Survey No. 49½. I had no trouble finding the Southeast corner of No. 336 as indicated on the accompanying map but in the absence of any other marks on the ground I used the fence, which is very old, where it intersects the north bank of the Colorado River for the southwest corner of this Survey. Knowing the Survey to be excess in width, I tied in the fence on the east line of the James M. Harbour Survey No. 337 and the one on the west of the H. Alford Survey No. 334 which are property lines and are supposed to be on the Survey lines to be sure I wouldn't be taking land from adjoining property owners and found these to be in excess as much as or more than this Survey. Since the fences on this Survey No. 336 for various reasons are not on the Survey lines, reasons which can be seen on the map, I established the bearing on the east line of the James M. Harbour Survey No. 337 and placed the east and west lines of this Survey No. 336 parallel to it to establish their bearings and found the west line of this Survey to go down the fence line until it hit the road shown on the map. In setting the north line of the John Farrar Survey I used a fence whose distance from the southeast corner of this Survey was found to be only 6.6 varas more than the original field called for. Since I would need to know the location of the north line of the James M. Harbour Survey No. 337 for the South line of the B. G. Glaser Survey No. 49½ I set it 75 varas North of the John Farrar Survey No. 336 as the original field note distance shows. In the absence of any corners to tie down the south line of the E. I. Field Survey, to be used for the north line of the B. G. Glaser Survey No. 49½ I had to use the fence set for this line. For the south line of this Survey I used the lines already established for the north lines of the John Farrar Survey No. 336 and the James M. Harbour Survey No. 337 as established in the paragraph above. The west line of this Survey was a continuation of the west line of Survey No. 336 and the east line of this Survey was set to begin 43 varas North 59° 54' West of the northeast corner of the James M. Harbour Survey No. 337 and run parallel to the West line.

In the survey of the H. T. Davis Survey No. 314 and 315, and the Joseph Farwell Survey No. 313 and the Allison Taylor Survey No. 38 all fences were surveyed and the bearing's and lengths of each

counter 35493

M. M. ANDERSON  
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL CIVIL ENGINEER  
LICENSED STATE LAND SURVEYOR  
707 MCBURNETT BUILDING  
SAN ANGELO, TEXAS

A-626

PHONE 3384

REPORT CONTINUED

calculated as shown on the plat, all fences were used for Survey lines except the west line of the Joseph Farwell Survey No. 313 and the north line of the H. T. Davis Survey No. 314 in which cases the centerline of the road was used; the east line of the Allison Taylor Survey No. 38, which will be explained later and the north end of the east line of the Joseph Farwell No. 313 which is an extension of the south end of this line. The property owners in this Survey all said the fence at the north end of this line had been set west of its original location.

In establishing the north line of the Joseph Farwell Survey No. 313 and the east line of the Allison Taylor Survey No. 38, it was necessary to set the southwest corner of the B. Sandoval Survey No. 312 since the B. Sandoval Survey, the Joseph Farwell Survey and the H. T. Davis Survey's were surveyed by the same surveyor and at the same time and the northwest corner of the Joseph Farwell Survey and the southwest corner of the B. Sandoval Survey were called to be a common corner. To do this I located the point where the fence line on the North of the Farwell Survey intersects the center line of the road which is the common line between the H. T. Davis and the Allison Taylor Surveys on the West and the Joseph Farwell Survey on the East. After establishing this common corner and the south line of the B. Sandoval Survey I found that the length of the east line of Joseph Farwell Survey checks within 8.6 varas of the distance given in the original field notes, the southeast line of the Allison Taylor was found to check within 4.6 varas of the length called for in the original field notes and after establishing the southwest corner of the B. Sandoval Survey and constructing its east line at the west corner of the E. I. Field Survey No. 24, the B. Sandoval Survey scales 2305 varas in width as called for in the original field notes. I

I first established the lines of the river surveys and the B. Sandoval Survey, which are the senior surveys then fit in the Allison Taylor Survey along their lines.

The reason fences were used as survey lines is because the fences are old, being built at about the time the preemption surveys were surveyed originally. The only original corners that could be found at the time of my surveys were the southeast corner of the H. T. Davis Survey No. 315, which is also the southwest corner of the H. T. Davis Survey No. 314, the southeast corner of the Joseph Farwell Survey No. 313 and the southwest corner of the H. T. Davis Survey No. 315. Being the same corner called for in the field notes previously submitted for the southeast corner of the Charles Lockhart Survey No. 316 for Mr. J. E. McGuley.

*M. M. Anderson*

M. M. Anderson  
Licensed State Land Surveyor

counter 35494

OFFICE  
GENERAL LAND SURVEYOR  
STATE OF TEXAS

RECEIVED  
MAY 23 1949  
6461 E. AM

M. M. Anderson  
M. M. Anderson

No. 316 for Mr. J. E. McAnley admitted for the southeast corner of the Charles Lockhart Survey being the same corner called for in the field notes previously No. 313 and the southwest corner of the H. T. Davis Survey No. 315. Survey No. 314, the southeast corner of the Joseph Rawwell Survey Survey No. 312, which is also the southwest corner of the H. T. Davis at the time of my surveys were the southeast corner of the H. T. Davis surveyed originally. The only original corners that could be found are old, being built at about the time the preemption surveys were The reason fences along the survey lines is because the fences Allison Taylor Survey, which are the senior surveys then fit in the B. Sangoval Survey, which are the lines of the river surveys and the areas first established for in the original field notes, B. Sangoval Survey No. 34, the B. Sangoval Survey scales 3302 of the E. I. Field Survey No. 34, the B. Sangoval Survey scales 3302 B. Sangoval Survey No. 34, the B. Sangoval Survey scales 3302 original field notes explaining the southwest corner of the was to check the area of the length called for in the the survey lines, the southeast line of the Allison Taylor Survey I found that the length of the east line of the check within 8.6 acres of the distance given in the survey on the common corner and the south line explaining the common corner and the south line between the H. T. Davis and the Joseph Rawwell Survey located the point where the fence line of the Sangoval Survey were called to be located at the corner of the Joseph Rawwell Survey and the corner of the Joseph Rawwell Survey and at the corner of the Joseph Rawwell Survey and the corner of the Joseph Rawwell Survey and the corner of the Joseph Rawwell Survey and the corner of the Joseph Rawwell Survey.

Sketch No. 28  
County  
M.M. Anderson's Report of John Farrar  
and Adjoining Surveys

Filed May 24, 1949

BASCOM GILES, Com'r

W. W. Rosenberg  
File Clerk

See Runnels Rolled  
Sketch 30

Original location. The fence at the north end of this line had been set west of the south end of this line. The property owners in this Survey all said east line of the Joseph Rawwell No. 313 which is an extension of the Survey No. 38, which will be explained later and the north end of the centerline of the road was used; the east line of the Allison Taylor and the north line of the H. T. Davis Survey No. 314 in which areas lines except the west line of the Joseph Rawwell Survey No. 313 calculated as shown on the plat, all fences were used for Survey

REPORT CONTINUED

SVN VINGELO, TEXAS  
207 MCANINNETT BUILDING  
LICENSED STATE LAND SURVEYOR  
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL CIVIL ENGINEER  
M. M. ANDERSON

counter 35495

A-282

PHONE 3386