

695  
764

Wichita Falls, Texas.  
June 16, 1939.

General Land Office,  
Austin, Texas.

Gentlemen:

The following report made in connection with the attached field notes and plat covering a resurvey of Section No. 12, Beaty, Seale & Forwood Survey, Wichita County, Texas:

Beginning at the original Northwest corner of Section No. 14 and the Southwest corner of Section No. 13, Block No. 7, H. & T.C.R.R.Co. Surveys, identified by a Hackberry Grove consisting of ten trees which bears North 33 deg. West 150 varas from said corner also said corner is 100 varas South 72 deg. West from branch as called for on the South line of said Section No. 13 in original field notes, I then ran North 18 deg. West, at 1900 varas I found nothing to identify a corner, in all 2076 varas to a point from which a hill bears South 36 deg. East as called for in original field notes and I established this point as the Northwest corner of said Section No. 13, from here I ran North 72 deg. East at 1430 varas cross Beaver Creek, original field notes call for 1280 varas, I continued on same course in all 2050 varas to a stone lying flat on ground from which a very large Hackberry bears North 9 $\frac{1}{2}$  deg. West 9 varas, said stone also being 90 varas North 18 deg. West from the center of Beaver Creek as called for in the original field notes, the bearing tree given in original notes for this corner calls for a Cotton Wood but the Hackberry being in the correct position and being a very old tree I am of the opinion that this is the original bearing tree and was recorded wrong in the original notes as this is the only position the corner could be located in and get the creek calls to check out. Continuing North 72 deg. East from this point at 1007 varas I crossed a branch, original field notes call for 1017 varas which check very close and confirms the location of the Northeast corner of Section No. 13 as established, continuing North 72 deg. East in all 1850 varas from which point I ran South 18 deg. East, at 1476.6 varas I cross branch, original field notes call for this to be at 1671 varas, so I returned to the point started from and ran North 18 deg. West 194.4 varas and established the Northeast corner of Section No. 23, which would make the North line of said section No. 23 run N. 66 deg. East 1860 varas as shown on plat, I then ran S. 18 deg. E. from this corner and at 1671 varas crossed branch as called for in the original notes, at 1900 varas established a point for the Southeast corner of Section No. 23, which point is 520 varas North 18 deg. West from the center of Beaver Creek as called for along the East line of Section No. 22 and you will note from the plat hereto attached that this is the only position that this line could be run that the creek calls would check correctly I have sketched same on plat. From here I ran North 72 deg. East, at 1900 varas I found nothing to identify as a corner, at 3800 varas I found nothing to identify as a corner at 5700 varas I established a point for the Southeast corner of Section No. 35 and the Northeast corner of Section No. 36, Block No. 7, H. & T.C.R.R.Co. Surveys, but found nothing to identify a corner but the original notes only call for a stake at the corners where nothing was found to identify same as said corners. I then ran North 18 deg. West and established the East line of Section No. 35 and South 18 deg. East 1900 varas and established the East line and Southeast corner of Section No. 36, Block No. 7, H. & T.C.R.R.Co. Surveys.

2

counter 40146

I then went to the Northwest corner of the P. Castleman Survey No. 129 which has been established and recognized as the original corner for many years, I ran South from this corner 1845 varas and established the Northeast corner of Section No. 1, G.H. & H.R.R.Co. Survey in the West line of said Castleman Survey and the Southeast corner of Section No. 2, G.H. & H.R.R.Co. from here I ran West 1900 varas and established the Southwest corner of said Section No. 2 and the Northwest corner of Section No. 1, G.H. & H.R.R.Co., I continued West from this point 1900 varas and established the Southwest corner of Section No. 7, Southeast corner of Section No. 8, Northwest corner of Section No. 9, G.H. & H. R.R. Co. Surveys and the Northeast corner of Section No. 11, Beaty, Seale & Forwood Survey, note Sections Nos. 1, 2, 7, & 9, G.H. & H.R.R.Co. are now abandoned surveys, from this corner I ran West 1900 varas and established the Southwest corner of Section No. 8, G.H. & H.R.R.Co. Survey and the Northwest corner of Section No. 11, Beaty, Seale & Forwood Survey, I then ran South 1900 varas and established a point for the Southwest corner of the Beaty, Seale & Forwood Survey No. 11 which is the beginning corner of Beaty, Seale & Forwood Survey No. 12 the section for which this resurvey is made.

I then went to the Northwest corner of the Thos. Cooke Survey No. 125, a stone set in the ground from which the original notes call for a Forked Elm North 19 East 33 varas, this tree is gone but the place where it should stand is indicated by depression in ground on bank of small creek, to check this corner I ran South 742.4 varas which is the original Southeast corner of the Thos. H. Mays Survey and calls for an Elm tree North 10 deg. East 240 varas, I ran out this course and distance and this point comes on bank of Slough and a distinct depression in the ground at this point and other evidence shows that the tree was located here at one time, I was at this corner in 1924 at which time part of the tree was still standing, however it had been dead many years. I then returned to the Northwest corner of the Thos. Cooke Survey and ran East 2976 varas and established the Northeast corner of same.


I then ran out the Beaty, Seale & Forwood Survey No. 12 according to its original notes, by starting at the point established for the Southwest corner of the Beaty, Seale & Forwood Survey No. 11 and ran North, at 1900 varas pass the Southwest corner of the G.H. & H.R.R.Co. Survey No. 8, in all 3141 varas to a point in the West line of said Section No. 8, for the Northeast corner of this survey; Thence West from this point 1164.5 varas to a point in the East line of Section No. 35 Block No. 7, H. & T.C.R.R.Co. Survey as established as indicated above for the Northwest corner of this survey; Thence South 17 deg. 38 min. East along the East lines of Section No. 35 and No. 36, Block No. 7, H. & T.C.R.R.Co. Surveys 2349.7 varas to the Southeast corner of said Section No. 36, for an Ell corner of this survey; Thence South 72 deg. 22 min. West along the South line of said Section No. 36 and No. 33, 2673.1 varas to a point in the North line of the Thos. Cooke Survey as established as indicated above, for a corner of this survey; Thence East along the North line of said Cooke Survey 2968 varas to its Northeast corner as established as indicated above for an Ell corner of this survey; Thence South along the East line of said Cooke Survey 92.0 varas to a point in its East line due West from the place of beginning or the Southwest corner of the Beaty, Seale & Forwood Survey No. 11, for the most Southern Southwest corner of this survey; Thence East 32.3 varas to the place of beginning.

a'

The reason for the call along the East lines of Section No. 35 and 36 and the South line of Section No. 36 and 33, H. & T.C.R.R.Co. Surveys, Block No. 7, to be called for in field notes as S. 17 deg. 38 min. E. and S. 72 deg. 22 min. W. is the fact that I ran out the H. & T.C.R.R.Co. Surveys by using a variation of 9 deg. 50 min. and the G.H. & H. R. R. Co. Surveys and the Thos. Cooke Survey by using a variation of 10 deg. 12 min. East. From evidence found on this survey and making other surveys in this area I think this would correspond to variation used in making original surveys.

Plat and Field Notes are attached to this report.

Yours very truly,



*Ross Corlett*

Ross Corlett  
Licensed Land Surveyor

a<sup>2</sup>

RECEIVED TO WVS

107 1898

RECEIVED

counter 40148

Wichita Falls, Texas.  
August 8, 1939.

General Land Office,  
Austin, Texas.

Gentlemen:

The following supplement made to the report of June 16th, in connection with a resurvey of Section No. 12 Beaty, Seale and Forwood Survey, Wichita County, Texas, in answer to your request for additional information.

I cannot agree with Mr. G. R. Hand's certified sketch covering the area three miles West from the P. Castleman Survey as to the location of original survey corners, for the reason that it is intended to represent the area as it is now held and occupied on the ground, which I think it does, but does not show any original corners of said surveys. We have attempted to cover this difference by getting the consent of the owners of the small area shown on my sketch as Tract A to include same in the corrected field notes of Section No. 12 Beaty, Seale and Forwood Survey and in my opinion is necessary to avoid trouble with this area in the future.

I agree with your view that the records show the North line of Block No. 7 H. & T.C. Ry. Co. to be a straight line according to the survey made by I. R. deCordova, but in my opinion based on the remaining evidence of this survey as it exists on the ground the same is not a straight line, and I wish to call to your attention the resurvey made by Mr. R. M. Kenney of Block No. 5 H. & T.C. Ry. Co., which shows the South line of Section No. 36 in said Block to run South 69 deg. 05 min. West, this Section lies just North of Section No. 23 the North line of which I have shown to run North 66 deg. East in order to allow its full distance along the East line of same, I also call to your attention the length of the various Sections along the South line of Block No. 5 according to Mr. R. M. Kenney's resurvey which partly confirms the reason that I have shown the North line of Section No. 13 to be 2050 varas and the North line of Section No. 23 to be 1860 varas. I have also run the West line of Section No. 25 from its Northwest corner as I have same located South 18 deg. East, at 520 varas cross Beaver Creek, at 1900 varas a point for its Southwest corner and continuing South 18 deg. East from this point I cross a creek at 401 varas, the original notes call for this distance to be 405 varas which confirms the location of this line as I have run same.

I cannot explain the call along the South line of Section No. 24 which calls for crossing Beaver Creek, as this Section does not touch Beaver Creek at any point, however I am of the opinion that in writing the field notes to same the original Surveyor used part of the notes for Section No. 23, as he calls for beginning at the Northeast corner of Section No. 23 and gives the bearing tree for its Northwest corner and he might have used the call along the South line of Section No. 23 for Section No. 24 for this reason.

The sketch filed in your office August 18, 1927, by John A. Donald, then County Surveyor of Wichita County, showing Section No. 33, Block No. 7, H. & T.C. Ry. Co., to be in conflict with the Thomas Cooke Survey is partly accounted for by the fact that he shows Sections 33 & 36 to be 2027 varas North and South without indicating that he has found original corners, and this is the reason we are now trying to get a corrected patent to Section No. 12 Beaty, Seale and Forwood Survey, as it is our

a 3

counter 40149

File No 35

Filed  
200  
at  
the  
office  
of  
the  
County  
Recorder  
of  
Michigan

BARCOM GUYER, CORP.

Report to

counter 40121

contention that Section No. 33 and 36 each are 1900 varas square and that Section No. 12 Beaty, Seale and Forwood Survey takes in that part of Sections No. 33 and No. 36 along the South line of same now shown as excess in said Sections.

I have also looked for the Southeast corner of Section No. 35, Block No. 7, H. & T.C.Ry. Co. at the various locations that it would be possible to be located including the one suggested by you 370 varas South 18 deg. East and 100 varas South 72 deg. West from where I have located same and I find nothing that can indicate its original corner.

Yours very truly,

*Ross Corlett*

Ross Corlett  
Licensed Land Surveyor



RECEIVED TO MVB

JUN 10 1898

RECEIVED

a 4

counter 40150

File No. 32

Wichita County

statement of resurvey  
of Section 12 B.S.C.F. (South)  
*(Wichita)*

See Rolled Sketch No. 15

Filed August 10, 1939

BASCOM GILES, Com'r

Herbert de Synek

File Clerk

des  
8-14-39

15  
B

counter 40151



Licensed Land Surveyor  
Ross Corbett  
*Ross Corbett*  
*Herbert de Synek*

Yours very truly,

indicate its original corner.  
West from where I have located same and I find nothing that can  
be done to locate it. East and 100 yards south as per  
No. 15. It is possible to be located including the one indicated  
No. 15. I have also looked for the southeast corner of Section  
12. The corner is in said sections.  
No. 32 and No. 33 along the south line of  
Section 12. The corner is in said sections.  
No. 32 and No. 33 each are 1300 yards square

B  
2

RECEIVED

AUG 10 1939

REFERRED TO MAP

counter 40151