



I, E. R. Benson County Sur. of Uvalde County, Texas, hereby certify that this a true and correct plot of the surveys shown hereon, as disclosed by a survey made on the ground by me Mch. 20-29, 1919.

E. R. Benson,  
Co. Sur. Uvalde Co. Texas.  
Mch. 31<sup>st</sup> 1919.  
Corners marked with bearing trees.  
E. R. Benson

COUNTY #957

22.

SK. & Report of ER Benson of  
surveys in Uvalde Co., about 18 mi.  
N 25° W. from Uvalde.

counter 39008

Report of E.R. Benson, County Surveyor of Uvalde County, Texas, to the Hon. J.T. Robison, Commissioner of the General Land Office of Texas, on a resurvey made to reestablish the corners and correct the field notes on the following named surveys in Uvalde County, Texas to-wit:-  
Surs. Nos. 33,34,29,30,23,28,27,32, and 31 all in Block A, G.C. & S.F. R.R. Co. and Survey No. 1002 M.J. Perry.

For several years the location of the proper <sup>East</sup> line of Block "A" G.C. & S.F. R.R. Co. has been much in doubt owing to the fact that the original corners of the above mentioned R.R. Surveys had never been found and by locating the said East line of said block by course and distance from the nearest original corner on the west (the NW cor. of Sur. No. 432) there was a surplus of from 700 to 800 vs between the line so established and the West line of Sur. No. 1002. In 1910 I spent several days hunting for the original corners of the said R.R. Surveys and again in June 1918 I made another search, failing both times. Several other surveyors had hunted for these corners but they were never located.

In June 1918 after the second search as stated above I was in your office and among the files of Sur. No. 1002 was found a certificate dated Nov. 14th, 1884, made by Louis H. Bohmee, then County Surveyor of Uvalde County, Texas. This certificate was made at the time that Sur. No. 1002 was originally surveyed and as it gave connections from the original corners of Sur. No. 1002 to original corners of Sur. No. 34, and I, having already found in June 1918, original corners of Sur. No. 1002 by tracing the footsteps made by Bohmee as set forth in the above mentioned certificate, found the original S E corner of Sur. No. 34.

At the original S E corner of Sur. No. 34 I found an old rk. md about 3 ft. high, This rk. md is in a **Live Oak** thicket, the brush had so grown up around it that it was almost hidden from view. The original field notes call for the

following bearing trees at this cor., to-wit:- A L.O. 3"  
S 4 W 23  $\frac{3}{5}$  vs, a cedar 12" n 87 E 50  $\frac{3}{5}$  vs. At the proper distance and course from the old rk md I found a cedar lying on the ground partly buried in the earth, part of the roots of which were still in the ground. All the bark and sap of the tree was rotted away, hence no marks could be found. S 4 W 23  $\frac{3}{5}$  vs from the old rk md I found a L.O. stump 3 ft. high, the upper part of which is dead and the lower part still green with a green sprout 3" in dia. branching off from it. I could find no marks on this stump, the bark having all fallen off.

At 460 vs N 20 W of the old rk md found at the S E cor of survey No. 34 I found another old rk md from which a cedar 10" vs S 71 W 14 vs. This is an original designation point on the East line of Sur. No. 34.

Beginning at the original S E cor. of Sur. No. 34 as described above I ran S 20 E at 1900 vs searched for the S E cor. of Sur. No. 29 but found no sign of corner, at 3690 vs found the S E cor. of Sur. No. 30. Here I found an old rk md half covered with earth with a Persimmon bush growing out of the center of same. One of the original bearing trees a L.O. 8" in dia. now dead stands N 29  $\frac{1}{4}$  W 78 vs the marks on this tree are still there. The other original bearing tree a L.O. now 6" in dia. N 26  $\frac{1}{2}$  W 75 vs is dead and broken off 2  $\frac{1}{2}$  ft. above the ground.

From the S E cor. of Sur. No. 30 I ran S 70 W 1916 vs could not find any sign of the S W cor. of Sur. No. 30. Thence I ran S 20 E and at 1946 vs found an old rk md 7.2 vs north of a wire fence. This fence has been there many years and is supposed to be the division line between Sections 24 & 19, 23 & 26, 28 & 25. N 81  $\frac{1}{4}$  W 5 vs from the above mentioned rk md I found a L.O. still green now 6" in dia., marks have grown off.

At the S E cor. No. 23, the S.E No. 28, the S E No. 27, the S E No. 32, the S E No. 31 I could not find any traces of the old corners. There were no bearing trees called for at these corners in the original field notes of these surveys.

The east line and north line of Survey No. 33 I located from the corners above mentioned. The other lines of same I located by course and distance from the original N W cor. of Sur. No. 432 from which the original bearing trees stand as follows:  
An Elm 9" in dia. vs S 44 $\frac{3}{4}$  140 vs a walnut 10" S 45 E 130 vs.

I found the original N W cor. of Sur. No. 698 from which a Post Oak 12" in dia. vs N 19 E 6.4 vs a cedar 10" vs N 46 W 3 vs. The corners of No. 958 and 955 which are also the corners of No. 1002 I located by course and distance from the N W cor. of Sur. No. 698 as shown on the enclosed plat.

The corners of Surs. 368, 953 and 954 which are common from the original N E & S E cors. of Sur. No. 368 corners of No. 1002 I located by course and distance as shown on the map. At the N E cor. of Sur. No. 368 I found an old rock md and the original bearing trees as follows: a double Post Oak 8" & 14" S 52 $\frac{1}{2}$  E 14 vs do 8" S 73 W 4 vs. At the S E cor. of No. 368 I found an old rk md and the original bearing trees as follows:-  
A L.O. 12" N 35 W 26 $\frac{1}{2}$  vs a Cedar 8" S 76 E 159 vs/

The west line of Surs. Nos. 557 and 558 I located from the original S W cor. of Sur. No. 557 from which the original bearing trees stand as follows: A L.O. now 6" in Dia. vs N 32 W 4  $\frac{7}{10}$  vs do now 5" in dia. N 35 W 4  $\frac{6}{10}$  vs. At this corner I found an old rock mound but as the bearing trees have grown so since they were marked the marks do not show. In order to be doubly sure I ran E from this cor. and at 1934 vs I found the original N E cor. of Sur. No. 558 an old rock md. from which the original bearing trees, 3 Live Oaks from one stump vs N 79 W 12 vs. The other original bearing tree a L.O. 4" S 25 $\frac{1}{4}$  W 12  $\frac{6}{10}$  is gone. Marks on the three live oaks are perfectly plain.

Respectfully submitted

*E. R. Bussow.*

County Surveyor Uvalde County, Texas.

April 7th 1919.

Counter 39011

April 24th 1919.

County Surveyor Uvalde County, Texas.

Respectfully submitted

*E. R. Benson*

Five oaks are perfectly plain.

Bearing tree a L.O. 4" S 35 $\frac{1}{2}$  W 12 6/10 is gone. Marks on the three  
2 Live Oaks from one stump as N 7 $\frac{1}{2}$  W 12 as. The other original  
Sut. No. 358 an old rock md. from which the original bearing trees,

from this cor. and at 1934 as I found the original N W cor. of  
marked the marks do not show. In order to be doubly sure I ran  
old rock mound but as the bearing trees have grown so since they were  
as do now 5" in dia. N 35 W 4 6/10 as. At this corner I found an  
bearing trees stand as follows: A L.O. now 6" in dia. as N 35 W 4 5/10  
the original S W cor. of Sut. No. 357 from which the original  
the west line of Suts. Nos. 357 and 358 I located from  
A L.O. 12" N 35 W 3 5/8 as a Cedar 8" S 78 E 1 5/8 as  
an old rock md and the original bearing trees as follows:-  
S 35 $\frac{1}{2}$  E 14 as do 8" S 73 W 4 as. At the S E cor. of No. 368 I found  
original bearing trees as follows: a double Post Oak 8" & 14"

corners of No. 1005 I located by course and distance as shown on the  
from the original N E & S E cor. of Sut. No. 368

The corners of Suts. 368, 353 and 354 which are common  
in the enclosed plat.

File No. 22  
Uvalde County,  
Sketch & Report by  
G. R. Benson.  
April 10 1919.  
J. T. Robinson  
Co. Clerk  
Fr. Matson

Course and distance from the N W cor. of  
and 355 which are also the corners of  
as N 13 E 6.4 as a cedar 10" as N 48 W 3 as.  
the original N W cor. of Sut. No. 698 from which  
S 44 $\frac{1}{2}$  E 140 as a walnut 10" S 45 E 1 3/4 as.

located by course and distance from the original N W cor. of Sut.

The east line and north line of Survey No. 33 I located  
corners in the original field notes of these surveys.

old corners. There were no bearing trees called for at these  
the S E No. 32, the S E No. 31 I could not find any traces of the  
At the S E cor. No. 33, the S E No. 32, the S E No. 31,

counter 39012

counter 39011