

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Sau Angelo, Texas Dec. 14th 1891.
To the Honorable Commissioners Court
of Tom Green County, Texas.

Gentlemen: By virtue of
an order of your Honorable Court, passed
on the 10th day of November, A.D. 1891, appointing
me Special Surveyor, to assist in surveying
and establishing the South line of Coke Co. it
being a portion of the North boundary line of
Tom Green County, Texas. After having given bond
and qualified as the law directs, I proceeded to the
S. W. Corner of Coke County on the date ordered and
there met Mr. J. R. Patterson, County Surveyor of
Coke Co. and we jointly surveyed as follows to-wit:

1
Beginning at a stone monument marked
N L T G Co. S W Cor C. Co. March 17th 1891
from which Golgotha Mt bears $N 45^{\circ} W$. Kay Stack Hill
bears $S 87^{\circ} \frac{1}{2} E$ the N. W. Cor. of Sur. No. 1 N. C. & W. Co. bears
 $N 14^{\circ} W 1055$ varas. + the East Cor. of said Sur. No. 1 bears
 $N 60^{\circ} 44' E 2120$ varas. Said monument being on said Sur. No. 1

Thence East at 1124 varas crosses the N. W. line
of Sur. No. 254 Geo. Rein 1250 varas $S 35^{\circ} W$ from the upper
River Cor. of said survey at 1835 varas Cross Mulberry Creek
at 1900 varas a stone monument marked I. M. T. G & C
COLINE from which a Pec. 12' bears $N 25^{\circ} 20' W 39^{\circ} \frac{1}{2} vs.$
a do 15' bears $N 12^{\circ} W 44^{\circ} \frac{1}{2} vs.$

2
Thence East at 92 varas crosses the S. E. line
of said survey No. 254 and the N. W. line of Sur. No. 253
Geo. Wagner 971 varas $S 35^{\circ} W$ from their North Common
Corner at 218 varas recrosses Mulberry Creek at 960 varas
the West bank of the North Concho River at the lower
Corner of said Survey No 253 at 1000 vs. the East bank

1 of North Concho River 75 varas $S 37\frac{1}{2}^{\circ} E$ from the
2 West corner of Survey No. 257 J. P. James at 1632
3 varas cross Walnut Creek at 1780 varas cross the S.E.
4 line of said Sur. No. 257 and the N.W. line of Survey No.
5 258 J. F. Melcher 548 varas $N 35^{\circ} E$. from their South
6 Common Corner 1900 varas Stone monument marked
7 2 M's T G & C CO. LINE from which a
8 Peavee bears $S 89\frac{3}{4}^{\circ} W 2130$ varas.

3

9 Thence East at 755 varas cross the S.E. line
10 of said survey No. 258 and the N.W. line of Sur.
11 No 259 Theo. Rice 1120 varas $N 35^{\circ} E$ from their
12 South common corner at 1630 varas cross the S.E. line
13 of said survey No. 259 and the N.W. line of survey No.
14 260 Carl F. Otto 1877 varas $N 35^{\circ} E$ from their South Common
15 Corner 1900 varas stone monument in mesquite flat
16 marked 3 M's T G & C CO LINE

4

17 Thence East at 605 varas cross the S.E.
18 line of said survey No. 260 and a line of Sur. No. 1 S.R.
19 Bostick 159 varas $S 35^{\circ} W$ from the East corner of the former at
20 744 varas set a stone on the West side of the Saul Ayda
21 and Sterling City road marked CO LINE from
22 which the 26 miles past on said road bears North 26 varas
23 at 1599 varas cross an East bdy. line of said Bostick survey
24 and a West bdy. line of Survey No 2 J. T. Lewis 150 varas
25 $S 35^{\circ} W$. from the most Eastern S.E. Cor. of said Bostick sur.
26 it being also a reentrant corner of said Lewis survey
27 1900 varas a stone monument marked 4 M's T. G. &
28 C. CO LINE from which Hay Stack hill bears $S 62\frac{1}{2}^{\circ} E$

5

29 Thence East at 435 varas a stone mound for the
30 S.W. Cor. of Sur. No. 1 B. B. B. & C. R. R. Co. bears North 2 varas
31 at 1291 varas pass 2 varas South of the N.W. corner of Sur.
32 No. 3 J. G. Alexander & the most Southern N.E. corner of Sur.

No. 2 J. Lewis at 1818 varas the S.W. corner of Shackles
Vivian Survey bears South 848 varas 1900 varas a stone
monument marked 5 M's T.G. & C. CO. LINE from which
the West end of McLaughlin Mt. bears S 132° 0' E. a mesquite 6' bears
N 81 1/2° E 32 varas the North point of Haystack hill bears S 77 1/2° W.

6 Thence East at 720 varas cross Shin Oak
Creek at 457 vs. the S.E. corner of Shackles Vivian survey bears
S 848 varas at 822 varas cross the West line of Sur. No. 31,
Block 16 N. & F. C. R. Co. 2 vs. South from its N.W. corner at
987 vs. cross the North boundary line of said survey No. 31
and the South line of Survey No. 32 P.M. No. 16 N. & F. C. Ry.
Co. 165 vs. East from this West common corner 1900 vs.
stone monument in field marked 6 M's T.G. & C. CO. LINE

7 Thence East at 847 vs. cross the East line of
survey No. 32 and West line of survey No. 29 Block No. 16 N. &
F. C. R. Co. 21 vs. North from their South common cor.
1900 vs. a stone monument, marked 7 M's T.G. & C. CO. LINE,
on West brow of hill.

8 Thence East at 874 vs cross East line of
survey No. 29 and W. line of survey No. 26 Block No. 16 N. & F. C.
R. Co. 45 vs North from their South common corner. 1900
vs. a stone monument in valley of W. prong of Chalk Creek
marked 8 M's T. G. & C. CO. LINE

9 Thence East at 510 vs West prong of Chalk Cr. at 903
vs. cross the East line of Survey No. 26 and West line of survey
No. 23 Block No. 16 69 vs N. from their S. common cor. 1900 vs.
stone monument, near E. side of valley, marked 9 M's T. G. & C. CO. LINE

10 Thence East at 548 vs cross a branch at 598 vs. cross
another branch course S.E. at 910 vs. cross the East line of Sur.
No. 23 and W. line of Sur. No. 20 Block No. 16, 81 vs North from
this South common cor. 1900 vs stone monument marked
10 M's T G & C. CO. LINE

11

Thence East at 688 vs. cross East fork of Chalk Cr. at 917 vs. cross E. line of Sur. No. 20th N. line of Sur. No. 13 Block No. 16 93 vs. N. from their S. Common Cor. 1900 vs. stone monument on N. slope of Mt. marked 11 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE

12

Thence East at 9214 vs. cross E. line of Sur. No. 13rd W. line of Sur. No. 10 Block No. 16 105 vs. N. from their S. Common Cor. 1900 vs. Stone monument in valley marked 12 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE from wh. a beech on S. point of Mt. has S 82^o 3/4 E.

13

Thence East at 75 vs. cross a Creek at 660 vs. cross a branch at 932 vs. cross the E. line of Sur. No. 10 Block No. 16 N. T. & R. Co. 117 vs. N. from its S. E. Corner also the W. line of Sur. No. 78 E. L. and R. R. Co. 917 vs. S. from its N. W. Corner 1900 vs. stone monument in valley marked 13 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE fr. wh. a mes. 4' has N 84 1/2 W 1 1/2 vs. do 5' 750^o E 34 vs.

14

Thence East at 510 vs. cross the East line of said survey No 78 949 vs. S. from its N. E. Cor. and the N. line of Sur. No. 64 2 1/2 Alex E. Patton 1115 vs. South from its N. W. Cor. at 554 vs. cross a road at 1460 vs. cross West Grape Creek at 1500 vs. cross a branch at 1768 vs. set a stone on West side of San Angelo & Colorado City road marked CO. LINE 1900 vs. Stone monument marked 14 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE

15

Thence East 1900 vs. stone monument marked 15 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE fr. wh. N. prong of a tripled L. O. has S 62^o 5/6 312 vs. a mes. 7' has S 14^o 10' E 175 vs.

16

Thence East at 411 vs. cross the N. W. line of Sur. No. 640 Casper Trick 318 vs. S 41 W (with var. 9^o 30' E) from its North Cor. at 895 vs. cross the N. E. line of said Sur. No. 640, 366 vs. S 49 E from its N. Cor. at 1415 vs. cross the N. W. line of survey No 641 N. Leonis 345 vs. N 41 E from its West Cor. 1900 vs. stone monument in valley marked 16 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE from which a L. O. 4' has N 48 E

39 vs. a Mes. 6' bears $N 4\frac{1}{2}^{\circ} W. 32\frac{1}{2}$ vs.

17

Thence East at 610 vs goes into bed of East Grape Cr.
and follow up same 150 vs. at 1067 vs. Cross the
N. E. line of said Sur. No. 641 and the S. W. line of Sur.
No. 642 A. Ferris 172 vs $N 49^{\circ} W$ from the East Cor. of said
sur. No. 641 and the South Cor. of No. 642. at 1295
vs. cross the S. E. line of said Sur. No. 642 and N. W. line
of Sur. No. 68 C. M. R. R. Co. 150 vs. $N 41^{\circ} E$ from
the South Cor. of said survey No. 642, 1900 vs stone
monument on N. Slope of Mt. marked 17 M's T. G. &
C. Co. LINE

18

Thence East at 380 vs cross a branch at 428
vs. Cross E. line of said sur. No. 68 and N. line of sur.
No. 24 T. & N. O. R. R. Co. 87 vs. N. from the S. W. Cor. of the
latter at 460 vs cross a branch at 510 vs recross and
at 585 vs. recross same branch at 1423 vs. a Live Oak
on the West brow of Mountain marked fore and aft.
1900 vs stone monument marked 18 M's T. G. & C.
Co. LINE

19

Thence East at 428 vs cross the East line of
said survey No. 24 and the West line of Survey No. 972
M. Williams 87 vs N. from their S. Common Corner
at 988 vs cross a road 1900 vs. stone monument
marked 19 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE from which a Live
Oak 18' bears $N 44^{\circ} W. 41\frac{1}{2}$ vs. a do. 10' $S 28^{\circ} E 38$ vs.

20

Thence East at 125 vs cross the East line of
said Williams Sur. and the most northern West line of Sur.
No. 971, G. B. Kennedy, 87 vs North from their S.
Common Corner. at 400 vs cross branch course S. E.
at 712 vs. cross N. line of Sur. No. 59 D. & S. E. R. R. Co. and
E. line of said Kennedy survey 339 vs. South from
their North Common Corner and 6619 vs South from

1 the S.E. Corner (in Butterfield Canon) of Survey No. 9,
2 N. and O. B. R. R. Co. at 1455 vs cross road from San
3 Angelo to Robert Lee at 1520 vs cross another trail of said
4 road and set a stone marked C.O. LINE at 1540 vs
5 cross Bald Eagle Creek at 1828 vs cross road 1900 vs. stone
6 monument on West slope marked 20 M's T. G. & C. Co.

7 LINE fr. wh. of L.O. 9' hrs. S 10° N. 77 1/2° vs. a do 4' hrs. S 25° W. vs.
8 Thence East at 712 vs cross the East line of said
9 Survey No. 59 and the N. line of No 60 Block L 339
10 vs S from their North Common Corner 1900 vs
11 stone monument in valley marked 21 M's T. G. & C. Co.
12 LINE.

21

13
14 Thence East at 712 vs cross the East line
15 of said Sur. No. 60 and N. line of Sur. No. 61 O. & M.
16 R. R. Co. 339 vs South from their North
17 Common Cor. 1900 vs Stone monument on divide
18 marked 22 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE.

22

19 Thence East at 712 vs cross the East
20 line of said Sur. No. 61 and W. line of No. 62 O. & M. R. R. Co.
21 339 vs. South from their North Common Corner at
22 1052 vs. cross San Angelo and Hayrick road at 1115
23 vs cross Red Creek about 40 vs below Spring 1900 vs
24 stone monument on divide marked 23 M's T. G. & C. Co.
25 LINE from which a cedar 12' bears N 89 1/4° W 98 vs.

23

26 Thence East at 712 vs cross the East line of
27 said Survey No. 62, 339 vs S. from its N.E. Corner at
28 879 vs. Cross the West line of survey No. 5 Cent. 859
29 G. & S. I. Ry. Co. 1047 vs South from its N.W.
30 Corner 1900 vs. stone monument on N. slope
31 marked 24 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE. from which a Live
32 Oak 5' bears N 14° 20' E 7 1/2 vs a do 17' hrs. S 72° 10' E 51 1/2 vs

24

33 Thence East at 896 vs the E. line of said sur. No. 5

25

1 and the N. line of Sur. No. 5 1/2 J. M. Whitaker
2 842 vs North from their South Common Corner
3 at 1620 vs top of high bluff at 1866 vs a road
4 at 1900 vs. a stone monument in valley marked
5 25 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE from which a Live Oak 12'
6 bears N 72 3/4 W 17 1/5 vs a do 6' vs S 75 E 11 vs.

26

7 Thence East at 60 vs Cross Crosses Nest Creek
8 at 696 vs. the East line of said Whitaker Survey 842
9 vs. N. from its S. E. Cor. And the W. line of sur. No. 2.
10 Elvira Engledow 95 vs North from its S. W.
11 Corner at 1198 vs. East line of said Engledow
12 survey and West line of sur. No. Wm Pierce 95
13 vs North from their South Common Corner
14 at 1608 vs. Cross a branch at 1867 vs Cross another,
15 1900 vs. Stone monument marked 26 M's T. G. & C. Co.
16 LINE from which a Live Oak 18' bears N 3° 8' E 108 1/2 vs
17 a do bears N 2 1/2 E 107 3/5 vs.

27

18 Thence East 1900 vs. Stone monument
19 marked 27 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE

28

20 Thence East at 30 vs. Cross the East line
21 of said Pierce sur. and West line of sur. No.
22 39 Wm A. Bates, 1073 vs South from the N. E.
23 Cor. of the Pierce and 740 vs South from the N. W.
24 Cor. of the Bates at 906 vs. Cross the East line
25 of said Bates survey and the West line of the Chas.
26 Ragsdale survey 704 South from their North
27 Common Corner at 1163 vs. Cross a creek at 1648
28 vs. Cross a branch at 1856 vs. the E. line of
29 said Ragsdale survey and the West line of survey
30 of 6 1/2 John Johnson 704 vs South from their N.
31 Common Corner. 1900 vs. Stone monument at W. base
32 of Mountain marked 28 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE.

29 Thence East 1900 vs. stone monument on
the North slope of a high Mt. marked 29 M's T. G. & C.
Co. LINE.

30 Thence East at 314 vs. the East line of
said Johnson survey and West line of survey
No. 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ Wm Wilson 704 vs. S. from their
N. common corner at 1240 vs. set a stone
on E. side of San Angelo ^{and} Ft. Chadbourne road
marked Co. LINE at 1797 vs. the E. line of
said Wilson survey and the West line of sur.
No. 112 W. Co. Ry. Co. 1272 vs. North from its
S. N. Corner. 1900 vs. stone monument
marked 30 M's T. G. + C. Co. LINE from which a
Livel Oak 24' bears S 9 $\frac{1}{2}$ ° W 196 vs. Mt. Margaret
bears N 18 $\frac{1}{2}$ ° E.

31 Thence East at 400 vs. cross Mule Cr.
at 1784 vs. the East line of survey No. 112.
and N. line of survey No. 40 W. Co. Ry. Co. 1272
vs. North from their South Common Corner
1900 vs. stone monument marked 31 M's
T. G. + C. Co. LINE from which Mt. Margaret
bears N 3° E.

32 Thence East at 1784 vs. cross the East
line of survey No. 40 ^{and} W. line of No. 41 W. Co. R. R. Co.
1272 vs. N. from their South Common corner 1900 vs.
stone monument marked 32 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE
from which Mt. Margaret bears N. 12° 26' N.

33 Thence East at 1784 vs. cross the East line of
said survey No. 41 ^{and} West line of survey No.
54 Paul N. O. R. R. Co. 1272 vs. North from their
South Common Corner 1900 vs. stone monument
marked 33 M's T. G. & C. Co. LINE from which a med.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

1' bears $N 35^{\circ} E 25\frac{1}{2}$ vs. Mt. Margaret $N 26^{\circ} W$. South point
of Hayrick Mt. bears $N 22\frac{1}{4} W$. West point of Round
Mt. $S 46\frac{1}{2} W$.

34

Thence East crossing a branch at 1784 vs
cross East line of said survey No. 54 and West line of
survey No. 55. T and N . O.R.C. Co. 1272 vs. N . from
their South common corner 1900 vs stone monument
on West slope of hill marked 34 M's T.G. & C. Co.
LINE from which a mes. 4 bears $S 51^{\circ} E 37\frac{3}{8}$ vs. a do 7'
bears $N 35\frac{1}{2} W$. $110\frac{4}{5}$ vs. Mt. Margaret bears $N 37\frac{1}{2} W$.

Thence East at 1255 vs. cross road to Ballinger
1315 vs set Stone monument about $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet high
marked on N . side S.E. Cor. C County. Dec. 1st 1891.
and on south side N.E. Cor. T.G. County MILES.
from which a mesquite 10' bears $S 58^{\circ} E 237\frac{3}{4}$ vs
a do 6' bears $N 48\frac{1}{2} E 64\frac{1}{2}$ vs a stone mound the
S.E. Cor. of said Survey No. 55 bears S vs. and
East vs. Spid monument is on the established E . line of Tom
Green County west line of Round Co. 1213 vs. north of T M. Stone.

All monuments are at least 2 feet high and
marked as described. all bearings marked $\frac{1}{2}$ all
fore & aft trees marked $\frac{1}{2}$

All calls for survey lines are given, either from
actual measurements made to their corner, or, where
no corners were found, from calculations made after
having made connections to the nearest practicable
corner, with which such surveys connect.

Surveyed Nov. 24 to Dec. 1st 1891 inclusive.

J. A. Thomas } Chain
S. A. Wade } Carriers

J. Louis L. Farr, Special Surveyor for Tom
Green County, Texas do hereby certify that the
foregoing 9 pages of writing and the attached sketch

1 correctly describe the South line of Coke County and
2 the North line of Tom Green County, Texas, as
3 the same was actually surveyed and marked by
4 J. R. Patterson County Surveyor of Coke County
5 & myself, on the dates above written.

6 San Angelo, Texas Dec. 14th 1891.

7 Louis L. Farr
8 Special Surveyor

9
10 I, J. R. Patterson, County Surveyor of Coke
11 Co. Texas, do hereby certify that I have examined
12 the foregoing field notes and attached sketch and
13 that they are correct.

14 Witness my hand at Robert Lee, Texas
15 this the 5th day of Jan. A.D. 1892

16 J. R. Patterson
17 County Surveyor Coke Co. Texas

18
19 The State of Texas & I E. S. Duggan
20 County of Tom Green & Clerk of the County
21 Clerk of Tom Green County Texas, do
22 hereby certify that the foregoing 9 1/2
23 Pages in writing and the attached sketch
24 is a true and correct copy of the
25 original field notes and sketch of
26 the survey of the South line of Coke
27 County and a portion of the north line
28 of Tom Green County now on file in this
29 office

30
31 Witness my hand and official seal
32 this 14th day of July 1892. E. S. Duggan

Clerk of Court Tom Green Co. Tex

North Line of
Tom Green County
Report of
Louis L. Fass
Special Surveyor

⁷
North boundary line
of Tom Green County
also
S line of Coke Co.

Filed February 17th 1892


Examined adopted and
applied.

Febry 17th 1892

Beaumont

Abstract corrected 4/8/92
Beaumont

photo
H. P. Light
No. 59386



Block to F. No. Boundary Line Tom Green Co.