

D-22

579

THE STATE OF TEXAS, |

COUNTY OF WEBB. | E X P L A N A T I O N

EXPLANATION of a survey of Surveys 431, 433, 435, 371, 369, 437, 1712, 1551, 1715, 1719, 1711, 1713

all of which are a part of what is known as the Tejones Ranch, are adjacent or adjoining surveys, and are located in Webb County, Texas, about 22 miles N 6° E from Laredo the county seat.

1. Survey 431 H & G N RR. Co. Cert. 5/869. This corrected survey found the N. boundary line of 431 to run N 80° 02' W, 1905.84 vs. instead of West 1900.8 vs. It is a very well marked old established line and fenced (very old) with a very large flat rock well set in the ground at N.E. cor. and a rock at the N.W. cor. The west boundary line is also a well marked line and partly fenced and is marked by a large flat rock at E. cor. of Sur. 433 and N. cor. of Sur. 437 the other two corners not so well marked since they are well inside the ranch boundary lines and have not been kept up or visited often. This is a very old ranch and has furnished firewood for the railroads at the time they used wood to fire their engines with and most of the old land marks have disappeared in this area. However the boundaries and corners are well recognized and undisputed.

2. 433 A. C. H. & B. Cert. 44. This survey found old marked lines on the N.W. and N.E. boundaries as well old fences, large rocks at the E. N. & W. corners all of which are well recognized and undisputed.

3. 437 A. C. H. & B. Cert. 46. This survey found old marked lines on the S.W., S.E. and part of the N.E. boundary lines partly fenced, and large rocks at its N & E. corners. Part of the east boundaries conformes to the boundaries of Sur. 431 all are well recognized and undisputed boundaries and corners.

4. 1551 C & M Cert. 61. This survey found old marked lines on the S.W., N.W. & part of the N.E. boundaries with old fences on them the West cor. is a post from which a live mesq. 18" brs. N. 49° E. 5 vs. and is no doubt the original bearing tree. The N. cor. is a large rock infence cor. the N.E. boundary is marked by a large rock the W. cor. Sur. 433 all are well recognized and undisputed boundaries and corners.

counter 39855

5. 1712 M. Hasworth Cert. 912 originally surveyed by S. M. Jarvis June 19, 1882, called for a straight line on its N. boundary and called to adjoin Sur. 163 on its S. boundary, the N. boundary line also called to touch Sur. 1398 and 1397. This survey found the existing fence lines on the N & W boundaries of Sur. 1712 to^{be} very old marked lines and the N. boundary is the S. boundary line of 163 extended westward to intersect the W. boundary line of Sur. 432 extended northward but does not touch Sur. 1398 and 1397. The S. boundary lines are mostly fenced and well marked lines such line being the N. boundary lines of Surveys 430, 429 and 432 the east boundary line extends eastward to adjoin Sur. 1711 which has its full width east and west. All boundary lines and corners are well recognized and undisputed.

6. Sur. 1719, J. Guyman, Cert. 489 originally surveyed by S. M. Jarvis June 25, 1882 just 6 days after Sur. 1712. The beginning point which calls to adjoin the west boundary line of Sur. 163, 12 vrs. N. of its S.W. cor. must be an error since the field notes on the south boundary of 1719 called to adjoin 1712 and 1712 calls to adjoin 163 there could be no such offset and would be a straight line as found to exist on the ground by the survey. Also that the passing call for the creek on the east line of 1719 fits actual distance on the ground. There is a large rock in fence corner marking the N.E. corner and all four boundary lines are old marked lines the N, E & W being fenced and are well recognized and undisputed lines.

7. 1711 J. Marshall Cert. 513 has old marked lines on the N, E & S boundaries and mostly fenced, the N.E. cor. being an intersection of the S. boundary of 163 and 164 extended eastward to intersect the W. boundaries of Sur. 161 and 162 the dog leg to south is that area of lying between Surveys 430 and 739 on the E & W and 428 and 740 on the south. In other words Sur. 1711 was found to be a filling between the older surveys on the N, E & S boundary and to extend westward for its call distance. The boundary lines and corners are recognized and undisputed.

8. Sur. 369 B. S & F Cert. 13 was found to have well marked lines on all four boundaries and well marked rock corners. The W. cor. being

an original corner having found the old bearing tree in a previous survey before it was destroyed by the road construction. Such boundary lines and corners are well recognized and undisputed.

9. Survey 371 J. Poitevent was found to have well marked lines on all four sides fenced on the NW & NE with rock corner at the W, N & E corners. Such boundary lines and corners are well recognized and undisputed.

10. Survey 1715 Jane Guyman Cert. 489 was found to ^{be} area lying between old marked line of 371 on the NE and old marked line and fence on the S.W. boundaries, and that the NW line adjoined the S.E. line of 1555 the W. cor. being S. 21° 51' E. 1599 vs. from the original N. cor. of Survey 1260 an interior corner of 1555 as per original call in field notes 1555 the S.E. cor. being the N. boundary line of 2142 or S.E. line of 1259 extended N 68 E to intersect S.W. boundary line of 989 which gives 1715 its full length NW & SE as per original field notes calls.

11. Sur. 435 A. C. H. & B. Cert. 45 was found to have four well marked rock corners and four well marked boundary lines with a fence on the N. E. line and partly fenced on the S.E. The W. cor. being 3 vs. short of its called distance from the S. cor. of 371. All boundary lines and corners are well recognized and undisputed.

12. 1713 E. B. Ragsdale Cer. 971 was found to be the area lying between the older surveys, the boundary lines of which in most cases have previously been explained or the ^{call} distance used to establish such boundary lines. In many cases they are fenced and nearly all well marked old lines. They are well recognized and undisputed.

Respectfully submitted,

This the 24th day of July, 1943.

C. A. Douglas

Licensed State Land Surveyor

RECEIVED
JUL 28 1943
RECEIVED



SKETCH 60

File No. _____

Webb County

Surveyor's Statement.

Filed July 29, 1943.

BASCOM GILES, Com'r

Atlee
File Clerk

**SURVEYS IN TREDONES
RANCH. C. G. DOUGLAS
SURVEYOR.
SHEA NEIBS CO. R.L.O.
SPK. NO 52.**

RECEIVED
JUL 29 1943
REFERRED TO SCHOOL



counter 39858

which gives 1715 the full length NW 6 SE as per original field notes
line of 1880 extended N 69 E to intersect S.W. boundary line of 1880
notes 1882 the S.E. cor. being the N. boundary line of S.M.S. 2 E.
of survey 1880 an interior corner of 1882 as per original call in field
1882 the W. cor. being S. 21° 21'. 1880 vs. from the original N. cor.
on the S.W. boundaries, and that the NW line adjoined the S.E. line of
between old marked line of 371 on the NE end old marked line and fence
10. Survey 1715 the Survey Cert. 482 was found to have four well
undisturbed.
on all four sides fenced on the NW & NE with rock corner at the N. N.
9. Survey 371. Fencing was found to have well marked lines
boundaries lines and corners are well recognized and undisturbed.
survey before it was destroyed by the road construction. Each
an original corner having found the old bearing tree in a previous