ORANGE CO. Roll Sketch #24

- In flat folder S.F. 15153, -F. M. TEAL-Applicant -

(1) Plat by L. V. Norris, Nov. 1950 Jan. 1951
(2) Letter of Correction by G. A. Stonecipher
(3) Revised Plat (Surveyors)
(4) Exhibits Bearing Trees
(5) Letter from Clyde Y. M⊆ Kee
(6) Statements by T. E. Burger & S. C. Smith.

CLYDE V. MCKEE, JR. ATTORNEY AT LAW STARK BUILDING ORANGE, TEXAS

April 25th, 1951

Re: File No. SF 15153 Application of F. M. Teal

.

Hon. Bascom Giles, Commissioner General Land Office Austin 14, Texas

Dear Mr. Giles:

The Powell Lumber Company, good faith claimant of the area alleged by applicant to be a vacancy, has discovered additional evidence regarding the location of the David Suddeth Survey which will be submitted promptly in the form of a supplement to the report previously submitted to your office by the Powell Lumber Company.

We feel that this additional information is essential to any decision that you might reach in this matter and will appreciate your postponing any final action upon the case until this information has been delivered to you.

Very sincerely yours,

Olyde moter fr.

Clyde V. McKee, Jr.

CVMcK/bjs

RECEIVED APR 2 7 1951 REFFERRED TO LAW

counter \$7977

DIAL 2-3850

REGISTERED ENGINEER

GUY A. STONECIPHER

Rt. 5 BOX 576

BEAUMONT, TEXAS February 8, 1951

Hon. Bascom Giles- Commissioner General Land Office State Of Texas Austin 14. Texas

Dear Sir-

Under Date of December 4, 1950, I mailed your office a plat indicating the construction used, location of adjacent surveys and descriptions of objects found by me upon the ground, incidental to the locating and establishing of an alleged unsurveyed tract of land in Orange County, Texas. This work has reference to a Mr. F. M. Teals, Application To Purchase No. S. F. 15153, under date of 22nd. of August, 1950.

In reviewing this plat relative to other work now being performed in the area, it is noted that the actual league line distance of John Allens north league line, shown in the lower central part of the plat, is given as 9500 varas. In checking my notes and also referring to a plat indicating my preliminary findings which was furnished your office under date of July 25, 1950, I find the actual measured distance to be 9680 varas. Also the actual measured distance between Jefferson Robinsons S. E. Corner and John Allens N. W. Corner along the league line, as shown in the left central portion of the plat, is found to be I506 varas rather than I508 varas as previously reported.

Enclosed is a print of the plat which has been revised to reflect these two changes. It is being passed to your office with the thought that you might wish to substitute this revised plat for the one mailed you under date of December 4, 1950.

Yours Truly

counter \$ 7948

Ligensed State Land Surveyor

RECEIVED FEB 9 1951 REFFERRED TO LAW

ORANGE CO RLO SK. # 24

(FLAT FOLDER)

NOVEVNUE CEENSOL

Rt. 7 B x 576 S.F. 15153 ORGNGE County ATR. DI CORRECTION Filed File 9/h ----, 195%

BASCOM GILES, Com'r

-216 4860

-+-+

ODITED DERA INTONO

to phinifo

a plat indicating the source of boomcore i. 1520, I mailed your office and descriptions of objects found by me upon the ground, insidental to the locating and establishing of an alleged as rvered tract of land in Grange County. Texas. This work has reference to a Mr. T. M. Teals, Application To Furchase No. . F. 15155, onfor date of 22.1. of suguet, 1950.

In reviewing this plat relative to other work now bolks performed in the area, it is noted that the actual league line distance of tohn fleue north league line, shown in the lower deniral part of the plat, is liven as 9500 taras. In abecking 'v notes and also effecting to a plat indicating a preliminary fination was frintehed your office under date of July 25. 100. I find the actual meseries distance to be 9650 veras. Also the actual mesoured in tance between Jefferson Robirsons 3. H. Corner and John Alfans W. W. Corner slong the league line. as shown in the left central portion of iso plat, is found to be 1505 veras rather than 1509 varies as previously reported.

Enclosed is a print of the plat which has been revised to reflect these two adanges. It is being passed to your office while the thought that you night wind to substitute this revised plat for the one mailed you had ar wate of December 4, 1950.

REFERRED TO LA

counter 17949

Statements T. E. BURGER S. C. SMITH IN RE: APPLICATION OF F. M. TEAL, OF ORANGE COUNTY, TEXAS. **≬**original**≬** S.F. 15153 File No. 15153 ORENCE County EXMIBIT Filed CLUNE 5Th, 1951 LA BASCOM GILES, Com'r T.S. File Clerk MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS counter +7950

1	THE STATE OF TEXAS,
2	COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.
3	Sworn statement of T. E. Burger, of Beaumont,
4	Jefferson County, Texas, to be used in the application of F. M.
5	Teal, of Orange County, Texas, as a "good faith claimant", or
6	as an applicant to purchase or to lease a vacancy that was re-
7	cently discovered in connection with a survey of his adjoining
8	property. The vacancy is situated about eight miles north of
9	Orange, Orange County, Texas, and is located between the David
10	S. Suddeth and the Harry Van Way Surveys on the East and West,
11	and the Charles Morgan and the John Allen League Surveys on the
12	North and South. The vacancy is approximately 400 varas in
13	length on its north and south boundaries, and approximately
14	1,000 varas in length on its east and west boundaries, and con-
15	tains approximately seventy acres of land.
16	T. E. BURGER, being first duly sworn, gave the
17	following testimony:
18	Questions by Mr. P D. Renfro:
19	Q. State your name?
20	A.T. E. Burger.
21	Q. Where do you live, Mr. Burger?
22	A. In Beaumont, Texas.
23	Q. How long have you lived in Beaumont, Texas?
24	A.Well, this trip about two months.
25	Q. Have you lived here prior to this time?
26	A.Yes, I lived here a year ago September.
27	Q. What kind of business are you engaged in at the present
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

time? 28

. .

MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

1

counter \$ 7951

1	A.Well, at the present time, veneer and sawmill work.
2	Q. For what company?
3	A. General Box Company.
• 4	Q. How long have you been doing this kind of work?
5	A.Going on five years.
6	Q. Do you have special training in any particular line?
7	A.Well, I was trained in college as a forester and wood
8	technologist, but now I am supposed to be classified as a pro-
9	duction engineer with this company.
10	Q. Where did you receive academic training or college
11	training in this field?
12	A. Purdue University.
13	Q. Did you finish at Purdue7
14	A.Yes, sir.
15	Q. What degree do you hold from that University?
16	A.A.B. S.F., was working on my Master's and quit.
17	Q. Have you had occasion to practice that profession in
18	the line ofwork that you are doing?
, 19	A.Yes, sir.
20	Q. It requires a knowledge of that?
21	A.Yes, sir.
22	Q. Are you familiar with trees that grow throughout east
23	Texas?
. 24	A.Yes, I am.
25	Q. Have you had occasion to go with Mr. Stonecipher,
26	surveyor, and Mr. William Seale, to a point in Orange County,
27	Texas, recently, for the purpose of inspecting a tree or trees?
28	A.Yes, I guess it was Orange County.
	MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

counter 17952 2

1	Q.Well, did you go with them?
2	A.Yes, we went.
3	Q.I will ask you and Mr. Stonecipher to take this map,
4	which we will ask Mrs. Dimerling, the Notary, to mark as Exhi-
5	bit "A", and locate on this map, using the survey and the
6	highways, thepoint or points that you went to and what you found
7	(Notary marked map referred to above as
8	Exhibit "A" - attached hereto)
9	Q. All right, Mr. Burger, did you inspect a tree loca-
10	ted on the ground, as represented by this map marked Exhibit
11	"A", at some point on this map?
12	A.Yes, sir.
13	Q. Whereabout?
14	A.Right here.
15	Q. That would be at?
16	A. Approximately 400 varas east of new highway 87.
17	Q. And was it along the line, the south line of the
18	Morgan League, as reflected on this map?
19	A.Yes, sir.
20	Q. What kind of tree did you find there?
21	A.I call it a black gum.
22	Q. What size tree would you say, approximately, was it?
23	Fifteen inches, forty inches, twenty inches?
24	A.Offhand I couldn't tell you - I mean I didn't pay any
25	attention to the diameter of the tree, but thinking back I
26	imagine about a twenty-two inchetree, D.B.H. may have been
27	larger.
28	Q. Twenty-two inches in diameter?
	MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

A.Yes, sir.

counter \$7953 3

Q. Now you say that is a black gum tree, what is the difference between a black gum tree and a tupelo gum tree, or is it hard to tell?

A. Well, it is easy enough to tell if you have the fruit and the leaf, bark characteristics, growing site, where you find the tree, and type of crown it has and branches. Usually a tupelo gum has good clean bowl and branches start at about sixty to eighty feet, and this had branches all over it, starting at about twenty feet. You might say these branches developed from adventitious buds, it looked to me like.

Q.I will ask you if the characteristics and the things you named, including the locality, the growth, all those help and are necessary to determine whether it is a tupelo gum or black gum, is that right?

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

Q. Well, isn't that true?

A. Yes. sir. it is with me.

A. Yes, sir, that is more or less standard classifications, in order to identify any tree, especially during the winter months or early spring, prior to having leaves on it. If you see the leaf you can usually identify the tree by its leaf or leaves, but to have a positive identification I would say you would have to have these items listed, the leaf, the fruit and of course you can go on the twig scar - I didn't examine the twig scar, couldn't get a branch off of it, tree was pretty big.

26 Q. What was the character of the ground or land around 27 where that tree was growing?

A. Well --

MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

Counter 47954

Q. I mean was it high, low, wet? 1 A. It was wet. I would say it was, you might say, semi-2 marsh. I would call it. Wasn't actually marsh land or swamp. 3 Not like a tupelo swamp or tupelo break. In other words it 4 looked to me like it could have water in it one time of the 5 6 year and another time not. Q. Isn't it a fact that tupelo gum are often called black 7 gum and black gum called tupelo gum and called sour gum and 8 9 pepper ridge? A. Yes, you have one there, there again you run into 10 a common name, black gum - could be. Actually they call it 11 12 black tupelo gum. 13 Q. Then a black gum is oftentimes called a black tupelo 14 gum? 15 A. Yes, sir. Q. Well, is it common for people who are not trained in 16 17 the knowledge of distinguishing trees, to call a black gum a 18 tupelo gum and vice versa? 19 A. There is a possibility, yes. Q. They look enough alike? 20 21 A. Yes. Q. Is it difficult from a scientific point, using scienti-22 fic methods and equipment, to tell the difference between them? 23 24 A. Well, there again, depends on the season of the year. Q. But if you are furnished a piece of wood to determine 25 whether black gum or tupelo gum, it would be difficult to do 26 27 that even with scientific instruments? A. According to literature it is impossible, that is, the 28

> MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

5

counter 17955 5

seasoned wood of either tree. Suppose it comes from a tupelo you might call it black gum or vice versa and you might be right in either case. I spent some time at the Forests Products Laboratory, Madison, Wisconsin, and previous to the time I was up there there hadn't been any literature published to designate absolutely the identify of black gum and tupelo. A lot of woodsmen and lumbermen throughout the south and midwest and various sections of the country claim they can tell it but to date, according to scientists and wood technologists there isn't any positive way of identifying the two woods.

Q. There is no positive way of differentiating between the two woods?

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

A. That is right.

Q. Well, then, it is common for woodsmen to call a tupelo gum a black gum or vice versa, that right? you spoke of lumbermen and those both doing that?

A. Yes, there is a possibility they may know what they
are talking about but I imagine the terms are what you might
say might be synonomous.

20

Q. Interchangeable?

Q.,Use different names?

A. Yes, sir.

A. Yes, so far as you might say the woodsmen are concerned. Of course when you get into common names you run into trouble, you may know what you are talking about, I may have a common name for a certain tree and you may have one. We may be talking about the same tree and not agree.

26 27

28

Q. I might call it a tupelo gum and you call it a black

MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

counter #17956

gum?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

A.I may call it a black tupelo. I got to checking on that the other day after talking with you and there is a book published later than the one I used in school, and they specify, the way I recollect, black tupelo. The old book used to make a difference between black gum and tupelo gum, but the new book calls black gum a black tupelo gum.

Q. Until remently the text on it referred to it as black tupelo?

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A. Black gum and a/tupelo gum.

Q. And now they bring it a little closer and say black tupelo?

A. Yes, sir.

Q.I will ask you this question: If an average woodsman going through the woods, at a season when he would not have advantage of berries and leaves and so forth, looked at a tree, is it possible for one fellow to call it a black gum and the next fellow call it a tupelo gum?

A.Yes, there is a very likely possibility of that, yes, sir.

Q. Now, from the point we are talking about, at the tree you have just described, what direction and how far did you walk westward - about 400 varas along what was pointed out to you as the south line of the Charles Morgan survey, is that right?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And there you were shown a tree, weren't you? A. Yes, white oak specie of tree.

> MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

> > 7

counter \$7957

1	Q. What size tree would you say that is, roughly - you
2	didn't measure it, did you?
3	A.I imagine that tree is about twelve inches. I didn't
4	measure it d.b.h.
5	Q. What does that mean, "d.b.h."7
6	A. Diameter breast high - four and one-half feet above
7	the ground.
8	Q. What was the character of the timber around that
9	tree, was it thick or thin?
10	A. No, it seemed fairly well populated, quite a few
11	pines in there, pretty good size trees.
12	Q. Would you say that tree was suppressed, is it a
13	suppressed tree?
14	A. Yes, sir, I would classify it as a suppressed tree.
15	Q. What do you mean by that?
16	A.I classify any tree suppressed with a canopy over and
17	surrounding it, is closed in, in other words, like having a
18	top over it.
19	Q. It is smothered, so to speak, and doesn't have a
20	chance to grow?
21	A. It doesn't have a chance to get sunlight, there are
22	big pines in there; looked to me like the oak had been there a had
23	good many years. The pines/sprawling tops and they were block-
.24	ing out all sunlight for that tree, and consequently the way I
25	saw it, the tree just wasn't getting proper sunshire for proper
26	growth.
27	Q. It was a stunted or suppressed tree?
28	A. It was undoubtedly a suppressed tree.
	O A stunted tree doesn't grow as fast as ARAUERITE GMARLAND

counter 17958

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

open where its own canopy can get plenty of sunlight?

A. I wouldn't say it wouldn't grow as fast.

Q. Doesn't grow as big, that right?

A. Usually they don't grow as high. In other words they usually put on more limbs, more of a sprawling nature than a tree that grows in the woods.

Q. From your knowledge of trees and after examinining that tree, what would you estimate the age of the tree to be?

A. Well, we talked about that when we were over there. I would say the tree is one hundred or one hundred and ten years old, somewhere in there, maybe over that. 11

Q. Is that tree plenty old to be a witness tree when the survey was made in there in 1884, if it is 100 years old now?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. It is plenty old to have been a witness tree? A. Yes, sir.

Q. And its growth has been very slow?

A. Yes, sir, it appears to be, looking at the crown of and it's the tree and the formation of the bowl, /slow growth, the tree had been suppressed for a good many years.

Q.I will ask you this question: When a tree is suppressed, does that mean it dies or just stunted in its growth?

A. No, sir. There is a possibility of death but usually suppressed -- well if dead it is not called a suppressed tree.

Q. It is just stunted in its growth, a suppressed tree? A. A suppressed tree is just stunted in its growth. Q. Now, did you go from this white oak back to the first

MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING

B

1	tree that you examined?
2	A.Yes, sir, we walked.
3	Q. What was the character of the ground along there?
• 4	A.Well, it was - I would say it was just marshy land,
5	or semi-marshy land. Wasn't a tupelo break. Seemed like as
6	though it had water in it one time of the year and another
7	time of the year it may not have any, may dry up.
8	Q. Then, according to the character of land along there,
9	would you say tupelo gums do or do not grow in there?
10	A.I didn't see any tupelo gums.
11	Q. And you looked along as you went?
12	A.Yes, we looked along.
13	Q. Well, is that character of land the kind that tupelos
14	grow in or not?
15	A.No, sir, it is not a tupelo site.
16	Q.Not a tupelo site?
17	A.No, sir.
18	Q. But it is a site where black gums grow, is that right?
19	A. Black gum and other hard wood, we saw some sweet gum
20	in there, which is same as red gum, saw some oak in there.
21	There was some pine right close by, not too far from this big
22	tree and we saw some stump holes in there of pine.
23	Q.Mr. Burger, you have no interest in this case one
24	way or another, have you?
25	A.No, sir.
26	Q. Don't know the parties?
27	A. Except just met them, that's all.
28	Q. That is all, thank you.
STATISTICS IN CONTRACTOR OF STATISTICS	

MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

T.E. Burger Sworn to and subscribed before me, the undersigned Notarh Public, this the 26 day of February, A. D. 1951. Marquer Notary Public, Jefferson County, Texas.

MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

counter \$7961

THE STATE OF TEXAS, COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Sworn statement of S. C. Smith, of Jefferson County, Texas, to be used in the application of F. M. Teal, of Orange County, Texas, as a "good faith claimant", or as an applicant to purchase or to lease a vacancy that was recently discovered in connection with a survey of his adjoining property. The vacancy is situated about eight miles North of Orange, Orange County, Texas, and is located between the David S. Suddeth and the Harry Van Way Surveys on the East and West, and the Charles Morgan and the John Allen Surveys on the North and South. The vacancy is approximately 400 varas in length on its North and South boundaries, and approximately 1,000 varas in length on its East and West boundaries, and contains approximately seventy acres of land.

<u>S. C. SMITH</u>, being first duly sworn, gave the
 following testimony:

18 Questions by Mr. P D. Renfro:

Q. State your name, please?

A.S. C. Smith.

Q. Were you present, Mr. Smith, when Mr. Burger and Mr. Stonecipher went over in Orange County to examine some trees? A. I was.

Q. On this map, designated as Exhibit "A", did you go to a point approximately 400 vrs. east of the new highway, along the south line of the Charles Morgan survey?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Did you find a tree there?

MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

rounter 47962

1

11.

1	A.Yes, sir.
2	Q. What kind of tree do you say it is?
3	A. Black gum.
4	Q.I will ask you this, what kind of work do you do?
5	A. Timber estimator.
6	Q. How long have you been engaged in that work?
7	A. Approximately fifteen years.
8	Q. And in your timber estimation have you had occasion
9	to go into the woods and familiarize yourself with trees, in
10	east Texas?
11	A.Yes, sir.
12	Q. You have done that kind of work as a practical timber
13	man, you know the difference between the trees?
14	A.Yes, sir.
15	Q. And you are familiar with the trees of east Texas?
16	A.Yes, sir.
17	Q. And you will say that is a black gum tree?
18	A.Yes, sir.
19	Q. And that is the same tree that Mr. Burger was
20	talking about here?
21	A.I presume it is.
22	Q. You were with him at the time?
23	A.Yes, sir.
24	Q. And you just heard him testify here?
25	A.Yes, sir.
26	Q. What character of ground was that? Is the character of
27	ground there high or low, swampy or semi-marsh?
28	A. Well, it is just typical Orange County, anything you

MARGUERITE E, DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

counter \$17963 2

12.

1	find in this Gulf area here, north of the Gulf, all across
2	Orange, Jefferson and Hardin Counties.
3	Q. Well, is it semi-marsh or low lands?
4	A.Well, it is low.
5	Q. At this particular point was that low land?
6	A.Yes, sir.
7	Q. Swampy like?
8	A. It had characteristics of a swamp, people define
9	swamps differently.
10	Q.Well -
11	A.A lot of people where they find hardwood call it
12	swamp but a swamp is low ground where you find only tupelo
13	and cypress growing.
14	Q. Is this the character of land that this black gum
15	is supposed to grow in?
16	A. No, sir.
17	Q. Did you see any tupelo gum?
18	A.No, sir.
19	Q. Has it oftentimes been your experience in estimating
20	timber in the woods that an ordinary woodsman will call a tree
21	a black gum and another woodsman will call the same tree a
22	tupelo gum?
23	A.Yes, sir.
24	Q. Is it sort of like a white oak and a cow oak, called
25	one name by one party and a different name by another party,
26	meaning the same tree?
27	A.Yes, sir.
28	Q. Now, from that point did you go about 400 varas west,
L	MARGUERITE E, DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

13,

3

counter 97967

1	and did you there examine a white oak?
2	A.Yes.
3	Q. Is that tree suppressed or otherwise?
4	A.I would say it is suppressed.
5	Q. It is a suppressed tree?
6	A.Yes, sir.
7	Q. Will you tellme about how the canopy is and timber
8	around it is, overshadowed or otherwise?
9	A.Yes, it is overshadowed, however the oak tree is
10	older than anything around it, in my opinion.
11	Q.It is a suppressed tree?
12	A.Yes, sir.
13	Q.A suppressed tree is usually smaller than a tree
14	not suppressed, of the same age, is that true?
15	A.Yes, sir.
16	Q. About what would you estimate the age of that tree
17	to be?
18	A. That would be hard to estimate unless you cut it down
19	and counted the annual rings in it. It could be from 100 years up
20	Q. You think it is at least 100 years old?
21	A.I would say yes.
22	Q. Was it plenty old to be a witness tree in 1884?
23	A.I would think so.
24	Q. You think it would be?
25	A.Yes, sir.
26	Q. Over the area that you passed there, between the white
27	oak and the black gum, is that tupelo area - is that an area
28	that is characteristics of tupelo gum or not?

:

MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS 14.

counter \$7965 4

1	A.It is not.
2	Q. It is an area of the black gum type?
3	A.Yes, sir.
4	Q. Do you have any interest in this case?
5	A.No, sir, I do not.
6	Q. You only know the people involved by having met
7	them, is that right?
8	A.Yes, sir.
9	Q. Did you make a search around that immediate vicinity
10	to determine whether tupelo gum was located there or not?
11	A.I did.
12	Q.Did you find any?
13	A.I did not.
14	Q. You spoke awhile ago of some other kind of gum you
15	found, what was that?
16	A. Bastard gum - the trunk characteristics are very
17	similar to tupelo, and commonly referred to by woodsmen as
18	bastard gum, similar to tupelo, they grow along with tupelo.
19	Q. You did find some Bastard but no tupelo gum?
20	A.Yes, sir.
21	Q. Would an ordinary woodsman be easily mistaken and
22	call a bastard gum a tupelo gum?
23	A.Yes, sir, very commonly done. Bastard gum is black gum.
24	Q. It isn't tupelo but often referred to as it?
25	A.Yes, that is right.
26	Q. That is all.
27	Domixo
88	

.

*

MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT. TEXAS

5

counter \$ 7966

15.

Sworn to and subscribed before me, the under-signed Notary Public, this the 26th day of February, A. D. 1951. Marguerites e. ç" Notary Public in and for Jefferson County, Texas. . MARGUERITE E. DIMERLING BEAUMONT, TEXAS

counter \$7967



ALL MEASUREMENTS OF BOUNDARIES PREFIXED BY ASTERICKS* ARE ACTUAL MEASUREMENTS MADE IN THE FIELD. ALL OTHER BOUNDARY MEASUREMENTS ARE TAKEN FROM THE VARIOUS DEED

RECORDS. BEARINGS OF LINES ARE DUE NORTH OR SOUTH OR EAST OR WEST UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.

GUM MKD X TH 13/10 VRS. OAK MKD. X TH 2 VRS. (VEI

Sou Sw

"SITUATIONS"

RELATING TO VACANT TRACT OF LAND BETWEENTHE DAVID S. SUDDETH AND HARRY VAN WAY PATENT SURVEYS ORANGE COUNTY, TEXAS SCALE 1 = 200 VRS. Nov. 29,1950

LICENSED STATE LAND SURVEYOR

counter 17968



NOTE:

ALL MEASUREMENTS OF BOUNDARIES PREFIXED BY ASTERICKS* ARE ACTUAL MEASUREMENTS MADE IN THE FIELD. ALL OTHER BOUNDARY MEASUREMENTS ARE TAKEN FROM THE VARIOUS DEED RECORDS.

BEARINGS OF LINES ARE DUE NORTH OR SOUTH OR EAST OR WEST UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.

a -> 0,0

UND -STAKE ON RIVER 30"5w. GUM MKD.X. South 13%0Vas. 28"WR.OAK MKD.X CHITH 2VRS. (VER

OX ZO

"SITUATIONS"

RELATING TO VACANT TRACT OF LAND BETWEENTHE DAVID S. SUDDETH AND HARRY VAN WAY PATENT SURVEYS ORANGE COUNTY, TEXAS SCALE 1 = 200 VRS. Nov. 29,1950

Sung Q. Stonecyber LICENSED STATE LAND SURVEYOR counter \$7969.



TO WAG WRIGHT AND WI MORGAN BY THE STATE OF TEXAS. FIELD NOTES, I FIND AN THOESS OF IT VARAS OF LAND BETWEEN THESE ING SENIOR SURVEYS OVER AND ASOVE THAT ALLOCATED AND PATENTED IT IS FOUND JODAY AND JACOB TOWNSENDS SURVEY ACCORDING TO HIS LOCATABLE UPON THE GROUMP. AFTER CUTTING OUT GUTHRIES SURVEY AS ONE OF THE ORIGINAL BEARING TREES FOUND AT ITS N.W. CORNER (AND WHICH COMPORTS EXACTLY BUDISTIANCE FROM JACOS TOWNSENDS S.E. CORNER AS GIVEN IN GUTHPLES ORIGINIAL FIELD NOTES) IS DEFINATELY AND CONGLUSIVELY 仁香药至 IS FIRST AND THE G.C. GUTHRIE SURVEY IS SECOND AND BOTH THE WH MORGAN AND MA. C. WRIGHT ARE JUMIOR TO THEM. THE G.C. GUTHRIE SURVEY FROM Opriority of ARE OWNED BY THE BANK COMPANY AND VERY LITTLE JE ANV, EFFORTS HAVE BEEN MADE 10 KEER UP THE OLD LINES, IN SENIORITY [HE JACOB JOUNSEND. NOTHING. THE WHIG WARPINT, WHI MOREAN AND JACOB TOWNEEND SURVEYS GC.OUTHRIES TIE TO L TOWNSENDS S.E. CORNER. GC.GUTHRIE THE TO J. TOWNSENDS S.W. CORNER 931 VAS. 199 112 WEST SILE OF THE NEWTON ROAD. W.G.W. - AT SA5 VRS. THE and the second second VAIGHT . 160 ACRES "1888" (29% ACRES I MODEWN MORTHEND DF CAUSE MAY OF THE NEWTON HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT REMAINS DUE TO HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION WORK. DEE R/W DEEDS DATED 11/29/49 RECORDED IN VOL. 99, PAGE 493. And Vol. 99, Page 495 OF ORANGE COUNTY, RECORDS. NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORNER (IF THERE WAS SOME) USED BY THE THE IS WHITE ONE I DELIEVE TO REPRESENT THE TRUE CORNER.

REPRESENTING & SUBDIVISION CORNER WITHIN THE D.S. SUDDETH. To MAKETIMIS LOCATION. THIS LOCATION IS 41 VARAS WEST OF (USED CURHRIES N.W.CORNER (AS I FINDIT,) AND A LARGE MAGNOLIA IN THE NEW HIGHWAY RIGHT OF WAY. THE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT D. 3. SUDDETH AND THE N.W. CORNER OF THE A. PREJEAN SURVEYS STATE HISHWAY DEPARTMENT LOCATED THE N.E. CORNER OF THE IN LATING OUT AND PLANNING NEW HIGHWAY NO. 87. THE TEXAS NOTE:

4

S.F. 15153 File No. ORRNGE County REVISED PLAT Filed EAS 9th, 1951 BASCOM CILLES, Com's A Structure for the Chants

3

LICENSED STATE LAND SURVEYOR

Sung a staxee the.

Nov 29,1950

E 1 = 200 VAS.

ORANGE COUNTY, TEXAS

ATING TO VACANT TRACT OF LAND

.

SUDDETH AND HARRY VAN WAY

PATENT SURVEYS

BETWEENTHE

DITORIJOND "CITINTIONS"

ORANGE CO RLD. SK. # 24. (A FLAT FOLDER)

DEADINGS OF LINES ARE DUE NORTH OR SOUTH OR EAST

ARE ACTUAL MEABUREMENTS MADE IN THE FIELD. ALL OTHER BOUNDARY MEASUREMENTS ARE TAKEN FROM THE VARIOUS DEE

JERBURENTS OF BOUNDARIES PREFIXED BY ASTERIC

RECEIVED FEB 9 1951 REFFERRED TO LAW

UNLESS UTHERWISE INDICATED.

MOLE: +



