Presidio county ROLLED SKETCH NO. 120 Maps Showing Various Locations of Ria Grande River BURVEYED I.B & X.C. BY D.F. Frickkin-Peccined, Sept. 17, 1974 Filed - 3-7-83-



OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER UNITED STATES SECTION

INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION

UNITED STATES AND MEXICO 200 IBWC BUILDING 4110 RIO BRAVO EL PASO, TEXAS 79998

P. O. BOX 20003

SEP 17 1974 Ph: 915 543-7300

Mr. Bob Armstrong Texas General Land Office Stephen F. Austin Building 1700 North Congress Austin, Texas 78701

Dear Commissioner Armstrong:

I appreciate very much your letter of September 5, 1974, with reference to the lands in the Presidio Valley of Presidio County, lying between the patented surveys and the Rio Grande, in follow-up of our good meeting in your office on August 29, 1974.

We are pleased to furnish, in response to your request for material evidence of the river movements, the enclosed composite map of the area in question which shows the surveyed locations of the river in 1852, following the 1848 Treaty, in 1895, in 1905, in 1908, in 1910 and in 1969, as compiled from survey records and aerial photographs on file in our office here in El Paso, all of which may be reviewed by your representative at any time. Also enclosed is an overlay for the map showing the latest patent lines based upon patent information obtained from a review of the files in your office, and the office of the County Clerk, which clearly shows the additions of land to the north bank by movement of the river since the patent surveys.

As can be readily seen from the map, the 1852 survey shows that the river was then along the north edge of the valley and the 1969 survey shows the river to be more nearly along the south edge of the valley. This is the evidence supporting our statements to you that the land in question has been shifted from the south to the north of the Rio Grande by the changes in the course of the river since the boundary was established following the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo in 1848.

Let me again express my real thanks to you for affording me the opportunity to visit with you in your office on August 29, 1974, and for your interest in this matter.

Sincerely,

K F. Friedkin Commissioner

cc: James J. Kaster State Representative Austin, Texas

counter 18817

October 21, 1974

Mr. J. F. Friedkin, Commissioner International Boundary and Water Commission P. O. Box 20003 El Paso, Texas 79998

Dear Commissioner Friedkin:

I have your letter of recent date with which you enclosed a map and overlay showing the movement of the Rio Grande River in the Ojinaga area in Presidio County.

Our legal and engineering divisions have studied this problem and compared the movement of the river on your map with other information we have in our office. Like any other factual study, we were hopeful that we could find more information than we have been able to find concerning the gradual or evulsive change of the river since 1870 to the present time. It appears from information available that the river, at the time of the grants along the area in which the Boundary Commission proposes to straighten the river in the Ojinaga area, was approximately at the called distance of the various surveys. All surveys have adjoinder calls to the river and when the river moved, either by a slow gradual change or by an evulsive change as the result of flood action, ownership of the additional land on the north side of the river could not be claimed by the State of Texas but would be the property of private individuals, either those in the United States of possibly a claim by someone in Mexico.

In view of the above facts, it appears that the State of Texas does not have a claim and that the area between the called distance of the surveys in this area and the actual existence of the river today on the ground would

Mr. J. F. Friedkin - page 2

October 21, 1974

be accreted land. We have concluded that this is accreted land as a result of the adjoining calls, or that in the case of an evulsive change, there is a possibility that Mexico and/or her citizens might have a claim to some of the area. In either of the above factual situations, the State of Texas could not claim the acreage as excess acreage or vacant land.

Sincerely yours,

Bob Armstrong

lr

cc: Mr. James J. Kaster State Representative Austin, Texas

counter 78819 DZ



