A. B. MARTIN L. S. KINDER

C. D. RUSSELL D. ZIMMERMANN

Martin, Kinder, Russell & Zimmermann

X

ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

PLAINVIEW, TEXAS,

Oct. 2nd, 1917.

Hon. J. T. Robison,

40.

Austin, Texas.

an distant and the second second second

Dear Mr. Robison: -

Some time since I had some correspondence with you as to the appointment of a surveyor upon a boundary agreement to make a survey of the sections in Block C-9, Floyd County, Texas. These people are very anxious to have their land lines settled, and have entered into the agreement which I enclose you and are asking the appointment of W. J. Williams, of this place, to make the survey. I am sure that you know his reputation as a surveyor, and that he is one of the most competent engineers in the west, and at the same time honest, and I wish you would please, if you can do it, appoint him to do this work as soon as convenient.

Kindly return me the agreement with which you have

no use.

Very truly yours,

Poerle

OCT

L. D. Kinder

counter +1578

LSK/MH.

no endo

A. B. MARTIN L. S. KINDER

-868.

C. D. RUSSELL D. ZIMMERMANN

RECEIVED

Referred to Man

Martin, Kinder, Russell & Zimmermann

ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

PLAINVIEW, TEXAS,

Oct. 20th, 1917.

Hon. J. T. Robison,

Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir and Friend:-

October 2, 1917, I wrote you with reference to the appointment of a surveyor to run the lines of the sections in Block C-9, Floyd County, Texas, and stated in the letter that I enclosed you the boundary agreement, but a few days afterwards notice that I had failed to enclose the same. I now enclose it so you can examine the same.

I ask the appointment of Mr. Williams to do this work for the reason that these people have unanimously agreed on him to do the work, and the further fact that he has done a great deal of surveying contiguous to these lands and by the work done has settled a great many of these disputes among the people in that part of Floyd County.

Personally, it looks like that it would be better to have Mr. Lider do this work, but as a matter of fact these people for some reason or other will not have him to do it. When they had me to prepare this agreement I especially insisted that they agree on him, but I could not prevail upon them to have him do the work. You know that with people, most of them, they entertain about the same ideas towards a surveyor that they do towards a doctor and always have someone especially in mind that they want to treat them. Mr. Williams intends to have Mr. Lider along with him and assist him in this work, as he has always done in all the surveying in that part of the country. These people are very anxious to get their lines settled for all time to come, and for that reason I hope you can see your way clear to appoint Mr. Williams. If I were having this work done myself, I would just as soon have Mr. Lider do it as anyone, but these people would never agree on him, and I think to assist them in settling their troubles and to get thebenefits of fixed boundary lines it would be well enough to appoint any good, competent surveyor.

So far as I know the work of these two surveyors has not conflicted. If so, there has been no material difference.

With regards, I am,

Truly yours, L. D. Kinder counter #15+9

LSK/MH.

W. JEFF WILLIAMS

RECEIVED

the way the

Plainview, Texas, November 15,1917.

General Land Office,

Austin, Texas.

Gentlemen:

Herewith I hand you fieldnotes for the following sections of school land located in Floyd County for the purpose of corr rection the area and to furnish you with the information by which you may have the account corrected to conform with the

actual acreage:

Section No. 14, Block M.14, Hale and Floyd couties, for R.M.Ellerd, Plainview, Texas.

Section No. 128, Block D.2, Floyd county for W.C.Ooley, Plainview, Texas

Plainsbotion No. 8, Block M.14, Floyd County for W.C.Clements, Plainview, Texas and

Section No. 90, Block G.M. Floyd County for W.D.Long, Lockney, Texas.

Also I am inclosing sketch to be used with these notes showing original corners and distances and directions from them to the lands in question.

Also I include letter from Col. R.P.Smyth, county surveyor of Hale county, portions of which letter I have underscored for the purpose of showing the date at which he first saw the earth monument at the N.E. corner of section No. 81, Block D.2, mentioned in the inclosed fieldnotes. His "8 feet in diameter" seens to refer to the mound and not the trench u surrounding it.

All improvements to the yestward of this corner have been made in accordance with it in Blocks D.2, D.5, and D.6, in Hale and Floyd counties

The constructions used in making these surveys is in accordance with a suggestion made to me by Mr. Robison while I was discussing this matter with him in his office and also because of a suggestion made to Mr. W.D.Long in a letter from your office. It is also in conformity with plan used in west part of Swisher to handle strip through Block M.6. Original fieldnotes indicate excess rather than vacancy through north part of Floyd County. Respectfully, Williams county 44550 H³ R. P. SMYTH LAWYER AND LAND AGENT PLAINVIEW, TEXAS

Nov.15th.1917.

Hon.J.T.Robinson, Commissioner, Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir :-

Mr.W.J.Williams, Surveyor, has asked me to write you a letter giving the result of a survey made by me in the year 1888 in the N.E.part of Floyd County.

Cach

At that time I was employed by certain parties to mark out the west line of Blks.D-2 & D-6,Hale and Floyd Counties,for the purpose of this work I secured from the General Land Office a working sketch of Block G-M, N.E.part of Floyd County.

Parties here pointed out an Earth Mound,8' dim.as the S.W.Cor.Surv.79 Blk.G-M,also a Cedar tree as a witness tree to S.E.Cor.Surv.75 same blk.

In commencing this work I followed down the Quetequa Creek to the second falls where I found a rock monument at foot of bluff. From this monument I ran out for the Cor.as called for a the junction of two branches, my course and distance brought me out upon the side of a hill, some distance to my right was what I would call was the junction of two hill gullies, some 8 or 10 large stones were scattered about. Running from my point into the creek for a cotton wood tree called for I came out to the left of a cottonwood grove, searching among these trees I found a marked tree, brining my line to this tree, I found by calculation that if I would change my variation I was useing, I think it was 80'' the required change, would bring me out at the junction of the two, as I called them, hill gullies and also land me at the marked tree. Running west from this corner I well identified the corner S.W. No.68, this was identified by its calls. Satisfied that I had found the true corners as well as the variation on which the work was originally put in, I ran for the nearest and easest point of the Plains, came on the Cap Rock about the middle of the east part of survey No.71, ran by and tied to the Cedar tree called for in the calls for the N.W.Cor. 71, made a good fit: Then west three miles fitted the Earth Monument S.W.Cor. 79, continuing west six miles, my instrument line ran center over a large earth monument 8' in Dim. the measurment was within the corcle of the trench. Before that time no one in this part of the country seems to have known any thing about this monument, from this wat. I ran south three miles thence west to the west line of Blk. D-6, without finding any mound or other marks.

Some time after this while in the Land Office I examined the field notes of the surveys in Blk.G-M and found that the variation was printed

m

counter 44551

H4-1

vours truly.

Heferreil to Map. s

W. JEFF WILLIAMS

Plainview, Texas, November 22, 1917.

erred to Map

RECEIVED

10V 24 1917

2540 1241 665

145

counter \$4552

Hon. J.T.Robison,

Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir:

Herewith I am handing you official bond, following your letter of instruction and appointment dated October 27, in which you appointed me surveyor to make a resurvey of Block C.9. Floyd County.

For your information as to conditions on the ground which you may find of assistance in giving me instructions, I am inclosing also a small sketch of the northern part of Floyd County. This sketch shows Original corner at S.E. 19, Block D.1, also original corner at N.E. 51, Block G.M. as well as a large earth monument at the S.E. corner of section No. 20, Block C.9, which has the same appearance in size and age as the corner at the S.E. corner of section No. 19, D.1. I found this monument in 1903 when it was well grassed over and seemed to be as old as the other, that is S.E.19, D.1 monument. Inclosed with a letter to your office dated November 15, 1917 and containing sketch and fieldnotes of sections 8 and 14, Block M.14, section No' 128, Block D.2 and section No. 90, Block G.M. I handed you a letter written by Col. R.P. Smyth, present county surveyor in which he stated that he found this monument at an earlier date, I think in

W. JEFF WILLIAMS

8.00

the year 1889.

All improvements to the westward from this west monument have always attempted to be located from this corner using a course determined py projectiong the line from the S.E. corner of section No. 19, Block D.1 over the monument above mentioned as the S.E. corner of section No. 20, Block C.9. This system extends to Plainview in Hale County.

Upon the sketch herewith I have encircled 20 sections in Block D.2 which I have surveyed; in company with the surveyor of Floyd county and in conformity with the above outlined plan.

Thanking you for the confidence reposed in me by this appointment, I am yours respectfully,

Wwieciamo

November 27, 1917.

Mr. W. J. Williams, StateSurveyor, Plainview, Texas. Dear Sir:=

1246

In compliance with two letters from Judge L. S. Minder, of Flainview, Texas, under date of October 2nd, and October 20th, 1917, you were appointed on the 27th day of October, 1917, State Surveyor to resurvey Block C-9, in Floyd County. You having qualified under said appointment as required by law, you are now instructed as follows:

The field notes of survey No. 1 and other surveys in the north tier of Block Dl call for and tie to the corners of surveys in Block H4-AB&M. The field notes of Survey No. 1, Block D2, call to begin at a large earth mound eight feet dia. and 5 feet high at 8 E corner of Survey No. 19, Block DI, which earth mound you now claim to find and identify, While the field notes of Survey No. 19, Block Dl do not describe this earth mound, the two blocks of surveys were made by J. Summerfield in March 1878 and it is presumed that said large earth mound, if made by Summerfield, is a common corner of surveys Nos. 19 and 30, Block D1, Survey No. 1, Block D2, and Survey No. 79, Block GM. Subsequent work in that territory indicates an excess N and S between this earth mound and the south line of Block B4; therefore, if such excess actually exist, same should be apportioned between the four tiers of surveys in Block DI, lying north of said marked corner and the south line of Block B4. Likewise, according to the calls in the field notes of surveys in Block CO, for common corners of surveys in Blocks B4 and DI, the same construction and apportionment of excess should be given surveys in said Block C9.

Yours very truly,

Clark/LNC

b

Commissioner.

counter 44554 H7.

Dec. 10, 1917.

Mr. W. J. Williams. State Surveyor, Plainview, Texas. Dear Sir:-

This office is in receipt of your letter of the lat inst., and note your views concerning the construction of a proposed re-survey of block C-9, in Floyd county under appointment and instructions from this office. Also note what you say concerning the disturbance it would cause to make the survey otherwise than proposed by you, etc.

In reply begto advise that before this office gave you instructions concerning this work, a thorough investigation of the records here was made with the view of ascertaining the correct construction of several blocks of surveys involved in that territory and a fter a consultation of the Commissioner. Chief draftsman, and others of this department, the conclusion was reached that blocks D-1, D-2, C-9, and GM could not be separated from blocks B-4, B-5 and M-14, hence the letter of instructions to you under date of Nov, 27, based on this finding and conclusion was accordingly given.

In passing on questions of this kind, it is always the purpose of this department to give such construction to surveys and blocks of surveys that will most correctly conform to and harmonize with the field note calls, based upon actual facts, original marks and comments, etc. found and identified on the ground, which will accurately locate same, according to the foot prints and intentions of the faceting surveyors. Otherwise a resurvey that would give a different location from the original would not be sustained by the courts.

Therefore, while the construction given by this department concerning a resurvey of these lands may not please some of the settlers and land owners, it is a matter of fact and law that I do not believe can be severed to meet their wishes. Hence, it the field notes of a resurvey of these surveys are expected tobe approved by this department, I must insist that the instructions given you poncerning some be strictly followed.

Yours truly.

Commissioner:

Jamiary 17, 1918.

Mr. C. F. Merrick, Lookney, Texne. Dear Sir:-

t

"newering your letter of the End inst., relative to a letter fr m this office under date of Dec. 11th, concerning excess in the four north tiers of surveys in block D 2 Floyd county, beg to advise that our conclusion as stated in that letter was based on the maps and field note calls of surveys in the several blocks involved and is. I believe, the proper construction to be given same.

However, if the owners of the four north tiers in question will enter into a written agreement taking their lends course and distance as called for in original field notes constructed from the SE corner of section 103 said block D 2, and SW corner of section 16. block C 9, on a line projected west from the carth momment recognized as the SE corner of section 20, block C 9, and HE corner of section 81, block D 2, have said agreement properly executed and recorded in the county clerk's office of Floyd county, and file same in this office, together with corrected field notes of each servey made accordingly, same will bo accepted and approved by this office. This would leave a long narrow strip of vacant land along the N line of said block D 2, subject to file, this strip being less than 400 vre-wide, will have to be paid for in cash, and should be in one survey. The application may be made jointly by all the owners, in said four tiers involved, or by one or more of them or they may choose.

counter 44556

This manner of adjustment for surveys in block 0 9 was suggested to Mr. G. A. Lider, county surveyor of Floyd county a few days ago, when he was here and I suggestthat you take the matter up with him with a view of getting all parties, or owners of surveys interested to join you in such adjustment.

C F M -2-

If the above mentioned agreement and adjustment can not be made, then your survey No. 124, block D 2, can mily take its prorate part of the excess as heretofore stated.

Yours truly.

Commissioner.

183

Clark/w. G.C. Mr. G.A.Lider. Floydada, Te as.

E

April 18, 1918.

1.1 WALTER CHIEF CLARK

Mr. W. B. Hatchett, Route No. 1. Lockney, Texas. Dear Sir:-

General Land Office

STURE OF STRAFT

A mathew

Having been absent from this department on office business on different occasions for some time. I have not been able to give more prompt attention to the matter of W J Williams' resurvey in Floyd county.

While the Legislature was in session that body considered a bill having for its purpose enabling the owners of land that contained excess and the State to get together and adjust matters on a proper basis, but the bill was defeated on the ground that they did not have time to consider a bill of that importance at this time, but would let it go over to the regular session.

Having myself studied the question quite diligently, and having made a more thorough investigation of the law in relating to such matters. I have reach ed the conclusion that no more surveying is going to be approved by me without further legislation, where resurveying gives encess acroage to private sections. unless there is something on the ground placed there by the original surveyor. which would make the distance between the corners of the survey greater than the surveyor called for.

Taking that view of the matter, I shall decline to approve the resurvey made by Mr. Williams, but if the people there want to get together and eliminate the excess out of the private surveys and place it in the school surveys, that arrangement would be satisfactory. otherwise would have to wait for legislation that

410

counter 44558

J.T. ROBISON, COMMISSIONER

C

will authorize owners of private surveys to buy such excess as may be contained in those surveys. Under the present law this department has no authority to sell excess acreage as long as it remains in a private survey, not do I think this department is authorized to recognize the private survey to contain excess.

men

It is my carnest desire that every person who is interested in this excess acreage and shifting of surveys can maintain their patience in this matter until we can probably get some legislation that will benefit and abundantly protect every man in the integrity of his improvements, and the land lines. That shall be my permanent effort, and I hope you all will believe me when I tell you I am going to do the very best I can for each one of you whenever we can get some law that will permit a proceeding that will do emot justice to all.

Yours truly.

Robison/w.

General Idard Office

State of Crans

Commissioner.

H"

counter 44559

RECEIVED 2639 Referred to Map 1 1 2 1918 mv J.J. Robinson, Land Commissioner austin Jexas Dear Sir. In reply to your letter of the 18th Beg to Say that I thank you for your Decision on the Survey made by mor Williams of Block C 9 in Floyd Co. and I wish to assure you that this meets the approval of the People along the south line of Block B.X. and an I stated to you in my last letter m. sure Eighty Per cent of the Peakle in the Disinterested Block say absolutly that this Eyder Should Stay Piere Between the Blocks. now Why do these People See this that way the only reason I assure you is this would counter 74560 HIR

Protect the morements of land holders in B4 and hot Morza single improvement on the Entere to sections in Block C9. on the other Hand it Would more Pour sets of Improve ments on Section 20 and the in Block B 4, alone Now I wish to Say to you I Have contended with that office with Hair Principals And Im. enre Havy Bean Honest in the matter and have not intended to misrepresent a single Statement. and we are willing to let the Legislature Body Sette this matter, but I state just Here this does not meet the approval of some of the land. Counter #4561 HB

Holders in Block C 9 at all they met together april 30th and they agreed to Send Two ments that affice Surveyor W Williams and Mr. B. Hatchet for the Pur Rose of insisting on your approve ing W. J. Willibank Survey and if That Want Pass they intend to lest the contract they made with you By law. now this seems foolish to the Peakle of Hlayd do. Now all we People will ask of that affice is to Stand By your Discusion and let the Legislature Say who is right in this matter. I Beg yours Pardon for Warringthat office somet Galter Griffith counter \$ 1562 1

3/36 RECEIVED Referred to Map Mar Robison Sacston: Vika Dean Ain a free of both aded Leader of So Co have met t have a gread to Send a commit down there to Dig you I don't Know Conschat anound I hund they want to make a nother burner Comence at the Mus conner of BCG Buin South and facet the start On the South that would the mater 10 Sime voora The malarity and oner in 12 C9 as willing for the Survey at Sar coming BCg Run of aux Sigtions leave the strike where it as them the Staits lough in beat ion on the north Respect

FLOYD COUNTY ROLLED SKETCH NO. V

Correspondence

counter +9661