

The State of Texas

Stephens County

To Hon W. C. Walsh Com^r

of General Land Office Austin Texas.

I C Teale surveyor of Stephens County
Texas do hereby beg leave to make the following
partial statement relative to the condition of the block
of P. E. & L. Co surveys situated in the Eastern
portion of Stephens County on Cedar Creek and survey-
ed for said Company by B. B. Barker in August 1853

1st

I found and traced at some distance three
different lines running S 80° E or N 80° W as represented
in the sketch hereto attached. These were old marked
lines and ran the proper distance from each other
from North to South. In tracing these lines from
East to West I found corners at 1344 vs.

2nd

I would have concluded that the lines and corners
above mentioned was the work of said B. B. Barker
surveyor for said P. E. & L. Co and that the same
should be recognized as correct but for the
following reasons to wit

3rd

The lines running North and South seem to run
due North and South while those running East and
West differ 10 degrees.

4th

The bearing trees to some of the corners do not
correspond to the description given in the field notes
of said surveys. I began at the N. E. corner of
Survey N^o 1352 and ran N 80° W 1344 vs to the
N W corner of said survey I found at this

comes one Post oak tree corresponding to the course and distance called for in field notes. R A McNelly a former county surveyor had chiseled into the tree as I was informed for the purpose of ascertaining whether or not the same had the marks of a bracing tree upon it. I was not able to tell anything as to the marks of this tree on account of its disfigurement. I continued the course N 80 W from this corner and at 1344 res I found a small rock pile. There was some mesquite trees at this corner that was marked but did not correspond to the field notes for the NW corner of N 1329. I continued thence N 80 W at 1344 res. I found old rock marked mound which should be the NW corner of N^o 1320 at this corner I found Post oak bracing trees and the corner located in Post oak timber. The field notes of 1320 call for mesquite bracing trees at its NW corner by continuing this line N 80 W through to the western extremity of said Barkers Work you do not find Post oak bracing trees to any of the corners along this line hence to call for Post oak bracing trees at the NW corner of Survey N^o 1332 and Post oak bracing trees at the NW corner of 1320 is a contradiction for the field notes of 1320 call for mesquite bracing trees at its NW corner at which point I found Post oak bracing trees and the corner in Post oak timber as above stated

The block of T. E. and L. Co surveys lying North and adjoining the work done by B. D. Barker was surveyed by James W. Powell a few days before the surveys were made by said Barker and the block of surveys T. E. & L. Co. lying South of the work done by B. D. Barker was made by Thos. Leckie a few days after Barker made his surveys.

There seems to be no difficulty about the surveys made for the T. E. & L. Co. by James W. Powell and Thos. Leckie hence it seems that it would be absurd to conclude that Barker should begin on Powell's work with the southern boundary line of Powell's surveys and that Thos. Leckie did not discover the mistake made in Barker's work only a few days intervening between the work of these three surveyors.

Should the surveys of B. D. Barker be allowed to run over the ground as represented in the accompanying sketch great confusion will be produced in the surveys south and adjoining the T. E. & L. Co. surveys made by Barker.

Given under my hand this 29th day of September 1887. C. V. Hale
Surveyor Stephen's Co., Tex.

File 10^a
Stephens Co

C. Veale's letter to W.C. Walsh
in re to location of T.E. & L. Co
Surveys.

Dated Sep. 29, 1884 -
Filed Oct. 11, 1884 -

Filed Octob. 11/84.

Wm Veale

counter 37047

9/29/84-