

Lampasas Texas March 23 1894

Hon. W. L. M. Gaughey
Com^r Genl Land Office
Austin Texas

Dear Sir:

I am instructed by Lampasas County, to respectfully request your department to adopt the boundary line as surveyed by E. Brown on the 24 day of May 1892, and adopted by Lampasas County, ~~and~~ the field notes and plat of which were filed in your office about July 1892. Lampasas County claims that said survey is the only one which was run agreeably to law, as the documents on file in your office will show. Last week, while in your office I consulted with your chief clerk and D^r Beaumont about this line, and D^r Beaumont said your department was inclined to adopt the line run in 1883 by G. C. Greenwood and J. A. Chamberlain, on the ground

that the subsequent lines run were unauthorized, as per opinion in Jones vs Towers 65 Tex 213.

Lampasas County insists that the line run in 1883 by G. C. Greenwood and T. A. Chamberlain was and is void and of no effect for the following reasons:

First. The order appointing G. C. Greenwood to act for Lampasas County in making such survey appears to have been the act ^{or order} of "W. P. Beall Co. Judge" and not the act or order of the Commissioners Court of Lampasas County.

Second. Said order in specifying the line to be run did not conform to the law defining the boundaries of Lampasas and Burnet Counties which makes the upper corner of James House League N^o 14 on Colorado river the N.W. corner of Burnet County and the S.W. cor. of Lampasas County, from which the S.E. cor. of Lampasas County was to be established, but said order called for said survey to commence at the S.E. cor.

of Lampasos County, which was uncertain and indefinite, and to run West to the Colorado river without saying that the upper cor. of said House League should be established as the common corner between said Counties.

Third. Because G. C. Greenwood never gave the bond or took the oath required by Section 5 of the act of April 22 1879, which was a pre-requisite to his right to make said survey.

Fourth Because no report of said survey with map and field notes was ever made to Lampasos County or accepted by it.

Fifth Because said line as shown by report of P. A. Chamberlain on file in Burnet County, and in the Land office, places the N.W. corner of Burnet County and the S.W. corner of Lampasos County about 1600 yds up the river from the upper corner of said House League at a point near the center of the frontage of the Jose Maria Reyna League & Labor on said river.

The foregoing facts will be sustained by the documents on file in your office, and if, for any reason any statement made is not confirmed by the records and papers of your office, I will upon request furnish evidence thereof.

I am informed that since the creation of Mills County which took part of Laupagos County, that the present area of Laupagos will be reduced below 700 square miles, if the Chamberlain and Greenwood line is adopted.

Dr. Beaumont said when I was discussing this matter with him on 16th inst. that if I would make a statement of the case, that the Land Office would submit the legal questions to the Hon. Attorney General and be governed by his decision. I therefore respectfully request that this letter, and such data as you deem necessary be submitted to the Atty Genl for his opinion. Yrs Truly

10/ ¹² Sampson Co

Statement of facts
by W B Aloney
Att'y for Sampson
County

March 28/94

See Letter Book
#396 Page 124