

THE S.E. CORNER OF THE Z.C. COLLIER
is a
COUNTERFEIT CORNER OF THE J.H. PRICE

Because:-

Its position is contradicted by both course and distance from the N.E. Corner of the J.H. Price.

Its position is contradicted by both course and distance to the claimed N.W. corner of the Price, indicating that the surveyor had to move to a cedar grove which ordinarily will furnish better material than he utilized. The claimed old bearings are not the nearest trees to the corner. None of the trees have ever been marked by a surveyor. The stringy bark on a cedar never heals, or sheds. If removed it does not reform.

The 14" cedar is now 20":6 inch growth; and moved 5 varas toward corner
The 11" " " " 13 $\frac{1}{2}$: 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " " : " " 3 varas toward corner
The 9" " " " 10 : 1" " : " " 2 varas toward corner
25% variance is too much even for guessed short distance.
These distances are 25% to 33 over the trees claimed.

The iron pipe and pile of stone is 0.9 varas N.45°E. from T.W. Brown's corner. This change from Brown's position makes the price directions fit, but every distance is wrong. If this variance is allowed, viz adding 205 varas west, and adding 185 varas south to find a thick grove, and then permit 25% to 33% variance in close bearing distances and thereby holding 27% excess area with no surveyors marks on trees, which are in virgin state; Then counterfeiting corners in Texas is not an art, any slouch can do better. This corner is not verified by the closest good cedars which would have been used by a locating surveyor.

Its position is contradicted by claimed S.E. corner of Collier and by the pond called to surround Price S.E. corner

Owner claims S.E. corner of J.H. Price at an iron pipe and a few stone (recent) which are under his fence running N & S. It is on the foot of a steep rocky incline, one vara S W of the Pond. This point is 14 $\frac{1}{2}$ varas east of a south line from the N W corner of the Jas H. Price. Nothing on the ground authorizes this 14 $\frac{1}{2}$ varas. When 14 $\frac{1}{2}$ varas is subtracted the point moves west that distance and falls near the top of the steep rocky bluff.

S.W.
THE S.W. CORNER OF THE S.A. SATTERFIELD
is a
COUNTERFEIT N.W. CORNER OF THE J.H. PRICE

Because:-

Its position is contradicted by the drain which is permanent, and was a waterway when the price was located. Trees standing on the bank (now stumps) will verify this fact, over any parole evidence.

Its position is contradicted by distance to J.H. Price N.E. Corner.

Its position is contradicted by both course and distance to the claimed S.W. Corner for Jas H. Price.

Its position is contradicted by the distance between the creeks, making a 2" natural object which can not be overcome by parole evidence.

Its position is contradicted by the living 7" mesquite stump around which the stone have been piled in recent years.

Its position is contradicted by the East line of Guadalupe College 640, for the two tracts must conflict if traced to the claimed west line of the Jas H. Price.

Its position is contradicted by unmarked P.O. now standing S. 21 $\frac{1}{2}$ ° W 16.2 . for if this is a bearing tree as claimed the corner is considerably west and north of the point claimed.

Its position is contradicted by unmarked P.O. now standing N 10° E 12.6 varas for if this is a bearing tree as claimed the corner is considerably east and south of where it is claimed.

The one distance to creek which is made to approximate the field note call by adding 161 varas to the called distance from N.E. corner should find one of the three trees, or some other object before course and distance can be abandoned. The fact that the second call for the creek contradicts this corner, shows that course and distance from N.E. of Price should control.

Its position is not sustained by any fact.

If our courts permit corners to be identified in the above manner, land corners are easily counterfeited, and no land boundary is safe in Texas.

THE S.W. CORNER OF THE S.A. ...
COUNTY OF ...

PLANNED

The position is described by the ...
The position is described by the ...
The position is described by the ...

File No. Sketch File 27
STEPHENS County
Report ~~accompanying~~ accompanying Rld. Sk. 4

Filed 19
GARRY MAURO, Com'r
By Douglas Howard (12-13-1990)

[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

Stephens 4
Plot 1 of 2