

File 8.

Uvalde County

Surveyor's statement
filed Decemb. 11/82.

West of Nueces.

239.6 - 181.5

counter 38967

W. C. Walsh Com^r of G. L. Off.
Austin, Tex.

Walpole, Dec. 9th 1852.

Dear Sir!

Having recently done some private work on the Upper Neuses, I give You some actual Distances, as found on the Ground, which will enable You to correct Maps of this Co. Surveys Nos. 210, 207, & 106 in name of Abram G. Kinnels. have a Surplus in width of 55 P^s; Surveys Nos. 203, 202 & 199 have a Surplus of 110 P^s. Total of 165 P^s.

- in the Work begun at orig. N. W. Cor. of No. 210; found Corners as re-established by J. W. Cummings. which corresponded with still existing Corners of Surveys on opposite Banks. on lower line of Sy. No. 199 found old line plainly marked - there is a Cor. N. W. of No. 198. in name of John D. McLeod (re-established. still 40 P^s below orig. line of No. 199. -

— Surveys on W. Side of Neuses.

Nos. 229 John C. Bayley; 237, 333, & No. 234. Jacob. H. Winfree. fall short in width 113 P^s. - Started fr. old. orig. N. W. Cor. of No. 226. - meandered up the Riv. & found the old. bearing to N. W. Cor. of No. 234 -

- I went a dist. on its upper line & run back across said Surveys - found that Calculations had been all right and that the said Minus actually exist.

To re-establish Corners in the Cases like
this is it correct to divide the
Surplus or Minus pro rata between
the No. of Acres or Number of Acres
contained in exp. Surveys?

Yours very resp.
Louis A. Bohme
Surv. Uvalde Co.