

Amarillo, Tx. April. 12th 1898

Hon A. J. Baker,

Austin, Tx.

1908

Dear Sir:

Your favor of recent date, passing
on my County line work as correct had
been duly received. In the list of
objections you mention that Roberts
County was not notified & c. and that
no surveyor was appointed by Moore.
I can not understand this as I am
certain that I sent a copy of Moore
Countys notice to Roberts County and
attached thereto a copy of Judge
Cummings acceptance and I
remember distinctly that I considered
his acceptance as being so full that
it could but meet the strict requirements.
Hence I am led to believe this an

oversight, but will ask Moore County
to make a full Transcript again.

I do not understand why you say
no surveyor was sent from Moore County
as I was duly appointed, gave bond
and returned a copy of these papers
with the rest.

I was duly appointed to represent
Curson County but was prevented from
getting my bond approved by Judge Lovers
inability on account of a Paralytic stroke.
This fact will explain why he accepted
notice in Kansas City and why he was
again notified.

I have not considered that the law
requires a County to send a surveyor
but that if a County being notified
failed to send a surveyor then the
County giving notice could approve
the field notes and the line would

3

be established. This has been done
in Sherman and Moore Counties
and the approved notes are now in
my possession. I have been waiting
for Hansford and Roberts to return the
notes sent to them for approval

Thinking this evidence, though more
than the law requires, would bind
all parties more firmly. There is no
question that all the Counties intended
to cooperate but it seems difficult to
get them to return their notices in proper form.
While Ochiltree did not send notices on
Lipscomb and Hansford, we sent them
notices in due form and at the proper
time yet I have not been able to
get a transcript from these Counties
showing this fact. and I did not
think this was necessary, as only

Ochultir's north line is surveyed and that is simply a retracing of Clark's line. The same conditions exist in Randall north line, as Armstrong was not notified.

If you find that I am correct concerning the notice and acceptance of Roberts, and concerning the appointment and bond for Moore County, I hope you can approve Moore County's East line.

If you find that I am correct concerning the law requiring that the County shall give notice and approve field notes provided an adjoining County does not send a Surveyor, I hope you can approve Sherman's East and North boundary upon the receipt of the notes so approved and

which I enclose with this letter.

If you find that Schulters north line
is a retracement of Clark's state line
and is correctly located I hope
same can be approved.

Requesting that you will
kindly inform me if Roberts County
noticed and accepted, also Moor's
appointment as Surveyors Board is
in your office and thanking you for
past favors I am

Yours very truly,
W. P. Swickell

507

~~14~~

Potter Co.
Bdy. line

[Faint, mostly illegible handwriting on the left side of the page]

[Faint, mostly illegible handwriting in the middle section of the page]

1111