

Burnet County. ¹⁴ \$

N. Boundary.

by J. A. Chamberlain

J. B. Rosenwood

1883.

See Letter Book
396 Page 124

(Duplicate)

The State of Texas
Burnet County}

To the Honorable Commissioners Court,
Burnet County, Texas:

I, J. P. A. Chamberlain Surveyor of
Burnet County, having been appointed by
your Honorable body on the 15th day of
May 1883, to survey, in conjunction with
the Surveyor of Lampasas County,
the boundary line between Burnet and
Lampasas Counties, having proceeded at
the time, and in the manner directed, to run,
mark, and establish said line; beg leave
to submit the following field notes and
accompanying plat as my report of
the same; both Surveyors agreeing thereto,
to - wit:

Beginning on a continuation of
the old boundary line of Burnet and
Lampasas Counties as run and marked
by John Harvey in 1853, 5070 vs. N. 30°
W. of where the E. end of the W. P. Reese
Survey No. 12 crosses the Lampasas
River, a stone mound whence a L. O. br.
S. 23° W. 25 $\frac{1}{2}$ vs. a do. br. S. 80 $\frac{1}{2}$ ° W. 31 $\frac{1}{2}$ vs. and
the S. E. cor. of the Craig Thomas Sur. br. S.
19° E. 675 vs.

Thence West 45 vs. a Spring 930 vs.
the N. line of said Thomas Sur. 80 vs.
N. 71° E. of its N. W. cor. 1547 vs. Upton

a Rav. 19 mi. 1598 vs. a Rav. 19 mi. 1686 vs. a Rav. 20 mi. a St. Rd.
Whence a PQ brs N 6° E 24 vs. 20 mi. 1440 vs. the W. line of the Joseph
Squires Sur. 1332 vs. S 19° E of the N. W. cor. of the same 20 mi.
1830 vs. a Rav. 21 mi. a St. Rd. Whence a PQ brs S 11° W. 10 vs.
21 mi. 940 vs. Espy Fork of Sulphur 22 mi. a St. Rd., whence
a LQ brs S 9° W. 59 vs. 22 mi. 770 vs. a Br. 22 mi. 830 vs. the same Br.
22 mi. 863 vs. the same 22 mi. 946 vs. a Br. 22 mi. 1785 vs. a Rav.
23 mi. a St. Rd. 23 mi. 998 vs. ^{page} 590 vs. N 19° W. of the N. W. cor. of the
George Byerly Sur. 23 mi. 1130 vs. a Br. 24 mi. a St. Rd. 24
mi. 90 vs. a Rav. 24 mi. 483 vs. the W. line of the Chaney Williams
Sur. 52 vs. N 19° W. of the N. E. cor. of the J. J. Hamiter Sur. 24
mi. 1408 vs. the E. line of the J. J. Slack Sur. 83 vs. N. of the N. W.
cor. of said Hamiter Sur. 25 mi. a St. Rd. in head of Rogy Br.
Whence a Mesquite brs S 88° E. 50 vs. 25 mi. 440 vs. said Br. 25 mi.
1238 vs. the same, 26 mi. a St. Rd., whence a PQ brs N 21° W. 40 vs. 26
mi. 544 vs. the W. line of said Slack Sur. 197 vs. S. of its N. W. cor. 26 mi.
1228 vs. the W. line of the D. H. Mc Reader Sur. 197 vs. S. of its N. W.
cor. 27 mi. a St. Rd., whence a PQ brs N 12° E. 27 vs. 27 mi. 536 vs.
pass. 503 vs. N. of the N. E. cor. of the Warren Allard Sur. 28 mi. a St. Rd.
in a Shin Oak Thicket. 28 mi. 898 vs. a Rav. 28 mi. 1095 vs. a Rav.
28 mi. 1460 vs. the S. fork of Yancey Creek 29 mi. a St. Rd.
Whence a LQ brs N 3° E 13 vs. 29 mi. 170 vs. a Rav. 29 mi. 365
vs. a Rav. 29 mi. 1745 vs. a Rav. 30 mi. a St. Rd. whence a cedar
brs E. 82 vs. - 30 mi. 460 vs. Bear brs. 30 mi. 1640 vs. a Rav 31
mi. a St. Rd. whence a LQ brs N 69° E 13 vs. - 31 mi. 360 vs. a Stake
on the bank of the Colorado River whence an Aet brs. N 18° W.
10½ vs. a Pecan brs. N 33° W. 3½ vs., and the mouth of Yancey Cut
brs. N 10° W. 229 vs. - *J. H. Rule* *Chain*
E. H. Greenwood *C. D. Schooley* *H. M. Jennings* *Carriers*

Variation 9° 57' E.

Bearing trees and stone mounds marked BI, and the figures denoting
the number of miles from the beginning point
With these our hands the Aug. 6th 1883

3. J. H. Chamberlain
Sur. Burnet Co.
3. G. C. Greenwood
Sur. Lampasas Co.

*Burnet & Lampasas
Co. Land Survey
Commissioners*

By Frank H. Dill

(See Letter Book 396, p. 124)


Counter 50876

The E. line of the T.T.R.R.C. Sur. no 8. 564 vs. N.
19° W. of its S.E. cor. 8 mi. a St. mid whence a L.C. br. S. 62° W.
30 vs. 8 mi. 944; the W. line of said Sur. no 8. 657 vs. S. 19° E.
of its N.W. cor. 8 mi. 1325, a Rav. 9 mi. a St. mid whence
a L.C. br. S. 88° W. 13 vs. 9 mi. 7 vs. the E. line of the E.W. Holler Sur.
35 vs. N. 19° W. of its S.E. cor. 9 mi. 602, a Branch. 9 mi. 792 vs. a Rav.
9 mi. 853 vs. the W. line of said Holler Sur. 312 vs. N. 19° W. of its S.W.
cor. 9 mi. 10 1/2 vs. a Branch 9 mi. 14 1/2 vs. Mesquite Creek 9 mi.
1704 vs. a Rav. 10 mi. a St. mid. whence a L.C. br. N. 79° E. 19 1/2 vs. 11 mi.
168 vs. a Br. 11 mi. a St. mid. whence a Mesquite br. S. 13° W. 9 1/2 vs.
12 mi. a St. mid. whence a L.C. br. N. 50 1/2° E. 27 1/2 vs. 12 mi. 684 vs.
The E. line of the Thos Dawson Sur. 324 vs. N. 19° W. of its S.E. cor.
12 mi. 1507 vs. the E. line of the Jessie Washburn Sur. 231 vs. S. 19°
E. of its N.E. cor. 13 mi. a St. mid. 13 mi. 318 vs. the N.W. cor. of
said Jessie Washburn Sur. 13 mi. 1104 vs. pass 10 vs. N. 19° W. of
W.P. Washburns N.W. cor. 14 mi. a St. mid. 14 mi. 208 vs. the
W. line of the Jerry Arnold Sur. in a small Ravine 140 vs.
N. 19° W. of its S.W. cor. 15 mi. a St. mid. 15 mi. 1060 vs. Greenwood Br.
15 mi. 1185 vs. the E. line of the T.W. Hart Sur. 349 vs. S. 19° E. of its
N.E. cor. 15 mi. 1610 vs. a Br. 16 mi. a St. mid. whence a B. J. br.
S. 58° W. 7 vs. 16 mi. 205 vs. a Ravine 16 mi. 1240 vs. Pass Br.
16 mi. 1269 vs. a Br. 16 mi. 1368 vs. the W. line of the C.R. Rose
Sur. 320 vs. N. 19° W. of its S.W. cor. 17 mi. a St. mid. whence a
P.Q. br. N. 20 1/2° W. 35 vs. 1 1/2 mi. 41 vs. a Rav. 17 mi. 375 vs. a
Rav. 1 1/2 mi. 532 vs. a Rav. 1 1/2 mi. 1503 vs. a Br. 17 mi. 1560 vs.
The W. line of the Thos Jackson Sur. 1009 vs. N. 19° W. of its
S.W. cor. 18 mi. a St. mid whence a P.Q. br. S. 3° E. 7 1/2 vs. 18 mi.
740 vs. the South Fork of Sulphur Creek 19 mi. a St. mid. in the
bend of a Rav. whence a P.Q. br. S. 77° E. 4 1/2 vs. 19 mi. 996 vs.

Branch 1 mile a St. md. whence a L.O.
bs. N. $23^{\circ} E.$ 16 vs. 1 mile 130 vs. a small
Ravine 1 mi. 68 $\frac{1}{2}$ vs. the N. line of the G.C.
and S.F.R.R. Co. Sur. No. 21, 897 vs. N. $7^{\circ} E.$
of the S.W. cor. of the T.J. Upton Sur. 1 mi.
1032 vs. Gregory Br. 1 mi. 1321 vs. a Br.
1 mi. 1578 vs. the W. line of the T.J. Upton Sur.
292 vs. N. $19^{\circ} W.$ of its S.W. cor. 2nd mi. a St. md.
whence a L.O. bs. N. $25\frac{1}{2}^{\circ} W.$ 23 $\frac{1}{2}$ vs. 2 mi.
617 vs. a Rav. 2 mi. 687 vs. the W. line of the
G.C. & S.F.R.R. Co. Sur. No. 21, 1129 vs. N.
 $19^{\circ} W.$ of its S.W. cor. 2 mi. 188 vs. a Rav. 2
mi. 1438 vs. the E. line of the G.C. & S.F.
R. R. Co. Sur. No. 2, 145 vs. S. $19^{\circ} E.$ of a
cor. of Sur. No. 22, 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ mi. a St. md. whence
a L.O. bs. S. $84^{\circ} W.$ 14 vs. 3 mi. 547 vs. a Rav.
3 mi. 980 vs. a Rav. 3 mi. 1229 vs. pass 417
vs. N. $19^{\circ} W.$ of the N.E. cor. of the Conrad
Draesener Sur. 3 mi. 1256 vs. a Rav 4th mi.
a St. md. 4 mi. 458 vs. the E. line of the E.
G. Robert Sur. 596 vs. S. $19^{\circ} E.$ of its N.E. cor.
4 mi. 1515 vs. the Lampasas River 5th mi
a St. md. whence a L.O. bs. S. $45^{\circ} W.$ 400 vs.
5 mi. 73 vs. cross the W. line of the E.G. Roberts
Sur. 130 vs. S. $19^{\circ} E.$ of its N.W. cor. 5 mi. 465 vs. Burnt
Branch 5 mi. 1391 vs. a Rav. 5 mi. 1848 vs. a Rav. 6 mi.
a St. md. 6 mi. 728 vs. Burnt Branch 7 mi. St. md. whence
a L.O. bs. N. $43^{\circ} W.$ 2 vs. 7 mi. 32 vs. the W. line of the
Sarah Pennington Sur. 1830 vs. N. $19^{\circ} W.$ of its S.W. cor.
7 mi. 37 vs. a Br. 7 mi. 640 vs. a Rav. 7 mi. 826 vs.

LL

*Augt 2
1891*
10
Lampasas Co.



Burnet Co

I. W. L. McGaughy, Commissioner of the General Land Office, do hereby certify that the above sketch is a true copy made from a sketch now on file in this office and is attached to the field notes of the boundary line established between the Counties of Burnet and Lampasas by T. A Chamberlain, Surveyor for Burnet County and G. C. Greenwood surveyor for Lampasas County, dated August 6th AD 1883.

In witness whereof I hereunto sign my name and cause the seal of office to be affixed this 15th day of September AD 1891

W. L. McGaughy
Commissioner of the General Land Office
of the State of Texas.



For County purposes
Lampasas County
State of Texas.

No fee.