

RECEIVED

3-281

Cen

Center Texas Augu.18 1927 AUG 20 1927

REFERRED TO MAP

Hon. J.T. Robison
Austin Texas
Dear Sir:-

On Aug.6 1927, W.C. Huntington the county surveyor of Shelby county Texas, made a survey of 58 1/3 acres of land for me on the W. bank of Sabine river in Shelby county and which is claimed by the Pickering Lumber Co. as a part of the H.E.&W.T.RR Co. No.1 survey, and it seems that the said lumber Co. and its employees are going to put up a big fight for it as they do not wish to be bound by the ~~the~~ field notes of the patten issued to Crawford and Minor July 21, 1885 Vol.7 page 395.

The county surveyor says he submitted the plat and field notes of the land he surveyed for me to the said lumber co. before he recorded and mailed the same to you-(something unusual)

The Pickering Lumber Co. wants the records changed to read on the fourth call of the patten so as to take in all the bends of Sabine river as follows:- -- Thence down the Tennaha Bayou S.45 E to the mouth of the same where it empties into the said Sabine river (however the patten does not call for the river as you know)-----
----- Thence down and with the various meanders of the said river about two miles to the N.E. corner of the John Banks survey, (instead of S.12 E.1190 varas as called for in the patten to the said H.E.&W.T.RR Co. No.1 survey) ----- Thence west with the north line of the John O. Banks survey 2066 varas to the N.W. corner of the said Banks survey, (instead of 1726 varas)

If the records can be changed and altered in this manner, then it would appear to me that the records are not worth much to us, this is my opinion, as it calls for a specific degree and distance.

The said Lumber Co. says the call down the Tennaha Bayou S.45 E. is short and that they must go to the river in order to get their compliments in distance, but they do not want to be bound by the distance on the south line of the H.E.&W.T.RR Co. survey.

I will state for your information that the point as claimed by the said lumber Co. on the Tennaha Bayou for the N.E. corner of the H.E.&W.T.RR Co. No.1 survey, is not the original corner of the said survey, the Hickory and White Oak as called for in the patten has not been found, and the corner which they are now claiming as the N.E. corner of said survey has been made in the last few years and is more than 200 varas and possibly 300 vs. down the said Tennaha Bayou ~~xxxxxxx~~ from where the north line of said survey would intersect the said Bayou if run on a due east course, so if x this be true that is what makes the line down the Bayou short.

The Pickering Lumber Co. has mighty fine attorneys, and when they bought the said H.E.&W.T.RR Co. survey about a year ago, they accepted the same field notes in the deed executed to the Lumber Co. as called for in the patent and did not mention the river at all, I can send you a copy of their deed if you would like to examine the same.

There is likely to be an oil well brought in not far from the land I have made application for and surveyed, in the near future and it is the mineral rights I am interested in, and I realize the state will reserve one half of the minerals. There is not much value to the land and timber.

I beg your pardon if I have worried you with this long letter, but since I have been a surveyor for 35 years, and believe I am right in my contention, I like to contend for it. and I would be pleased to hear from you when you have made an examination of this matter, as to what you think of it.

Yours *Ernest Weaver*

P.S.
I am enclosing to you a copy or plat of the land in that locality made up by the Texas Oil Co. showing how the state of Louisiana regards the location of the Sabine river before the new channell of the river was formed. My contention is that there will be more than 60 acres gain in the H.E.&W.T.RR Co. survey if permitted to run with the meanders of the Sabine river

counter 36668

Answered 8/31/27 B

Shelby County

SK. & Report of Surveys on Sabine R. in Eastern part of County.

Ernest Weaver.

Aug. 18, 1927.

As this is my opinion, as it calls for a specific degree and distance, then it is my opinion, as it calls for a specific degree and distance. I will state for your information that the point as the distance on the south line of the H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey, their compliments in distance, but they do not want to be bound by the said distance of the H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey. The distance on the south line of the H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey is short and that they must go to the river in order to get the said distance of the H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey. The distance on the south line of the H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey is short and that they must go to the river in order to get the said distance of the H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey. The distance on the south line of the H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey is short and that they must go to the river in order to get the said distance of the H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey.

Hon. J. T. Robinson

Gen

Conter Texas Aug. 18 1927

I am enclosing to you a copy of part of the land in that locality as to what you think of it.
 In my contention, I like to contend for it, and I would be pleased but since I have been a surveyor for 35 years, and believe I am right to the land and timber.
 I beg your pardon if I have worried you with this long letter, as it is the mineral rights I am interested in, and I realize the value of the land. I have made application for and surveyed, in the near future the same.

Yours
Ernest Weaver

I can send you a copy of their deed if you would like to examine as called for in the patent and did not mention the river at all, they bought the said H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey about a year ago, they would interest the said Beyer if run on a one east course, so it is this be true that is what makes the line down the Beyer short. The Pickering Lumber Co. has a right line attorney, and when said Tennessee Beyer examines from where the north line of said survey few years and is more than 800 acres and possibly 300 acres down the claiming as the N.E. corner of said survey has been made in the east in the patent has not been found, and the corner which they are now corner of the said survey, the Hickory and White Oak as called for claimed by the said Lumber Co. on the Tennessee Beyer for the N.E. I will state for your information that the point as the distance on the south line of the H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey, their compliments in distance, but they do not want to be bound by the said distance of the H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey. The distance on the south line of the H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey is short and that they must go to the river in order to get the said distance of the H.E. & W.T. RR Co. survey.