The State of Texas) County of Clay )

The following is true and correct field notes of the land along the line of Montague and Clay Counties.

Antalia Dit

Begginning at the S.W.corner of Montague County as established by Win Yarber of Montague County and the Surveyor appointed by Wise County to establish the line between Montague and Wise Counties a large Stone marked 28 M Thence North at 963 vs Newport and Crafton road at 1630 vs the S.B.line of survey No. 46 E.T.R.R.Co. at 1845 vs branch at 1900 vs large stone 3 1/2 feet inones high and 9 inches square Thence North at 1030 vs the S.B. line of survey No. 41. E.T.R.R.Co. 621 vs east of its S.W. cornerand I279 vs west of its S.E. corner at I900.v s Post No. 2 Thence North at 1630 vs the S.B. line of No. 40. E.T.R.R.Co. 547 vs east of its S.W.corner and 1353 vs west of its S.E.corner at 1900 v s Post No. 3 Thence North at 1630 vs the N.B.line of survey No. 40. E.T.R.R.Co. 474 vs east of its N.W. corner and 1426 vs west of its N.E.corner and 676 vs west from the S.E. corner of the Josefa Diaz survey and 474 vs east of its ell cor at 1900 vs Post No. 4Thence North at 1900 vs Post No. 5 Thence North at 1125 vs the N.B. line of the Josefa Diaz survey 1504 vs east of its N.W.corner and 696 vs west of its N.E.corner at 1900vs Post No. 6 Thence North at 1029 vs the N.B.line of B.B.B. & C.R.R.Co.survey I498 vs east of its N.W.corner and 505 vs west of its N.E.corner at IIOO vs Freestone creek at 1780 vs the S.B. line of the S.M. Herron 7 vs west of his S.E. corner at 1900 vs Post No.7 Thence North at II4I vs the NB. line of said Herron survey 37 vs west of his N.E.corner and 136 vs east of of the S.W.corner of survey No. 2875 T.E.& L.Co. and I208 vs. west of its S.E.corner at 1900 vs Post No. 8 Thence North at 569 vs the S.B.line of survey No. 2866 T.E.& L.Co.94 vs east of its S.W.cornerand 1250 vs west of its S.E.corner at 1900vs Post

B

counter 51437

0.

23260 28.60 120 1980 1800

1 O

.Co. at 1940 VS STRAIG of THOU VS LATTO Store and av and a said the new York and a shine of the . S. Line of servey Me. M.L. F.T.M. R. Co., JPI vs quat of the and 18 3 va west of 1 to 3.6. series, at 1930. v 8 Post totto at 1020 ve the 2.3. The of Mr. 40. A. . Cats 20 face av BGEL has Three.W.S att 10 fac and avoid the adapt manage 5 . of decide a v couldress There is an it is that av our ad. A.G. T. B. . W. . OK LOY ME. I. and an the sew av n's has the part at is the to be bas at 1900 vs Post No. Change North at 1940 ys Post No. 6 Takage toria stylly as the waithe of the doasfa Diar survey 1506 ta reactor. a. att to they by blochas warnor. W. att 10 the 8.8.8 to only 8. Keens av 1001 ta stand onget 6 .et Ja SHART OF SECTOR BY T ALL THREE ST. a 700100.a.S the sy lull to never menual 1.02 .W.8 -44. 20 P 4780 .08 av OUFT th Thursdo. .ON VE the S. B. Line of survey No.

Clay Co. Bdry. File #18

visua 10 publ. S.2. ont av USOI ta have noting

Counter 51438

tass av se.oo.d x.

Counties of Clay & Montague) We the undersigned having been appointed by the Counties of Clay and Montague and in accordance with the provision of Title 22 Ch 4 Sayles Civil Statutes of -ments we have surveyed the land-along the line between said each survey along sold line and that the foregoing plat and field notes is true and correct according to the survey made by us on the ground as prescribed by law.

Witness our hands this the day of November A.D. 1893.

R W Wattens Surveyor of Clay County

Surveyor of Montague County

0 0

The State of Texas)



) 0

The State of Texas

County of Clay ) The above field notes approved in open Court this /4 day of November A.D. 1893.

1 Farmer

HI J. BarrexX, County Judge Clay County J'4 Bridge Commissioner Precinet No. I Ewgolunen Commissioner Precinet No. 2 Commissioner Precinct No. 3 Commissioner Precinct No. 4

The State of Texas)

County of Montague) The above field notes approved in open Court this day of November A.D.1893.

> County Judge Montague County Commissioner Precinct No.I Commissioner Precinct No.2 Commissioner Precinct No.3 Commissioner Precinct No.4

Post No. 21 Thence North at 302 vs the S.B.line of survey No. 39 H.& T.C.RR.Co IO20 vs west of its S.E.corner and 880 vs east of S.W.corner at 1900 vs Post No. 22 Thence North at 128 vs the S.B. line of No. 27 MXXXXXXXXX.H.& T.C.R.R.Co. at 1900 vs Post No.23 Thence North at 715 vs the S.B.line of survey No. 26 370 vs west of its S.E.corner and 330 vs east of its S.W.corner at 1300 vs Post No. 24 Thence North at INNOXXX 715 vs S.B.line of No. 21 same company at 1900 vs Post No. 25 Thence North at 91 vs the S.B.line of survey No.13, 26 vs east of its S.W.corner vs west of its S.E.corner at 1900 vs Post No. 26 Thence and North to the S.B.line of survey No.10 348 vs east of its S.W. corner and corner at 1900 vs Post No. 27 Thence North at 134 vs the S.B. line of survey No. 4 1344 vs west of its S.E.corner and 048 vs Bast of its S.W.corner at IN 1900 vs Post No. 28 Thence North to S.B.line of Mark Haley sur 648 vs east of its S.W.corner and II90 vs west of its S.E corner thence North to S.B.line of Jonathan Barlow survey II90 vs west of his S.E.corner and 648 vs east of his S.W.corner at 1900 vs Post No.XXXX 29 Thence North at 1900 vs Post No. 30 Thence North at 1900 vs Post No. 31 252 vs south of the south bank of Red Ri River.

0 0

No. 9 Thence North 29 vs the S.B.line of survey No. 2847 T.E.& L.Co. 90 vs east of its S.W.corner and I254 vs west of its S.E. corner at 1373 vs the S.B.line of survey No. 2838 T.E.& L.Co. 67 vs east of its S.W.corner and 1277 vs west of its S.E.corner at 1300 vs Post No. 10 Thence North at 817 vs the S.B.line of survey No. 2819 T.E.& L.Co. 44 vs east of its S.W.corner and 1300 vs west of its S.E.corner at 1900 vs Post No. II Thence North 261 vs the XXXXXXX S.B. line of survey No. 2805 T.E.& L.Co 21 vs east of its S.W.corner and I323 vs west of its S.E.corner at 1605 vs the N.W.corner of survey No. 2805 and the N.E.corner of survey No. 2804 T.E.& L.Co.and I48 vs west of the S.E.corner of the George Maybee survey and 2540 vs east of its S.W.corner at 1900 vs Post No.12 Thence North at 1049 vs the S.B.line of the W.H.Dorsey survey 1800 vs west of its S.E.corner and east of its S.W.corner at 1900 vs Post No. 13 Thence North at 1529 vs the N.B.line of the W.H.Dorsey survey II3Ivs east of its N.W.corner and vs west of its N.E.corner and II31 vs east of the S.W.corner of the S.P.Sanders survey and vs west of its S.E.corner at 1900 vs Post No.14 Thence North at 720 vs the N.B.line of the S.P. Sanders survey to west of his N.E. corner and III vs east of his N.W.corner at 1900 vs Post No.15 Thence North at 1820vs cross creek at 1900 vs Post No. 16 Thence North at 1900 vs Post No.17 Thence North at 1900 vs Post No.18 Thence North at 749 vs the N.B.line of Jack County School land 3815 vs west of its N.E.corner and 6263vs east of its N.W.corner and 28 vs west of itsxExermar the S.E.corner of T.& N.O.R.R.Co. survey No.5 and I436 vs east of its S.W.corner Thence North at 1900 vs Post No. 19 Thence North at 1271 vs the S.B.line of survey No. 6 same company 1252 vs west of its S.E.corner and 648 vs east of its S.W.corner at 1900 vs Post No. 20 Thence North at 1271 vs S.B.line of J.M.Swisher survey 1029vs west of its S.E.corner and 2388 vs East of its S.W.corner at 1900 vs

8 (

Counter 51439

Glay Co Bary 3 Line: 18 Boundary line bluen the confities of Montaque Clay. Filed Nov 29th 1893 examined and afferroved Dec. 1st 1893. G. n. Beaumont SS norman .... abstracted Jacuy 1895 p Counter 51442