To the Hon.Bascom Giles, Commissioner General Land Office. July 12,1940.

counter 36985

1270

Report of a resurvey of surveys 337,338,339, and 340, showing adjacent surveys and Porciones, Starr County, Texas.

Object of survey, to determine by actual survey on the ground the true lines of said surveys 337,338,339 and 340.

Surveyed on the application of T.T.Margo, owner of surveys 337,340 and North part of Porciones to the South.

Data for survey from the County surveyors records of Starr County.

After examining recors of all the different surveys made in this area by different surveyors, I went too a post marked 23 W.in corher of a very old fence ( having been to this point once before with Mr. R.I. Parks Surveyor, some 13 or 14 years before, and thought to be the starting point of the R.S.Dod survey in 1922, mistaken for the N.E. corner of Porcion 60,) I ran S.61 W. with old fence at 150 vs.looked for the corner of porcion, but all I could find was an old rotten post are posts, could have been fence posts are the old original post of the N.E.corner of pocion 60.from this point I ran with an old cendero that could be seen across long valley and a big hill some mile and half away, runing S.54-09'W. at 800 vs. pass out of hills into valley, at 1920 vs. cross fence running S.9 W., at 2052.7 vs.an iron pipe set for the N.W.corner of survey 342 and N.E.corner of survey 338, from whence a cendero runs S.9 W., ( I failed to find the stone as called for by Eivet at this point) at 3360 vs. base of ridge, this point is very indefinite as the base of a ridge could be called for at different points, at 4146 vs. cross old fence line, 25 vs.north of pipe in corner of fence, at 4280 vs. pass a post from whence a fence runs S.9 W.the N.W.corner of survey 338 and N.E.corner of survey 337, at 5200 vs. I failed too find any signs of an old road as call for in Eivets survey, at 5620 vs.Eivet said he passed out of the brush into a prairie, as most of the prairies in this

country has grown up in brush are Mesquites in the past few years, this point was hard too define, at 6200 vs. I was well within a dergamerdera, at 8580.7 vs.to a point in fence, the North line of Porcion 69, S.80-45'E.253 vs. from the N.W.corner of said porcion 69, as established in a survey from the Rio Grande, surveyed by E.M.Card, appointed by the District Court in the Partition of Porciones 67 to 72 inc. surveyed in 1925 and 26.

Thence I ran with the North line od said Porciones, S.80-45'E.at 1093 vs. cross an old road, at 1194.9 vs.to a point in new road in the West line of porcion 70; thence N.9-15'E. 634.7 vs. to a pipe and post mkd. 70 N.W.; Thence S.80-45'E. 1302.8 vs..to a post mkd.70 N.E.from whence a Mesquite mkd.= brs.S.54-24 W.24.3 vs. very old marks; Thence S.9-15 W. 450 vs. to a post mkd.71 N.W. from whence a Mesquite mkd. = (very old marks) brs.S.5-15'E.4 vs.; Thence S.80-45'E.at 549.2 vs. pass a fence corner, the S.E. corner of survey 337 and S.W. corner of survey 339, at 1302.8 vs. anpost mkd. 71 N.E.; Thence S.9-15'E. 958.3 vs. to a pipe and post mkd.72 N.W.; Thence S.80-45'E. at 1108.1 vs. pass a stone set in the ground recognized too be in the North line of Porcion 72 by the owners, at 1182.2 vs. pass a post for the S.E.corner of survey 339 and S.W.corner of survey 340, at 1303.2 vs. set a post for the N.E.corner of porcion 72; Thence S.9-15'W. at 210 vs. set a post for the N.W.corner of porcion 73; Thence S.80-45'E. at 1082 vs. set iron pipe for the S.E.corner of survey 349 and S.W.corner of survey 343, at 1366 vs. set post in old fence corner for the N.E.corner of porcion 73; Thence N.9-15'E. at 250 vs. set post for the N.W.corner of porcion 74; Thence S.80-45'E. at 1203.8 vs. pass 366 vs. south of a Stone mkd. 74, at 1366 vs. set post for the N.E.corner of porcion 74; Thence S.9-15'W. at 518 vs. set post for the N.W.corner of porcion 75; Thence S.80-45'E. at 1328 vs. 3 large stones in corner of fence the recognized N.E.Corner of porcion 75; Thence cont.S.80-45'E.at 1662.3 vs. pass a stone set in ground for the S.E.corner of survey 344 and S.W.corner of survey

counter 36986

· . . .

375, at 3628 vs. post in corner of fence, from whence a Mesquite mkd, 379 S.E. (Old marks) brs.N.14-30'E.43 vs. the S.E. corner of survey 375 and S.W.corner of survey 376.

....

1. .

831

Thence returning to the pipe in the line of porcion 60, the N.W.corner of survey 342 and N.E.corner of survey 338, I ran S.9 W. with an old cendero, at 591.1 vs. corner of fence for the S.W.corner of survey 342 and N.W.corner of survey 341, at 2638.8 vs. a post mkd.341 S.W.; Thence with old cendero S.80-58'E. at 1555 vs. an iron pipe the N.E.corner of survey 340 and N.W.corner of survey 343, at 2895 vs. set a post for the N.E.corner of survey 343, said post being S.35-45' E.16 vs.from a post the West corner of survey 86, and said post and West corner of 86, being S.59 W. 16 vs. from a post the South corner of survey 10.

Thence returning to the post at the S.W.corner of survey 341, and running S.9 W.with fence at 451.4 vs to a post the S.E.corner of survey 338 and a corner of survey 340,;Thence S.80-58'E.352.4 vs. to an Iron pipe the N.E.corner of survey 339 and a corner of survey 340; Thence S.9-02'W. 2308.7 vs. to the post in the North line of porcion 72, the S.E.corner of Survey 339 and S.W.corner of survey 340.

and the second

2

Respectfully Submitted. County Surveyor of starr County, Texas.

counter 36983

38 Starr County 2 7-18 40 Bascom Giles, Com. French 1986 ed, 09 ,888 Filed BeL ere, bym 100 4.352.4 18. 03. • Report of Resurvey of sur. 337,338, 339+340. See Roll. St. 27. \* \*87 B.(01d . 11.8 ----N.e.Id porcio REFERRED TO MAD S01E.43 N.Z. JUL 1 8 1940 co. \* 2 V To TenTop. W ENED 1.00L .976. 18\* AR. M.E. COLNEI ST: C 00.4 30.48 3.1.

5

.

counter 36988