

Laredo, Texas, July 30, 1936.,

Hon. J. H. Walker, Land Commissioner,

Austin, Texas,

Dear Sir:-

I have had occasion to make a resurvey for the West Production Co., of some of their tracts of land in the northwest part of this county and have found them as shown by recent sketches which were mailed to the attorneys at Houston and which are to be delivered at your office together with the Field notes of these tracts.

Sur. 1960-

Survey No. 1960, being a strip of land along the west boundary line of the Antonio Guerra Grant in this county, I cut off the north half of this survey, which is the property of the West Production Co.,

I found that the east line of the Grant, has a fence line along its entire distance, and a part of the north line is fenced and a fence along part of the west line I found to be too far west, as I ran westerly (N 77-57' W 3000 vrs) and placed the west line of the Antonio Guerra at a point 3000 vrs from and parallel to its East line, and was compelled to do so, as I found no established N.W. Cor., nor any objects to identify the west line, except a fence line, which was supposed to be the east line of survey 1960. but which I ignored as it called for the grant line and therefore, extended survey No. 1960 eastward to my line, which gives the grant 3000 vrs width as called for.

The north and south part of the north half of survey 1960 had good iron stakes which were established in the survey made for the I & G N by Wycheski, and duly recognized; I cut off the north part of survey 1960, where the fence lines that had been recognized as the division lines of this area had been for some years, and to at the same time not cause any extra cost in changing them.

Survey 50.,

This survey I located from the west lines of surveys Nos. 73 and 70, and the south line of survey No. 107, and the north line of No. 1, and N.E. line of survey No. 48; as these surveys have all been fenced as shown by sketch along their recognized lines, and each corner is identified by a concrete and 3" pipe monument, placed at the original corner some eleven years ago by me; The S.E. line of survey No. 43, was run as noted in sketch N 45 E 1394 vrs and corner established as we found nothing to show that this corner actually existed on the ground, it is a bare, hilly area, no trees, but covered with gravel and stones, and the soil is hard rock and no stake could be driven in the ground, therefore we placed stone mounds to identify these corners. I then ran from the E. Cor., of 49, as placed by me N 45 W and established its North corner, which was and is the west corner of survey No. 50, and purporting to be on the S.E. line of survey No. 44, and not finding anything to tie to locate the back corners of survey No. 44, I went to the north corner and N.E. line of survey No. 48, which is on the ground identified by a fence line and concrete monuments, and ran the north west line of 1981, S 45 W 1004 vrs from the east corner of survey No. 48, and placed the north corner of survey No. 44, whence I then ran S 45 E 942 vrs to intersect the S.E. line of 44, as run N 45 E 602 vrs from the north corner of survey No. 43, in this manner I found that survey No. 50, altho short east and west had a little excess north and south and at the same time explained the difference in the call of survey No. 1981, which cause some confusion along the west line of survey No. 50. I trust that the manner this was located will be accepted by the land office as I could not do otherwise as there were no other corners to tie to.

Survey No. 888.,

This survey, I found its original corners on the ground, being the N.W. and N.E. of survey 887, The S.E. and S.W. of 16, and the N.W. of 888, The course and distance of the course to river

counter 39817

being the division line between this and survey 104, is 184 vrs and not 510 as called for, it also follows along a deep canyon along its south east boundary line; at the N.W.Cor., of 887, I found an old post and stone mound, I marked a witness tree and the Jardin windmill for future references, at the N.W.Cor., I found two large stone buried together, one marked  $\nabla$ , where I also marked a witness tree and the Jardin windmill for reference. The west line of survey No.16 runs N 01-00'E, it is fenced, there are iron pipes marked, as noted by Wycheskies survey of this section, the S.E. Corner also has a pipe, and a fence is on the west and south lines of survey 16, and along the west line of 17, which are well recognized lines and boundaries of the two sections. I ran west from the N.E.Cor., of survey No.887, and found that I missed the stone and post at the N.W.Cor., about 7.3 vrs, whence I then set the course to suit it, and the N.W.line of survey 887, ran S 45-25'W, it was well defined by cut trees as I followed it to the river.,

1230-1231.,

These two river surveys, at one time, when this area was surveyed were assumed to be located from survey No.1750, Jackson tract which is along the back end of these river surveys, and it was the only tie to them, this was shown to be erroneous, as we found that the distance to the river was not sufficient to allow the Jackson survey to be complete if the river surveys were actually allowed their full distance.

In meandering the river, the only evidence we could find was a large stone marked "A", surrounding by stone mound, which we accepted as the River corner of surveys Nos., 1230-1231, and ran their lines from this corner, which checked close with the north-west line of survey No.32 also a river survey and which has had an old fence line on its northwest boundary for the last thirty years and as survey No.32, is tied into survey 102, it is the only river survey that actually has any recognized boundaries.,

The stone marked "A" and stone mound, which we have accepted as the common river corner of surveys 1230-1231, is 106 vrs N 45E of the high bank of the river, it is not on the edge of the river bluff that is the margin of the river in this area, the other river corners of surveys 1230-1231, were not found, however we established them right on the high river bluff; The east boundary line of the Antonio Rivas Grant line, is a seven foot fence which has been the east boundary of this Grant for the last thirty years so I have been advised, however the stone that is on the river bank some 9 varas from the bank which was standing some eleven years ago, is now just under this fence, as the fence was replaced by a wolfproff fence, and buried into the ground it is lkeley that this stone was removed for the purpose of not interfering with the fence; none of the witness trees noted in these river survey were encountered, as they are all mostly young trees, and any large old dead mezquite that would probably have been indetified as a witness has long since been carried off by the river floods which have been ofer this river bank in the last ten years; The east line of the Rivas grant is as ~~xxxx~~ mentioned above a fence line, we chained along this line to the S.W.Cor., of the Lombrano grant which gave us a distnace of 5480 varas from the corner of the Rivas Grant on the river bank to the S.W.Cor., of the Lombrano Grant, and the Lombrano grant fence ran into the Rivas tract 24 varas S 84 W, and thence it continued N 19-47'E being the division line of the Lombrano and the Rivas Grants, and I found stones placed along this line, and also pipes.

In running the line from the S.E. line of survey 1230 to the N.W.Line of survey No.32, the strip of land purpoting to be a part of survey No.1750 which runs to the river between surveys Nos.1276 and 1275, would not exist, unless we moved the present location of survey No.32 farther south east to allow the full widths of 1275-1280 and 1250, and also the 116 varas that are assumed to be the width of survey 1750., It is quite apparent that the river surveys are in conflict with survey 1750, and also with the surveys Nos., 592, and 1580.

The river meanders of these river surveys from No.32, were run up river to the Rivas grant and to where the West corner of survey No.1230 should have been found, and our sketch shows the river as it actually is on the ground.

Very respectfully,

*E. Foster*  
Surveyor, Webb Count

Line No. 1750

1750

Comit

9189 139818

X

File No. <sup>2</sup> 14 ~~2~~ Box # 4

Webb County  
Surveyors Statement

~~School Land~~  
~~Field Notes~~

Filed August 10<sup>th</sup> 1936

J. H. Walker, Com'r

Walker  
File Clerk

Correct on Map for Acres

N.W. Corner of Co. on the  
Rio Grande.  
See Foster's Sk-12 x 13

counter 39819

river as it separates it on the ground, survey No. 1330 should have been found, and our sketch shows the run up river to the River Grant and to where the West corner of 1380.

The river meanders of these river surveys from No. 33, were conflict with survey 1350, and also with the surveys Nos. 335, and 336 survey 1380. It is quite apparent that the river surveys are in 1350, and also the 13 acres that are assumed to be the width of No. 33 farther south east to allow the full width of 1345-1330 and 1345, would not exist, unless we made the present location of survey No. 1350 which runs to the river between surveys Nos. 1345 and N.W. Line of survey No. 33, the strip of land purporting to be a part of In running the line from the G.P. line of survey 1330 to the found stones placed along this line, and also dikes.

being the division line of the Tombrano and the River Grants, and I the River Grant 34 acres 2 34 W, and hence it continued N 13-41 E G.W. Cor., of the Tombrano Grant, and the Tombrano Grant fence ran into lines from the corner of the River Grant on the river bank to the G.W. Cor., of the Tombrano Grant which gave us a distance of 2480 mentioned above a fence line, we chained along this line to the in the last ten years; The set line of the River Grant is as shown carried off by the river floods which have been over this river bank probably have been indented as a witness has long since been mostly young trees, and any large old aged mesquite that would trees noted in these river survey were encountered, as they are all for the purpose of not interfering with the fence; none of the witnesses buried into the ground it is likely that this stone was removed under this fence, as the fence was replaced by a wolf-hole fence, and from the bank which was standing some eleven years ago, is now just advised, however the stone that is on the river bank some 9 acres east boundary of this Grant for the last thirty years so I have been Antonio River Grant line, is a seven foot fence which has been the them right on the high river bluff; The set boundary line of the corners of surveys 1330-1331, were not found, however, we established bluff that is the margin of the river in this area, the other river of the high bank of the river, it is not on the edge of the river, as the common river corner of surveys 1330-1331, is 108 ars N 43E

X

The stone marked "A" and stone mound, which we have accepted survey that originally has any recognized boundaries. and as survey No. 33, I tied into survey 108, it is the only river old fence line on its northwest boundary for the last thirty years east line of survey No. 33 also a river survey and which has had an corner of survey No. 33, which checked close with the north- corner of surveys Nos. 1330-1331, and ran surrounding by stone mound, which river, the only evidence we could find of the river surveys were actually was not sufficient to allow the shown to be erroneous, as we found end of these river surveys, and it was located from survey No. 1350, Jackson dikes, at one time, when this area was if the river surveys were actually the river surveys were actually was not sufficient to allow the shown to be erroneous, as we found end of these river surveys, and it was located from survey No. 1350, Jackson dikes, at one time, when this area was pa cut across as I followed it to the river, and the N.W. line of survey No. 33, and the N.W. line of survey No. 33, whence I then set the course to suit it, of survey No. 88A, and found that I missed the stone and post at the and boundaries of the two sections. I ran west from the N.W. Cor., to, and along the west line of IV, which are well recognized lines also has a dike, and a fence is on the west and south lines of survey marked, as noted by Wapshetles survey of this section, the N.W. Corner line of survey No. 13 runs N of 00 E, it is fenced, there are iron pipes a witness tree and the origin windmill for reference. The west a large stone buried together, one marked A, where I also marked origin windmill for future reference, at the N.W. Cor., I found and old post and stone mound, I marked a witness tree and the along the south east boundary line; at the N.W. Cor., of 88A, I found and not 310 as called for, it also follows along a deep canyon being the division line between this and survey 104, is 184 ars

Very respectfully,

*J. H. Walker*  
Surveyor, Webb County