N. ost mkd. face face ost on sE. fan N.W. face and TI on and N.E. Gor. Sec- 66 V.W. Cor. Mitchell County North Line N.E.Cor. Howard Willow Ch Mitchell County Howard County 67 al 1378 Lavaca Navigation Com pany 59 mkd. te to n. W. face to n.F. BI-No. 20 it 59 1907 15 5 3 H& T. C. Ry. Co. BL-25 Note: the foregoing plat shows The Correct possition of Section No. 58 bl. No. 20 toward Novigation Co. With reference to The East and North boundaries of Howard County Zixas S. The connecting line as shown by the arrows was rive on the ground by when I was county Surveyor of Howood County I. Seog Surveyor . . Counter 55118



W.P. LANE. COMPTROLLER J.P. MOULDEN. CHIEF CLERK Comptroller's Department

State of Texas. Austin

January 17, 1914.

Hon. J. T. Robison, Land Commissioner, Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir:

I am enclosing herewith a letter, together with map and plat, from S. A. Penix, Big Springs, Texas, and respectfully ask that you give these your attention.

Yours truly,

Comptroller.

JM.

1913-14 3 map and plat. from 3. A. Penix, Big Springs, Texas. and Dest Sire most. espectally ask but you give then your stanted Marian 2. T. Nobleon parsul Mitchell I am enclosed greater a ditmoved Balactore us ce lemon & X.J.W. ept23euA Eorer TOXOB St. Con AULTS STUDY See letter to & C. Hill Co Judge mitchell G-J Office C 9/10/20 in Letter Book The a 1370. P. 135 - & 1392 465 See Sk. #3, Borden Co. also 11 # 2 Securrycounter 55120

OFFICE OF S. A. PENIX COUNTY JUDGE HOWARD COUNTY

BIG SPRINGS, TEXAS,

Jany 15th 1913.

Mr. W. P. Lane, Comptroller,

auer 1/21/14

Austin, Texas.

Dear sir:-

I am writing you relative to the county line between Mitchell and Howard Counties or rather the lands which Mitchell county is collecting the tax off of when Howard County should have same. I am enclosing a copy of the surveys as they should appear in N.E.part of Howard County indicating the proper line and you will note that for instance the abstract 1378 only shows 252 acre out of said section 58 Block 20 of the Lavaca Navigation Co to be in Howard County when in fact all of this section 58 and all of the section east of it save a narrow strip should also be abstracted in Howard County insted of Mitchell Co.

Will you notmplace these surveys which show to be in Howard County in this county instrad of Mitchell Co ? I do not think there is any dispute over the county line on the east between this and Mitchell county and see no reason why all the lands west of the rad line indicated on the plat herewith handed you which was prepared by a surveyor. We also want the line extended on east and south to South boundary line of Howard County so as to get all the lands that should be in Howard County abstracted therein. We feel that we ar are entitled to this and would thank you to indicate what will be necessary to get this done.

If you cannot do this without further data kindly retrun the plat enclosed and indicate what you will require in order to effect the change. I am informed that Mitchell county does not claim these lands which are clearly over in Howard County but have been getting the tax on same for years by reason of them having been abstracted in Mitchell County. We would like very much to have this done inttime to get the lands really located in Howard county properly assessed here this year.

I would thank you to let me hear from you relative to the matter at once.

Yours very truty,

County Judge Howard County.

Howard Co Bdry Line 20