

opy of letter to Into Nein

ated June 8, 1921

Trivity co - 37

General Land Office State of Texas Austin

June 8, 1921.

J. T. ROBISON, COMMISSIONER J. H. WALKER, CHIEF CLERK

> Mr. J. M. O'Neill, County Surveyor, Groveton, Texas.

Dear Sir:

This office is in receipt of your letter of the 23rd ultimo enclosing a plat showing the John Veatch league and a number of small surveys along its north line in Trinity County and note what you say concerning the location of the north line of the Veatch and suggestion of bringing suit in the courts to establish this line of the Veatch as it has been recognized for more than forty years, etc.

In reply beg to say that while your suggestion might be a wise course to pursue, such procedure should be decided on or determined by the owners of the lands involved, should they care to do so.

In other words, while the field notes of the Veatch indicate its NE corner to be common with the NW corner of the Castro league as stated in my recent letter to you, yet inasmuch as this office accepted statements from the County Surveyor of that County many years ago showing the NE corner of the Veatch to be about 500 varas south of the NW corner of the Castro league, this office now has no desire to disturb this line or do anything that would cause trouble or litigation over same.

counter 38591



General Land Office State of Texas Austin

JMO _2_

J. T. ROBISON, COMMISSIONER J. H. WALKER, CHIEF CLERK

> Should it be found or held that the Veatch and Castro have common corners then the W. Helms survey referred to would be slightly in conflict with the NW corner of the Veatch league. Otherwise, the Helms survey would not be disturbed or changed by said Veatch line. That is to say, should the Veatch line be moved north as shown by your plat, such change would not move the Helms south line, but simply cause a conflict at the SE Corner of the Helms with the NW corner of the Veatch.

> > Yours truly,

Commissioner

Clark-s

counter 38592