

TERMS OF COUNTY COURT.

FIRST MONDAY IN JANUARY, APRIL.

JULY AND OCTOBER

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

E. E. PARKER, PRECINCT NO. 1

PAUL GRAY, PRECINCT NO. 2

JAKE Z. HARPER, PRECINCT No. 3

P. O. BAN ANGELO

P. O. WATER VALLEY E. E. FOSTER, PRECINCT NO. 4

P. O. KNICKERBOCKER

TOM GREEN COUNTY

OFFICIAL DIRECTORY

GEO, F. JONES, COUNTY JUDGE RALPH LOGAN, COUNTY ATTORNEY E. E. LOWE, SMERIFY C. W. BARNETT, DISTRICT CLERK M. HAAKS, TAX ASSESSOR AND COLLECTOR O. M. BENNETT, COUNTY TREASURER J. A. SIMPSON, COUNTY SURVEYOR FRANK M. JACKSON, COUNTY SURVEYOR EMMETT KEATING, COUNTY CLERK R. L. ARMSTRONG, COUNTY CLERK SAN ANGELO. TEXAS October 13, 1939.

Mr. Bascom Giles Land Commissioner Austin, Texas. Dear Bascom:-

Your letter of the llth Inst. received and I note what you have to say about the Sutton County map that your Office is working up.

All that part of Block "B" H.E. & W.T.R.R.Co., West of the town of Sonora was laid down on the ground course and distance from the N.W. corner of survey No. 85 and the N.E. corner of survey No. 92. The town of Sonora being mainly located on survey No. 85, which was surveyed by Surveyor McNichols. He tied on the ground with witness trees the N.W. corner of the town section, however he has about 353 varas of excess in his survey from the beginning corner of Block "B" on the East to the N.W. corner of the town section, the difference between 1622 varas as shown by Mr.Saunders and 1269 varas as shown by me in my survey.

About the latter part of 1929 or the first part of 1930, at which time I made a survey for the Halbert's and Mrs. Ward, all of the land owners in Block "B" lying West of the town section petioned the Land Commissioner asking that the N.W. corner of the town section as located by McNichols be recognized and used in locating their land.

The above petition was acted on favorably by the Land Commissioner and my survey was made accordingly.

The Land Commissioner also stated at that time that Block "C" could be built course and distance West from its Easterly beginning corner and would not of necessity have to respect its calls for Block "B" on the North.

The Rice survey No. 144 1/2 was evidently patented on Mr. E.C. Saunders field notes. Mr. Saunders contending that survey No. 92 Block "B" should extend over on the town section to the extent of 353 varas, which at that time would have caused considerable confusion and moving of fences among the Ranch owners to the West of the town as their fences were built on the McNichols survey and not the Saunders survey.

I trust that the above information will clarify the difference that you have mentioned.

Very truly yours, Licensed State Land Surveyor

counter 37515

esifi edT bleit zrebnu voda "d" doo idw asrsv 3 suivom bns n Tledt as nw svrue arebnu taurt I evsd vov ts	If not of necessity have to respect its calls for the tot be and distance Hest from its Basterly beginning the task that the task of the difference it to the town section as the time the task of the difference it to the town section as the time the task of the difference it to the town section as the time the task of the difference it to the town section as the time the task of the difference it to the town section as the time the task of the difference it to the town section as the time the task of the town section as the difference it to the town section as the time the task of the town section as the time the task of the difference it to the town section as the time the task of the town section as the time the task of the town section as the town section as the time the task of the town section as the time the task of the town section as the town section as the town section as the town section as the time the task of the town section as the town sec	J. A. Simpson Filed Oct. 14 193 9 Bason Julie Con'r Hile Cherk Descripting: about the construction J. Surreyvin Block B H.E. E. W. T. Ry Co.
		counter 37516