

1/ ~~H~~
Gillespie & Kendall
County Boundary:
Genl. Land Office,
Jan. 22, 1907.

Tuesday, Jan. 8, 1907.

In matter of boundary line between Gillespie^{and} Kendall Counties.

On this day came on to be heard and considered the report of Arthur Striegler, Special Surveyor, who at a previous term of this Court was appointed to assist in running and establishing the boundary line between Gillespie and Kendall Counties, which said appointment was made by this Court in pursuance to an order made and entered by the County Court of said Kendall County at a regular term thereof held in October A.D. 1906, ordering that said line be run and established, a certified copy of which order was by said Court forwarded to and entered of record by the County Court of this Gillespie County and it appearing to the Court that said report is correct and that said Arthur Striegler has made due return of the field notes and maps to this Court as provided by law: It is ordered by the Court that the same be and is hereby in all respects approved and that said report, field notes and maps be recorded by the Clerk of this Court and a certified copy thereof returned to the General Land Office. It is further ordered by the Court that a certified copy of this order and of said report, field notes and maps be forwarded to the County Court of said Kendall County. And it further appearing to this Court that the frontage of each of Gillespie and Kendall Counties upon the line is the same: It is ordered that the expense of surveying and marking said line be equally divided between said Gillespie and Kendall Counties as provided by law, and that said Arthur Striegler present to each of said Gillespie and Kendall Counties an itemized account of all the expenses incurred in surveying said line.

Fredericksburg, Texas, Jan. 8th, 1907.

To the Hon. County Court of Gillespie County.

In pursuance with an order of the Hon. County Court of Gillespie County to survey and establish the boundary

Gillespie Co Bdry Line 7

line between Gillespie and Kendall Counties, I repaired to the Gillespie County S. E. corner the appointed place with a party of five men, wagon and supplies, on the 25th November 1906 in order that we might begin work on the line next day the 26th. as agreed between Mr. Gray and myself; but the surveyor from Kendall failing to appear, our party was compelled to take up the work alone and accordingly we started from the Gillespie Co. S. E. cor. and ran a due W. course with variation $9^{\circ}10' E$ by my compass taken from observation at the Meridian established at the College in this town and taking Meridian observations with transit occasionally by clear sky as work progressed in order to corroborate our work, ran a random line with temporary erected mile posts to the Davis and Schuchard corner established for Kerr and Kendall Counties in Gillespie Co.'s S. line about 17 years ago. As alternate chain-carriers were employed; Alfred Kleck, Jessie Kleck, E. C. Holden, F. C. Striegler and Attala Striegler Jr. for Flagman, all sworn; two Axmen and occasionally three axes were employed for clearing the line; occasional distances were taken on the Stadia-Rod across bad hollows and bluffs where chaining would be too slow and uncertain; after three weeks labor on this random or testline at a distance of 19 miles and 1573 vs. from our starting point, we found our deviation from a direct course to the Kerr and Kendall corner sought, ~~the~~ ~~pro~~ in distance 481 vs. N or about $\frac{3}{4}^{\circ}$ too far to the right, dividing this proportionally with a slight correction for refraction and curvature, and moving each mile post its due distance S, the established correction line field notes are as follows; - Beginning at S E cor. Gillespie Co. ^{1st Mile} situate on School section No 20 located by the C. C. S. D. R. Co. & N. O. Ry. Co. - 1325 vs. S of the N. cor. of the survey and 485 vs. E of its W line and the N. W. cor. No 119 (with both bearings remaining) brs. S 27 W 550 vs. a L. O. 2" brs. S 62 W 14 vs. dd Mk. 11, Sp. O. 6" N 35 W 59 vs. Mk G \bar{x} , B. J. 8" S 67 $\frac{1}{2}$ E 62 $\frac{1}{4}$ vs. Mk B \bar{x} ; - thence due W var. $9^{\circ}53' E$ 250 vs. to a point from which the N. cor. No 119 brs. S 490 vs. - at 373 vs.

- cross a hollow of Martins fork of Blanco river at 485 vs. cross the N.W. line said No 120-1375 vs from its N. cor. and 425 vs. from its W cor. at 700 vs. cross a hollow, at 840 vs. the E line of Sec. No 82 alternate to No 81 L. J. Co. 1310 vs. from its N.E cor. and 590 vs. from its S.E cor. — at 1150 vs. a Blanco hollow, at 1713 vs. a point f.w. the N. cor. No 125 C. C. S. D. L. S. & N. S. Ry. Co. Surv. bro. S 736 vs. at 1900 vs. a Stone Mound 2 ft. high Indid. K and G from which a B.J. 3" S 7½ W 14 vs. Sp. O. 2" N 54½ E 5 vs. for 1 ml. post on top of divide —
- 2nd ml. — thence W cross branch to Williams Creek 860 vs. to W line said No 82-578 vs. N of its S.W cor. and 581 vs. S. of S.E cor. No 80 I & N. Ry. Co. surv. and a cor. of the W part of No 1062 — at 1418 vs. the E line of No 1061-587 vs. S of S line said No 80, and 552 vs. N of N cor. No 126 at 1729 vs. cross a hollow at 1900 vs. a Stone Ind. for 2 ml. post f.w. a L.O. 6" bro. N 2½ W 26 vs. L.O. 6" S 3¼ E 25½ vs.; on Williams Creek Hollow.
- 3rd ml. — thence W 90 vs. cross a hollow at 359 vs. a point in E line No 115 S. A. Patillo surv. 587 vs. S of its NE cor. 2nd 1350 vs. from its S.E cor. at 487 vs. a hollow at 935 vs. the SW cor. said No 80 bro. N 612 vs. at 1900 vs. a Stone Ind. for 3 ml. post f.w. a Sp. O. 3" bro. S 1½ E 3½ vs. Sp. O. 4" N 72½ E 6 vs.
- 4th ml. — thence W 310 vs. to Bush Spring hollow at 369 vs. the W line said No 115 and E line No 116-651 vs. S of its NW cor. 1336 vs. N of its SW cor.; at 858 vs. a road 1354 vs. to a spring branch 1900 vs. to a Stone Ind. for 4 ml. post f.w. a Sp. O. 3" bro. S 37½ W 4½ vs. Sp. O. 4" N 14½ E 13 vs. on a ridge about 100 vs. W and about 100 vs. S of big lone Walnut;
- 5th ml. — thence W 160 vs. cross a hollow 369 vs. to a point in W line of No 116 for E line No 292-161 vs. S of N line of said No 292 and 680 vs. S of NW cor. said No 116 and 1307 vs. N of its SW cor.; — at 1128 vs point 691 vs. N of middle cor. in S line said No 292-1425 vs to a Three Mile hollow at 1800 vs. and 125 vs. N. to L.O. 12" with old line Indid. 1900 vs. to a Stone Ind. for 5 ml. post f.w. a P.O. 10" bro. S 3 E 4½ vs. B.J. 6" N 26½ W 13½ vs.;

on top of Blanco, Three Mile and Grape Creek divide.

6th Ml. — thence W 520 vs. to W line said No 292 and a line of No 582 Abe Colson surv. 214 vs. S of N. W cor. said No 292 and 445 vs. N of NE cor. No 355 Brooks & Burleson Surv. at 1200 vs. a branch 1570 vs. to NE line No 354 Curt Brotze surv. 210 vs. from its N cor.; 1810 vs. to SE line F. Bremer 91 vs. from Brotze N. cor. and 582 vs. from its W cor. — at 1900 vs. made Stone Md. for 6 Ml. post on hill about 100 vs. N of house f. w. a L. O. 4" N 3½ W 8 vs. L. O. 4" S 6½ W 7 vs.

7th Ml. — thence W at 75 vs. a branch 100 vs. to the old Baumfels road at Bremers house 1150 vs. to S. Grape Creek E prong 1260 vs. to S cor. No 309 John Siebeneiche surv. 393 vs. from No 907 E cor. and 950 vs. from its N cor. 1550 vs. to a branch 1900 vs. a Stone Md. for 7 Ml. post f. w. a L. O. 12" bro. N 44 vs. L. O. 12" S 37 W 45 vs. —

8th Ml. — thence W 695 vs. to a point in NW line No 907 — 383 vs. from its W cor. and 960 vs. from its N cor. at 1143 vs. a point in NE line No 341 — 320 vs. from its N cor. at 1362 vs. point in W line No 767 Heinrich Meier surv. 523 vs. S of its NE cor. and 37 vs. N of its SE cor. at 1900 vs. a Stone Md for 8th Ml. post f. w. a P. O. 16" bro. S 66 E 7½ vs. P. O. 25" N 25 E 10 vs. on W side of a road.

9th Ml. — thence W 92 vs. to the middle fork of S Grape Creek at 690 vs. point in W line said No 767 — 523 vs. S of its NW cor. and 37 vs. N of its SW cor. and 332 vs. S of SE cor. No 951 — at 914 vs. the E line of No 183 J. Brodbeck surv. 334 vs. S of a cor. in S line said No 951 and 311 vs. N of SE cor. No 183, at 1020 vs center of Sisterdale road 1361 vs to point f. w. SE cor. of Wm Pahl No 952 is N 100 vs. — at 1900 vs. a Stone Md. for 9 Ml. post f. w. a L. O. 12" bro. S 40 W 6 vs. L. O. 12" N 42 W 12 vs. — about 300 vs. SE of Esaias Pahl's house.

10th Ml. — thence W 133 vs. to point in E line E. Pahl No 384 surv. 779 vs. from N. E. cor. and 171 vs. from its SE cor. at 900 vs. cross a Spring branch; at 1083 vs. a point in W line said No 384 f. w. the SE cor. No 716 bro. N 312 vs. and the

S. W. cor. N^o 384 brs. S 159 vs. — at 1252 vs. point f. w. S E cor. of
 H. Cammack N^o 760 brs. N 314 vs. at 1900 vs. Stone Md. for 10 ml.
 post f. w. a Sp. O. 12" brs. S 13 W 25 vs. Sp. O. 12" N 48 $\frac{1}{2}$ W 21 vs. —
 about 300 vs. N W of Dechert house —

11th ml — thence W 232 vs to point in W line N^o 805 - 328 vs. S of
 its N W cor. and 198 vs. N of a cor. of N^o 805 - at 1518 vs. point in
 W line N^o 797 the S E $\frac{1}{4}$ of N^o 854 school section 543 vs. S of its
 N W cor. and N E cor. N^o 718 the S W $\frac{1}{4}$ and 393 vs. N of S W cor. said
 N^o 797 in N line N^o 358 - at 1600 vs. a branch at 1900 vs. a Stone
 Md. for 11 ml. post f. w. a Sp. O. 6" brs. S 84 E 17 $\frac{1}{2}$ vs. Sp. O. 4"
 N 12 E 21 vs. — in Luckenbach - Schoenewolf pasture.

12th ml. — thence W 250 vs. a branch 529 vs. a point in W line
 said N^o 854 - 384 vs. N of its S W cor. and 165 vs. S of N E cor. N^o 164
 and S E N^o 628 - at 1124 vs. a point in E line N^o 841 - 175 vs. from its
 E cor. and 775 vs. from its S cor. — at about 1800 vs. cross Knopp's
 branch at 1900 vs. a Stone Md. at a road for 12 ml. post f. w. a L. O. 10" brs.
 N 89 E 23 vs. L. O. 10" S 87 E 22 vs. on a road N W of Knopp's house.

13th ml. — Thence W at 168 vs the W line of said N^o 841 352 vs from
 its N. cor. and 598 vs. from its W cor. — at 788 vs. a point in E line N^o
 188 - 591 vs. S of its N E cor. and 752 vs. N of its S E cor. — 1425 vs. to
 Devil's Hollow at 1900 vs. a Stone Md. for 13 ml. post f. w. a L. O. 8" brs.
 S 57 E 30 vs. Sp. O. 8" N 27 E 35 vs. — near W fence of Karl Hallenberg's
 field.

14th ml. — thence W 231 vs. to point in W line N^o 186 - 603 vs S of its
 N E cor. and 740 vs. N of its S E cor. — at 1000 vs. the Railroad grading
 1100 vs. to W prong S Grape Creek at 1576 vs. a point in W line
 N^o 186 - 260 vs. S of N E cor. N^o 293 Aug. Ebers surv. and 215 vs. N of
 its S E cor. — this line is the center of Fredericksburg - San Antonio
 road at 1900 vs on Earth Md. in the middle of Ebers field for
 14 ml. post from which a L. O. 4" brs. W 112 vs. for center line tree, the
 S end of Hallenberg's dwelling house brs. S 87 E and N E cor. of
 Ebers' dwelling house brs. S 73 $\frac{1}{2}$ E.

15th ml. — thence W 960 vs. a hollow at 1576 vs. a point in W line
 said N^o 293 - 284 S of its N W cor. and 191 vs. N of its S W cor.
 at 1900 vs. a Stone Md. for 15 ml. post f. w. a Sp. O. 8" brs. S

13W 14½ vs. P.O. 12" N 16½ W 11½ vs. — situate on N side of a hollow in a thicket.

16th Ml. — Thence W 95 vs. to a point in N line № 69-540 vs from its NE cor. and 115 vs. from SE cor. of № 385 at 210 vs. the E line of № 385-80 vs. from its SE cor. and 870 vs. from its NE cor. — at 1200 vs. the E line of № 949-446 vs. N of its SE cor. and 897 vs. S of its NE cor. — at 1900 vs. a Stone Md. for 16 Ml. post at branch f. w. a Walnut 4" bro. S 45 W 1 vs. Walnut 5" N 26 W 15 vs. —

17th Ml. — thence W 541 vs. to E line № 950 at point 912 vs. S of its NE cor. and 431 vs. N of its SE cor. at 860 vs. a hollow 1883 vs. a point in W line of said № 950-880 vs. S of its NW cor. and 463 vs. N of its SW cor. — at 1900 vs. a Stone Md. for 17 Ml. post f. w. a L.O. 8" bro. S 26½ W 8½ vs. B.J. 8" N 87 E 28 vs. near a road on E side of E prong of Menebach's Creek. —

18th Ml. — thence W 412 vs. to E fork of Menebach's Creek at 1610 vs. another hollow — 1900 vs. to a Stone Md. for the 18 ml. post f. w. a L.O. 16" bro. S 47½ E 18 vs. B.J. 16" N 77 E 13 vs. on top of the divide of Menebach and E Bear Creeks: —

19th Ml. — thence W at 80 vs. a point 918 vs. S of the SW cor. № 377 H & Q. Br. Ry. Co. surv. and SE cor. № 378 — at 660 vs. a branch of Bear Creek at 754 a point in W line № 146 and E line № 363 — 15 vs. N of its SE cor. and 481 vs. S of its NE cor. — at 1624 vs. the SW cor. said № 363 and SE cor. № 358 Edwards Rivas surv. — 1700 vs. to E Bear Creek 1800 vs. to a spring branch 1900 vs. to an Earth Md. in middle of Ernst Heerbert's field for 19 Ml. post from which a B.J. 10" Mk'd. G bro. N ½ W 53½ vs. L.O. 10" Mk'd. K bro. S 77 W 61½ vs. both trees on W side of Comfort road. —

20th Ml. — thence W along S line № 358 and N line № 357-630 vs. to NW cor. said № 357 — at 1294 vs. the NW cor. № 228 and NE cor. № 227 — at 1573 vs. the Kerr and Kendall County cor. 15 vs. S of the N line said № 227 in a large thicket a Stone Md. Mk'd. K & K & G f. w. a Sp. Q. 3" Mk'd. G bro. N 75 W 1 ⅓ vs. a Cherry 6" Mk'd. K old bro. S 17½ E 19 vs. and a new bearing Sp. Q. 6" bro. S 18½ E 22 vs. Mk'd. \square . —

The monuments are all of stone, not less than 2 ft. high and marked on N and S sides with the initial letter of their respective County and each bearing tree is marked with the first letter of its County except the 14th and 19th which are Earth Monuments in the middle of fields, no rocks near and it was thought improper to erect a monument in a field that would be detrimental to the owner.

Respectfully submitted
Arthur Striegler.

Special Surveyor, Gillespie County, Texas.

I, Arthur Striegler Special Surveyor of Gillespie Co. do hereby certify, that the foregoing described survey was actually made by me on the ground, according to law, on the date on with the Chain carriers aforesaid, duly qualified and that all the corners, lines, boundaries and Marks of the same, wether natural or artificial, are truly and correctly described and set forth in the foregoing Plat and fieldnotes.

Arthur Striegler
Sp. S. G. Co. Tex.

State of Texas }
County of Gillespie } I, Herman Usener, Clerk of the County Court, of said County and State, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of an order made and entered by the Hon. County Court of Gillespie County, Texas, at its regular January Term, 1907, and of the field notes and maps returned to the Hon. County Court of Gillespie County, at said regular term, as appears of record in my office in Probate Minutes Gillespie Co., Vol. 4, pages 574-575-576-577-578-579.

Given under my hand and seal of said court at office in Fredericksburg, Tex., this 14th day of Jan. 1907.

Herman Usener,
Clk. County Court, Gillespie County, Texas.

1/ Gillespie Co. Bdry

(11)

Gillespie - Kendall Co.
filed
1-22-1907

