

RECEIVED

NOV 22 1917

Referred to Map

Nelson Texas

11 - 19 - 1917

Know of J Robison

Austin Texas

Dear Sir

The S E corner of Lubbock county as established by J Robison should not contridict the location of the N B line of Lynn county For the following reasons.

1st- What is known as the Ira Millington line between Hale and Lubbock Co was not run according to the field notes of the N B line of Lubbock county Lubbock county does not take its connection from S.W. cor of Malbarger county as run by Ira Millington Lewis & Guinn But should take course and distance from the old monument on the E B

See letter of instructions by Comm. J. J. Robison to Standifer, Guinn & Guinn 11/24/17 - Clark

Lynn Co Bdry Line 5

2

of Childress county that was  
Established By Clark in  
1858 and was the only  
county that was established  
in 1856 when the counties  
West of Childress was created  
By the Legislature

2<sup>nd</sup> Kettle county ties out to  
S E corner of Childress county  
It Begins at the Old Monument  
~~and~~ in E Bline of Childress  
and runs 18 miles South and  
12 miles East It would be the N E corner  
of Kettle county. Mostly county Begins  
at the N W cor of Kettle Co  
Mostly Floyd - Hale Lamb  
and Bayly counties all take  
their connection from the S E corner  
of Childress county and call for  
30 miles Square lock runs calls  
to Begin at S W corner of Bayly Co

3<sup>rd</sup> Page

Hockley lullsto Begin at  
at S E cor of Bayly and  
S W cor of Lumb Co then East  
30 miles to S W cor of Hale county  
S E cor of Lumb Co since  
Lumb Co county lullsto Begin  
the N E cor of Hockley county  
since should be 30 miles South  
from the N W cor of Hale county  
when it is 33 miles and 1204  
bars to Ira Millington S W corner  
of Hale county when it should be  
only 30 miles according to Hale county  
Lullsto notes

3<sup>rd</sup> Ira Millington did not run  
a straight line from the N E cor of  
Bayly county S W cor of Millbarged  
county he ought to have set off  
to the north for curvature of the  
Earth Millington runs north  
the first 30 miles he runs

N 89° 47' W

8960  
13  
18947

Then he Surveying his land  
 to the South Parcel at 120  
 miles Established the S E cor of  
 Heale County See Jeff Williams  
 Report filed in Gen Land Office  
 Heale & Leubock line is fixed  
 on the ground as both counties  
 agreed on the North Boundary line  
 of Leubock each county  
 paying its pro rata share of  
 expense of survey as shown  
 by the minutes of the court  
 of Both counties

4<sup>th</sup> <sup>Beano</sup> Lynn County at N W cor of  
 Garfield County & Garfield County  
 at N E cor of Kent County  
 the West cor of Kent County found  
 to be correct as called for in Filed rolls  
 of West line of Kent Mark 35 miles West  
 and 35 miles South to N B line of  
 Borden County as run by Mr Melburn

which front is 570 rods West-  
and 195  $\frac{1}{2}$  rods north of  
the S E corner of Leubock County  
as established by Jones

5<sup>th</sup> When Leubock County  
undertook to establish the South  
Boundary line of Leubock vs  
Lynch County it was not organized  
and had no legal notice as  
required by Law it is true Leubock  
County gave the commissioners  
court of Howard Co not the court  
that the Survey of B Jones would  
start the N B line of Lynch Co  
on a certain date Lynch Co was  
not attached to Howard County  
for judicial purposes nor never was  
but was attached to Crosby County  
for judicial purposes the notice to  
Howard County was void

6th

and was not a legal notice to  
Lynch County as required by Law

6th I have before a certified copy  
of the Field notes of NW cor of  
Kent Co and also the SW corner  
of Dickens County from the Genl  
Land Office and signed by  
you on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of Nov 1914  
and has the following  
notations on the back of Field notes

Field notes - of the East and  
West and a part of South line  
of Kent County

Filed in the Genl Land Office  
August the 16<sup>th</sup> 1895

M E Gross

Chief Clerk

Attach certificate on N.E. & S.W. corners 11/8-95

~~Y.N. Beaman~~

The West & South line of  
Kent Co examined and  
approved September 20<sup>th</sup> 1895.  
L. W. Pressler

In regard to the East and West  
line of Stone wall hereby  
agreed upon by both committees

Kent Co having been placed  
with Low as shown by  
decreed to be a separate  
Local District October 1<sup>st</sup> 1895-  
L. W. Pressler

8

I have a map of all the counties compiled by Mr. Pressler it appears from the evidence that was produced in the case Leutbock vs Hale

That the East line of Kettle Co was 32 miles 768 rods long and the East-line of Hale Co was 33 miles 457 rods long

8960  
32  
8928

making a difference of 75-90 rods would be  $S 89^{\circ} 28' W$

at a bearing of ~~32~~ minutes per mile and the West line of Hale County is 33 miles 1294 rods long

which makes the West-line of Hale County 807 rods longer than the East line at Spring house,  $S 89^{\circ} 12' 31'' W$  at Spring to the South of  $48' 31''$  per mile

I now Mullington Spring has line to far South and will make Leutbock County conflict with Lynnhole



9

It appears that Leubock his judge  
thinks Because Leubock his  
agrees to the Millington line  
that it ought control the  
N B line of Lyon his

I have written this long letter  
So you could see the inconsistency  
of Leubock county contentions

Yours very Resp  
W. R. Standifer