No. 1 . . /.

Refugio County, Vs. Calhoun County.

5 mm

In the District Court of San Patricio County, Texas.

## PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL POTITION

TO THE HONOHABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURTS

Now comes the County of nefugio, a duly existing county of the state of Texes, and a body corporate and politic as provided by law, hereinefter styled plaintiff, complaining of the County of Colhoun, also a duly existing county of the State of Texes, and a body corporate and politic under the law, hereinefter called defendant, and of which the Honorable Meward G. Hartsog is the duly qualified and acting County Judge, and the Honorable Frank E. Wedig is County Commissioner of Presinct No. 1, the Honorable Ernest Kabela, is County Commissioner of Precinct No. 2, the Honorable Wayne Lindsey is County Commissioner of Precinct No. 3, and the Honorable Clarence Barton is County Commissioner of Precinct No. 4, all of whom reside in Calhoun County, Texes, upon whom service of citation may be had for said defendant; and for cause of action respectfully represents unto the Courts

1. This suit is brought under the provisions of Article 1591, newised Civil Statutes of Texas, for the purpose of establishing the boundary line between said County of nefugic and said County of Calhoung and is brought in the District Court of ban Patricle County, Texas, which county is in an adjoining judicial district whose boundaries are not affected by this suit, and the county seat of which is mearest the county seat of Mafugie County, the sounty suing; and is authorized by an order of the Commissioners Court of herugic County, duly passed and entered in the Minutes of said Court.

2. That plaintiff Hefugio County is a duly existing county of the State of Texas, and was one of the original "precincts" or counties of the Republic of Texas, and recognized as such by the Constitution of 1836, and is the successor of the Mexican Municipality of befugio, the original boundaries of which comprised the ten littoral leagues between the Suscelupe River-Coleto Greek on the north or east and the Nueces River on the south or west, and extended to the Sulf of Mexico, including Saint Joseph's and Mustang Islands. That its boundaries subsequently were reduced and redefined by several Acts of Congress of the Republic and Legislature of the State of Texas, to-wit: Act of December 2, 18kl, (3L II, 678) establishing the line of Joliad County: Act of March 3L, 18k6, (3L II, 35-36), defining the boundaries of Victoria County; Act of April 4, 18k6, (3L II, 1354) (3L II, 1392) reestablishing San Patricio County; Act of December 8, 1857, (3L IV 883-88k) creating bee County, and act of Deptember 18, 1871, (3L VII, p. 3) creating Aranses County. That as the consequence of all which, wrigin County now has a common boundary with Galhoun County in San Antonic Bay, originally regarded as a part of Espiritu Santo May; as hereinafter more particularly alleged.

3. That defendant Calhoun County was created, as aforesaid, by Act of April 18. 1846. (GL II, 1354).

That thereafter, by Act of September 1, 1956, (GL IV, p. 522) the boundaries of Calhoun, Victoria and Refugio Counties were changed as therein defined.

That thereafter, by Act of February 11, 1860, (GLV, 236), the boundaries of Calhoun County were again chan,ed; said Act, which defines the present boundaries of Calhoun County, being in words and figures substantially as follows, to-wit:

## "CHAPT h 178

## An Act to define the boundaries of Celhoun county.

Section 1. We it enscred by the Legislature of the State of Texes, That hereafter the boundaries of Calhoun county shall be as follows: beginning at the West corner of the survey of Valentine Garcia, at the Western extremity of Lawaca Bay; thence to the North corner of a survey known as the Juan Nepomuceno Signeros League; thence with the North-west boundary of said league to the Guadalupe river; thence down said river to its mouth; thence around the Northern and Western margin of the Espiritu Santo Bay, to a point bearing North-West from Cedar Pass or Bayou (which divides Mategords from St. Joseph Island); thence bouth-East to the said Cedar Bayou, and with the aforesaid Cedar Bayou to the Gulf; thence with said Gulf, and South-East margin of Mategords and Pelican Islands to the division line between the counties of Calhoun and Mategords, as heretofore established; and thence with the line of Calhoun county, as now defined, to the place of beginning.

Sec. 2. That this act take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Approved 11th February, 1860."

That the boundaries, as defined in the last alleged Act, were ratified and confirmed by the newised tatutes of 1879, Article 734, defining the boundaries of Calboun County, Texas, and Article 884 defining the boundaries of hefugio County,

-2-

5 e - 1 a

y . 1

Texas.

\*

si into

4. That as provided by said several Acts and said articles of the devised Civil Statutes of 1879, there has existed and now exists a common Houndary between Refugio County and calhoun County, unich extends in m the mouth of the duadalupe River, thence around with the northern and western margin of that part of originally Copirite Santo by, and now known as can Act mid Day, to the boundary line between Refugio and aranses counties.

-3-

That the exact location of said common boundary is not sufficiently definite and well defined, and never has been established in the mode and manner prescribed by law. On the other hand Galhoun County is wrongfully claiming and asserting that said boundary from the mouth of the duadalupe liver follows the shoreline of Espiritu Santo (present San Antonio) day, and around the shire line of Hynes Bay, immemorially a separate and distinct body of water, until it rejoins Espiritu Santo (present San Antonio) bay and thence again with the shoreline of the latter bay to and beyond the befusio-Aranses Counties line, which corner in the J. C. Solverg Survey, as defined in said act creating Aranses County.

5. Plaintiff alleges that the true and correct boundary between the counties of Kefugio and Calhoun, as defined by said applicable acts, is a line extending from the mouth of the Buadalupe hiver, where it empties into Espiritu canto (present San antonio Bay), thence with the center of said Bay and along the same offshore course through what is now can Antonic bay and which formerly was considered to be the northwesterly area of said Espirita canto cay, to the intersection of said medial with or opposite to the northerly terminus of the defugio-Aransas Counties boundary line.

In this connection plaintiff alle, as that when used in connection with or applied to a littoral boundary of a county or a state the term "margin" of a bay means the middle of the body of water involved and not its shore line; and that such call should be so construed by this court.

6. In the alternative, in the went the Court should construe such call for the "margin" of Espiritu Santo bay as meaning the shore line of said Bay, and not the middle of the southwestern area of former spiritu Santo say, plaistiff alleges that the true and correct boundary line between the counties of safugio and Calhoun is a direct line across the conducate of synes say with present ban

3

Antonio bay, without deflecting from ten Antonio bay and meandering the shore line of Hynes hay; that all of Hynes day is within Defugio County.

When boy, premises considered, plaintiff befugio bounty prays that defendant Calhoun County be dited to appear and answer herein, and that upon final be ring hereof this court determine where such boundary line is located, and order that the true boundary line be surveyed and marked in the manner prescribed by statute, to the end that such line may be established as the law requires; and for removal of cloud; and for costs; and for all such other and further relief, but general and special, in law and in equity, to which the plaintiff may show itself justly entitled.

County Attorney of the fugio County, Texes

2.2.5

be a star if

Attorneys for Flaintif, efugia county By Title building helugio, lexas.

Co. 12 Co. Cologio . 1/ Control of 4

cunter 58260

-1-

1 . . . .

File No. Refugio Co. Boundary Refugio Co. vs. Calhown Courty 6/ Co- Plaintiffs Original Petition Filed June 4 1963 JERRY SADLER, Com'r By\_ 71 Forber 5826