

D-507  
D-310

827  
273

REPORT ON SURVEY 644 G.C & S.F AND EASTERN PART OF  
644 G. W. T. & P, IN ZAVALA COUNTY? MADE FOR L. D. VANCLEAVE.

The purpose of this survey was to determine the acreage in these two surveys and to correct field notes to secure a patent on the correct, existing amount of land.

The outerboundaries of all of this land is marked by fences that have been in their present location for not less than forty years.

I began this work at the southwestern corner of the P. B. Neal No. 5 a large rock at the corner of a fence, No bearings found. Then I ran N 20 W with the fence 366 varas the corner of fence a large rock; continued same course to 950 varas and found a dead Mesquite 8" on the ground marked on the S E side with a blaze containing three hacks, the northwestern corner of Neal survey. I set two new bearing trees as shown on accompanying plat to maintain this location. I then returned to the Southwestern corner and ran N 70° E with fence. At 944 varas found the S E corner of the Neal survey, a large stone set in the ground from which a Mes. 12" lies by its stump, N 37° W 18½ varas. I then continued S 20° E with fence at 792 varas cross a loop of Comanche creek, at 834 again cross Comanche Creek, at 1492 varas, corner of the fence; Then N 70° E with fence 112 varas to corner of the fence and a large rock 18x24x6 inches unmarked set at corner of the fence; then N 20 W with fence 256 varas with the fence to corner of same; then N 70° E with fence 898 varas to the center of a county road, that runs along one of the Western lines of Sur. 317 C C Gibbs. Then North 20° West with the center of the Road, at 180 varas cross Comanche Creek, at 361 varas corner of the fence on the left of the road. At this corner there is a 24" mes. used for the corner of the fence on the right side of the road that is marked with a blaze and three hacks. I was shown a stump some ten varas southwest of this corner that Mr. VanCleave said had been marked as the one on the right, the tree had been cut by fence builders. I then ran S 70° W with fence 548 varas to corner of fence. This corner is just on the north side of a small creek. I then ran N 19° W with fence for 2304 varas to a corner. Then I ran S 69° 30' with fence at 2115 varas pass center of a County road, at 2175 varas an iron pipe set for the Southwestern corner of Survey No. 319, C. C. Gibbs. There is a dead Mes. 12" Standing some 4 varas S W of this Pipe, Marked with a blaze and 3 large hacks. I then ran S 20° E 1040 varas to a fence, then ran N 70° E down fence to its corner, crossing county road, a total distance of 739 varas to the corner of fence, 366 varas N 20° W from the Southwestern corner of P. B. Neal No. 5.

I then made a run with fence lines, from this fence corner 366 varas N 20 W from the SW corner of the Neal? S 70° W, 739 and S 77° 45' W 2246 varas to the the most north corner of Sur 555, Geo. Pearman, the corner of a fence. This is the oldest fence around this land, I am told by Mr. VanCleave that it was an old fence when he first knew it forty five years ago. This corner is N 20° W 171 varas from the most north corner of Survey No. 579, Geo. Whaley. which is marked by a mes. 12" standing N 4° W 130 varas. the other tree for this corner has been cut but the stump still remains N 5° W 117 varas.

I then ran S 70° W with the fence from this corner of 555, a distance of 933 varas to the corner of the fence marked by an Iron pipe at the corner. Then North 20 West with fence 1849 varas to a corner of the fence running North 70° East, on the Southern side of Margaret Lumpkin, <sup>Survey No.</sup> 644½

From these runs I have constructed the placement Surveys 643 and 644 G W T & P and Survey 644 G.C.&S.F. This construction pinches the Denman 555½ on its most northern line but since it is junior and calls for 643 and 644 this cannot be avoided. This placement places all of the small excess land within the bounds of the two tracts being submitted for patent and does not interfere with the area or call of the adjoining surveys.

Respectfully submitted.

*C.H. Mullins*

Licensed State Land Surveyor

counter 71438

1  
Surveyed July 29-30, 1942

File No.

48

Zavala County

Surveyor's Statement.

Filed Sept 24 Oct. 29, 1942

RASCOM GILES, Com'r

Atlee.

File Clerk

SEE ZAVALA CO  
RLO SKETCH NO 22.

RECEIVED

SEP 24 1942

REFERRED TO MAP

RECEIVED

AUG 21 1942

REFERRED TO MAP

counter 41439

D-396

718

Supplemental Report  
on surveys made for L. D. Vancleave in the Location of  
Survey 644, GC&SF Zavala County.

When Mr. Meyers made the location of Survey 317 $\frac{1}{2}$  he did not make a bearing on the western corners and so to relocate this survey it became necessary to begin at a call used by him, the S W coener of 316, Wm Hotchkiss on the East line of Survey 643. The corner he described as a well defined corner. I find nothing at all except property fences to define this corner? There is a laned road course N 20 W leading from a primary County highway to this corner. Three of the Quadrants at this corner are in cultivated fields and only the SE remains in brush. At this point the road turns squarely to the right and leads to a farm house some 200 varas away. There is a fence continuing N20 W from the center line of this road corner. Taking the line of the fence along the unimproved quarter of this corner as the line I rem N 20 W with fence. At 826 varas there is a fence running S 70 W. while th fence I had been following continues N 20 W. I ran this fence S 70 W and at 1084 varas reached the center of a road thar runs N20 w. I then ran up this road and at 250 varas reached the fence that was supposed to be on the line between surveys 644 and 643.

This check shows that the overall distance between the marked corner at the SW of 317 $\frac{1}{2}$  and the eastern line of 317 is correct, but the fence that has been taken as the eastern line of 644 is in reality 45 varas too far west. Also taking the fence running S70 w 1084 varas as the South line of 317 $\frac{1}{2}$  and a north line of 643, there seem to be a definite over run between the S W corner of 317 $\frac{1}{2}$  and the fence that is supposed to be on the line of 317 and the north end of 317 $\frac{1}{2}$ .

In making the placement of these lines I gave to the N 20 W line, its exact call 544 varas, this will leave a small strip of Survey 317 south of the fence that was supposed to mark the line between 644 and 317 of some 52 varas, also this 52 varas added to the S19E call of 2048 varas of 317 $\frac{1}{2}$  will equal the call on this line of 317 of 2100 varas. I gave to 643 on the west line of 317 $\frac{1}{2}$  the 234 varas called for by its notes and the remainder I placed in survey 644. Since the original notes of 644 are all at sea as to their calls, and show positively that the surveyor did not know where he really was on the ground, it seems to me that this is the correct thing to do. This will place to boundary line between the North end of 643 and the south end of 644 some 16 varas south of the fence that is supposed to mark this line.

It might be well to note here that the fences around survey 644, especially on the South and east side have be in there present location for some 50 years and were most likely set by the original survey of this survey 644. However when Mr. Barker made the Patent Notes of Survey 317, he did not include in his call the bearing trees at the interior corner of 317 and a corner of 644. These trees were both standing at the time he made the survey. When Mr. Meyers made the survey of 317 $\frac{1}{2}$  he only brought the south end of this survey to the fence line that was supposed to mark the line between 644 and 317, evidently desiring to acquire only that land that was outside the Vancleave fence.

Dec 24-1942

C. H. Mullins  
L S L S

In the location of Survey 317, there was no bearing set on the western corners, so to relocate these corners...  
Supplemental report on surveys made for L. D. Vancleave.

a

counter 71440