

Walden Tex Sept 21st 1882
W. C. Walsh

Commr Genl Land Office -
I enclose sketch of Survey between
the Central & Frio Rivers with the
following explanation -

The connections are designated by dotted
lines, and I would refer you to my connections
line between the above named rivers now in
your office and which I have lately proved
as correct by running from the N.E. cor of
Surr No. 1006 Thence West to the N.W. cor. of Same
Thence South and West to the N.W. cor. of Surr.
No. 651 - You say that the former Surveyor
makes the north line of Medina Co. School
Land 2980 ac - He ran from the N.E. cor.
of Surr. No. 1006 James Clemons and made
the N.W. cor. of Same 10 ac West of Surr No. 269
which would be the original call 9590 ac -
This line I have run and found correct
for that distance, but the north line of Surr
1006 should be 933 ac further west as
there is a mistake of that distance in
the meanders of the creek on the Clemons
Surr. as shown by sketch and as the
South line is the governing line - The north
line will be 933 ac longer than original
call. The Meanders of the Frio River between
the S.W. & N.W. corners of Surr No. 651 are
wrong as shown by sketch and connecting
line - In regard to Surr. No. 803

Medina Co. School Land - You say

There is no space East of Sur. No. 651 & 653
 I would again refer you to my connecting
 line - The former surveyor J. W. McLinnick
 when he certifies to the finding of the S.E.
 cor. of Sur. No. 653 means that he found
 the cor. as established by J. W. Cummings ^{Surveyor}
 for this sur. but not the cor. as made by
 the surveyor at the time of location, as
 none of the back corners of any of the
 river survey were ever made at the
 time of original survey. This cor. was made
 from a connection from the river much
 lower down and is entirely wrong - again
 the distance from the N.E. cor. of Sur. No.
 1007 B. W. Kelly to the river is 600 or 6
 long

Sur. No. 1244 J. J. Phelps
 I find this survey as shown by the sketch
 to be 4096 or West and 7955 or North
 from the S.E. cor. of Sur. No. 1243 in the name
 of J. J. Phelps which last named cor. is
 established from the N.E. cor. of Sur. No. 1006
 and is correct. I first ran from the
 S.W. cor. of Sur. No. 1243 N $27\frac{1}{2}$ ° W 5920 or
 according to original case and find myself
 on the top of a high hill about a mile
 from the point where this sur. should be.
 The survey put in from this point would
 cross no creek and would include only
 rough hilly land which was not the
 intention of the locator of Sur. No. 1244

as the said Sm. was made in the Cedar on
the land. I find what I take to be the
original rock mound for S. E. cor. of Sm.
No. 1244, and the position of same described
to me by J. B. Dorey at the original
locator, ~~was~~ was along at the time of our
survey - I find this mound S 74 1/2 E 190 or from
I find the stumps of ~~two~~ cedars burst
to the ground which agree in distance with
all calls and very nearly with bearing
of original trees a 15 & 12 in Cedar.

The S. E. cor. of the Sm. I can not find
as the country has been burnt off and
the trees destroyed. From all the data that
I can obtain this is the position of Sm
No 1244 as shown on sketch 1. It covers
the land intended, a small valley -
2nd the distance to the creek is correct -
3rd the original pile of rocks and stumps
of trees that very nearly agree with original
calls are found - 4th The locator says
that the survey is in the position shown.

Survey 1323 & 1324 -

These surveys I find as shown by sketch
the S. E. corners of each are well established
and recognized as the original ones
although the bearing trees have been destroyed
The corners are the ones that the former
surveyors recognized - but make an
error in connection

Respectfully

A. P. Chandler Co. Surveyor Wood Co.
counter 39006

Sketch File No. 21.

Mvalde Co.

Surveyors explanation
and sketch.
Dated Sept. 21. 1882.

Filed Oct 2/82
W. Wash
Commissioner

Proper
Sept 26/82
Wash

Wash