Midland, Texas April 12, 1950 7-248

Honorable Bascom Giles Commissioner, General Land Office Austin, Texas

Dear Sir:

0 2/2

36

Herewith we enclose corrected field notes for the J. B. Childers Survey No. 36, which field notes take the said Childers Survey out of conflict with senior surveys.

In locating this survey it was necessary to locate the Gray Carroll Surveys Nos. 285, 286, 287, the E. W. Stokes Survey No. 2 3/4, the Joe Lindley Survey No. 53, and the C. H. Wylie Survey No. 4. (SF-15068)

The location of Gray Carroll No. 289, E. W. Stokes No. 2 3/4, Joe Lindley No. 53, and C. H. Wylie No. 4 is covered in reports and plat submitted with field notes for C. H. Wylie Survey No. 2, No. 3, and No. 4, being SF-15072, SF-15032, and SF-15068, respectively.

In locating the Gray Carroll surveys Nos. 285, 286, and 287, we have used the following relocated corners: point in gulley for southwest corner of Gray Carroll No. 285, old Live Oak tree in south line of No. 285, pile of 5 large stones, on bank of Salt Creek from which 12 inch dead double Live Oak bears N 24° W, 104 varas on the south line of No. 286 and the Bluff Corner at the northwest corner of No. 287.

We have reconstructed Surveys No. 285 and 286 as follows:

The south line is a projection from the point in gulley through the Live Oak and stone mound (see accompanying sketch R-4). We have placed the southesst corner of No. 286 1,000 varas (called distances) from the stone mound at northeast corner No. 284. The bearing of the east line of Survey No. 286 was determined by producing a line from this point, (the southeast corner of No. 286) to the northwest corner of Survey No. 287, and for its length we used the called distance of 1,344 waras. We have given the west line of No. 286 and the west line of No. 285 the same bearing as the east line of No. 286 and placed the southwest corner of No. 286 midway between the point in gulley and the reconstructed southeast corner of No. 286. The north line of Nos. 285 and 286 is placed 1, 344 varas (called distance) and parallel to the south line.

We have reconstructed J. B. Childers No. 36 as follows:

The east line common with the reconstructed west line of Gray Carroll No. 287, the south line common with the reconstructed north line of Gray Carroll No. 286 and No. 285 the west line called distance (788 vares) from Taylor's original north northeast corner of the J. B. Childers. We have placed the west line parallel to a line from Taylor's north northeast corner and his reentrant corner on his south north line.

counter 35 196

N-248

Having found the original northwest corner Survey No. 287 and the 3 northeast original corners of the J. B. Childers Survey No. 36, it is apparent that the said Childers Survey conflicts with the Gray Carroll Surveys Nos. 287 and 289 by the amounts shown on the attached sketch R-4.

-2-

shines .

It is therefore my recommendation that a corrected patent be issued on the Childers Survey No. 36 taking same out of conflict with the two Carroll Surveys.

Yours very truly, la bort Cool Licensed State Sur yor rected field notes J. Co Childers B. Sketen NOUSPO

## RECEIVED

MAY 5 1989 INCOME LAND OFFICE

counter 35 497

S

36'

Converses 32.408

The No. 31 .... 4.240 RUNNELS County 30 Sketch File. Reettache Max 4 beuraai ed se Grai BABCOM GILES, Com's 9 Allin The Clary that a corrected patent THE LE BEET o mwonia atmuoms licta Report re: NECEIN WAN 5 Rolled Sk AGIA By C.C.Cool Jan. 1950 PN 32.465 Re-filed from Bx P. 5777 taking my recommendation Indrion I -2-. OW Brina ZULLA6A erolerent ai tI dera aketch R-/ LUI on the Having 00 OTTBD 0 = 61 4 Touno? 5 en 司直通 counter 35,498