

15. Boundary Line
between the Co. of
Kaufman & Co. Bdry. line
Kaufman
and ~~4~~
Rockwall

* Filed Oct 4th 1882

Filed Nov 24th 1883

Filed Dec 8th 1885

For Correction of above add
see Record of Co line.

Page 150 - 1884

Beaumont

* See Kaufman Co Bdry.
Co. Line between Kaufman
and Hunt Co.

The State of Texas.

General Land Office.

Austin October 3rd 1883

P. H. Lewis Esq

Special Sur. Kaufman Co

G. A. Truitt Esq

Special Sur. Roswell Co

I have your reports of disagreement as to the boundary line between your counties and so far as I can determine the law and facts from the information in my possession you should begin your line at the S.E. corner of Roswell County being in the S.E. line of Elizabeth Grange about 1400 varas N 45° E from her South corner, thence west to a point in the John Scott survey about 680 m.s. west of his East line and 350 m.s. south of his North line, this line is marked on the map of Roswell County which I herewith enclose and which line you are hereby instructed to run and mark

Respectfully
W. C. Walsh
Comr

The State of Texas To the Honorable
County of Rockwall County Court of
Rockwall County:

I submit to you
the following report:

After receiving the appointment as special Surveyor to run the line between Kaufman and Rockwall Counties, beginning at the South East corner of Rockwall County and give bond, and swear as required. I went on the 30th day of July A.D. 1883 the time that was specified in the order to run the line. There I met with P. M. Lewis Special Surveyor from Kaufman County and we disagreed as to the true corner of Rockwall County and we referred our disagreement to W. C. Walsh Commissioner of the General Land office of the State of Texas. After receiving our instructions from Commissioner Walsh which is hereto attached we set the 23rd day of October A.D. 1883 to run the line under said instruction. I ~~met~~ with P. M. Lewis at the time and place we had agreed upon and Mr Lewis claimed that we had instructions from the Commissioner to stop the survey for a time I had direct instructions from the

Commissioner to run and mark the line and unless I get further instructions directly from the Commissioner I believe that I am duty bound to run said line Mr Lewis said he would protest against the survey at that time, and I proceeded at once and run the line.

First, I run a line west to the Dallas County line then I found that I had failed to hit the point that was described in our instructions, run North of it 263 rs. I then at once to correct back and we have the field notes here attached.

Field Notes of the County line between Rockwall and Kaufman Counties

1st Mile.

Beginning at the S.E. corner of Rockwall County it being situated on the S.E. line of the Elizabeth Graves survey of 26 labors 1400 rs N 45° E from its South corner, a post from which post oak 18 in diam has N 26 1/4 E 40 2/4 rs dis, another post oak 20 in diam has N. 27 1/2 E 39 3/4 rs dis. another 16 in diam has N 41 W 45 1/2 dis., whence thence 1660 rs we find that we are about 206 rs North of the line River by Brewer & Barnes.

1900 $\frac{3}{10}$ vs first mile post on South side
of small drain, from which a double
post oak 20 in diam has N 49 $\frac{1}{2}$ W
271 $\frac{1}{10}$ vs dis, an elm 11 in diam has S 88 $\frac{1}{2}$ W
249 $\frac{1}{5}$ vs dis.

2nd Mile.

Beginning at the first mile post, thence
west, 79 vs the S.W. line of the E. Graves
Survey of 26 labors, 1400 vs N 45 $\frac{1}{2}$ W of
the South corner of said survey, 1160 vs
N.E. line of E. L. Boyd Survey No. 22 and
S.E. line of the Juan Casillas Survey No.
106 - 1900 $\frac{3}{10}$ vs second mile post, from
which an elm 8 in diam has N 50 $\frac{1}{2}$ E 170
vs dis, in ravine, an elm 12 in diam has
N 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ W 136 $\frac{1}{2}$ vs dis

3rd Mile.

Beginning at the 2nd mile post, thence
west 754 vs Whitaker Croftree S.W. line
90 vs S 45 $\frac{1}{2}$ W from the East corner of said
Croftree Survey No 40, 962 vs enter timber
on big High point creek course South
1046 vs High point creek course N
1125 vs High point creek course S. 1145-
vs High point creek, up said creek
without crossing, 1170 vs leaves creek and
enters the prairie, 1900 $\frac{3}{10}$ vs third mile
post from which a post oak 24 in
diam has S 88 $\frac{1}{2}$ E 360 vs dis.

4th Mile. Beginning at the 3rd mile post.
 Thence West 510 vs Zorod and Rockwall
 road course S.E. & A.W. 900 vs N.E. line
 of the Stephenson survey no 35. 365 vs
 545 E from the North corner of said Ste-
 phenson survey, 1150 vs branch course
 S. 1406 Jared Stephenson A.W. line, 365 vs
 545 W from the N corner of said Steppen-
 son survey no 35. 1900 1/2 vs, 4th mile post,
 in Mr Hale's farm no brs. near.

5th Mile. Beginning at the 4th mile post, thence
 west 1110 vs enter timber 1180 vs branch
 4 vs wide course S. 1747 Brushy creek
 course S.W. 1900 1/2 vs 5th mile post, from
 which an elm 5 in diam has N 58 1/2 W
 $\frac{1}{2}$ vs a Hackberry 6 in diam has N 48 1/2 - vs

6th Mile. Beginning at the 5th mile post, thence
 West 90 vs enter prairie 645 vs branch course
 S.E. 1640 vs branch course S.E. 1900 1/2 vs
 6th mile post in Powers' farm from which
 a Hackberry 12 in diam has N 43 E 169 4/5-
 vs branch

7th Mile. Beginning at the 6th mile post, thence ^{west}
 $1900 \frac{1}{2}$ vs through farms, 7th mile post in
 Mr Hunter's farm no brs near.

8th Mile

Beginning at the 7th mile post, thence west 1608 vs branch course North, 1900 $\frac{1}{2}$ % vs 8th mile post on high prairie from which an elev 12 in dim to N 10 $\frac{1}{4}$ W 155 $\frac{1}{2}$ % vs.

9th mile.

Beginning at the 8th mile post, thence west 600 vs enter timber and brush 1660 vs, enter timber in Buffalo bottom 1810 vs the bed of long branch 1900 $\frac{1}{2}$ % vs 9th mile post in Buffalo bottom, from which a Triple Bristle 10-10-5 in dim vs due N 1 rr.

10th Mile.

Beginning at the 9th mile post, thence west 455 vs to the bed of Buffalo creek 1060 vs small branch course S.E. 1380 vs the S.E. line of the Joshua Cauter survey 1900 $\frac{1}{2}$ % vs 10th mile post in prairie from which an elev 8 in dim vs N 88 W 65 vs dis, an elev 10 in dim in ravine vs S 52 $\frac{1}{2}$ W 53 $\frac{1}{2}$ % vs dis.

11th mile.

Beginning at the 10th mile post, thence west 145 vs, Horney and Rockwall road 285 vs enter timber 1693 vs the S.W. line of Joshua Cauter and the N.E. line of the G.B. Paschall survey 1900 $\frac{1}{2}$ % vs 11th mile post in a thicket from which an elev 12

in. diam. hrs N 88° W 42° no dis.

12th mile.

Beginning at the 11th mile post, thence West 210 hrs a triple elm 5-5+10 in diam 431 hrs branch course S.W. 663 hrs East line of the J. Briscoe survey 342 $\frac{9}{10}$ mo of the S.E. of the said Briscoe survey 1900 $\frac{9}{10}$ hrs 12th mile post on ridge in East fork bottom from which a pin oak 16 in diam. hrs N 81° W 8 $\frac{7}{8}$ hrs, water oak 10 in diam hrs S 33° W 9 $\frac{4}{10}$ no.

13th mile.

Beginning at the 12th mile post, thence West 286 hrs the N.W. line of the J. Briscoe survey of 225 acres, and the S.E. line of the R. Bolles survey, 386 hrs, East fork of Trinity river, course South, 515 hrs big slough, 861 hrs Bois d'arc 20 in diam line tree 1832 hrs an ash 6 in diam. line tree 1879 hrs an elm 8 in diam line tree 1900 $\frac{9}{10}$ hrs 13th mile post on West side of East fork of Trinity river from which a pecan 12 in diam hrs S 80 $\frac{1}{4}$ W 5 $\frac{7}{8}$ hrs, a water oak 12 in diam hrs ~~S 80 $\frac{1}{4}$ W 75~~ $\frac{1}{2}$ West 8 $\frac{1}{10}$ hrs dis.

14th mile.

Beginning at the 13th mile post, thence west 24 hrs water oak 24 in diam line

2

Tree 186 mrs S.W. corner of Rockwall County
on Dallas County line, 350 mrs South of
the North line of the John Scott survey
of 320 acres from which a water oak
18 in diam was S $79\frac{1}{2}$ W $7\frac{1}{2}$ mrs, water oak
10 in diam was N $22\frac{1}{2}$ W 7 mrs dis.

Burned Oct 29,th 30th & 31st and Novr
1st and 2nd A.D. 1883

Wgs made
side line X Chain carrier. G. A. Truitt
 ≡ J. S. Hewitt Special Surveyor
 ≡ Frank Hewitt for Rockwall Co.

J. G. A. Truitt Special Surveyor for
Rockwall County hereby certify that the
above is a true copy of the field
notes taken on the ground on the line
of said Survey. That the distances bearings
and marks as therein set forth are
correct.

Gives under my hand at Rockwall
Rockwall County Texas this Novr 5th
day 1883 G. A. Truitt

Special Sur. for
Rockwall County Esq

The state of Texas I
County of Rockwall I W. D. Barringer
Clerk County Court of said County
do hereby certify that the above in-
strument of writing dated on the 5th day

25¢ my. type. 00 mmq21

of Novr A.D. 1883 at 5 o'clock P.M. and duly
recorded the 20th day of Novr AD 1883
at 11 o'clock A.M. in records of said
County in Vol. "F" on pages 613, 14, 15, 16
and 617.

Witness my hand and seal of the County
Court of said County at office in
Rockwall the and year last above written

(D.S.)

H. D. Barringer


Clerk C.C. Rockwall Co. 24

The State of Texas
County of Rockwall I, H. D. Barringer
Clerk County Court in and for said
County hereby certify that the foregoing
is a correct copy of map and report
of survey of the County line of
Rockwall and Kaufman Counties
as reported by G. A. Truitt Special
Surveyor for Rockwall County.


Witness my hand and seal of the County
Court of said County at office in Rock-
wall the year last above written

H. D. Barringer

Clerk Rockwall Co 24



#1



Cert Copy for
W H Allen
④b # Perue
Kaufman Co. Boundary line
Certified Copy

Rockwall Co Boundary Line 52

Fived notes and
Map of County
line between Rock-
wall & Kaufman
Counties. 24ms.

Received & filed
Nov 24. 1883
W H Allen
Comr
Tract No. 83

See letters file
165156
165192 ②