HUTCHINSON COUNTY ROLLED SKETCH NO. 27

REPORT

AMARILLO, TEXAS. JULY 20" 1920.

coventer 46065

Hon. J.T.Robison,

Austin, Texas.

Dear Sir:-

I have been asked to make a resurvey of some lands in Hutchinson County for Mrs. Belle Wisdom, Mr. J.A.Whittenburg and Mr. Tom Coble. These sections of surveys are as follows, 7, 8 and 9 Block H, 33 to 38 and 45 to 48 Block M 23, 31, 32, 33, 89 and 129 Block Z and 9 to 16 Block 47 H & T C R R Co.

I have surveyed on the ground and connected Spiller's N.W. corner of survey No. 9 Bl'k 47 to the Maddox Monument in survey No. 18 which is given as a bearing from a point in the west line of survey No. 16 900 vrs. North of its S.W. corner in Block 47 H & T C R R Co. I have surveyed lines connecting the S.E. corner of Block X O 2 as resurveyed by Mr. W.D.Twichell to the Maddox Monument in Survey No. 18, the bearing from the West line of survey No. 16 block 47, aslo connected Spiller's N.W. 9 Block 47 to the original S.E. 17 and a stone mound in the east line of survey No. 20 same being 345 vrs. South of its N.E. corner, it being placed for the S.W. corner of survey No. 5 Block H. The above mentioned surveys 17 and 20 are in Block M 25.

I have prepared a tracing of the lands owned by these parties which shows the relative positions of the original corners that control these lands.

Please give me instructions as to how and where to locate these surveys from these original controlling corners.

### AMARILLO, TEXAS.

Counter \$60,06

I am sending you a white print of the Maddox surveys from One to Twenty Block 47 and have compiled on the same map in red ink Spiller's Surveys One to Twenty Block 47 in order to show the difference between Maddox's and Spiller's S.W. corner of survey No. 16 Block 47. I was told by Mr. W.B. Munson several years ago that he was the topographer on the original survey of Block 47 for Maddox and that they surveyed that land then into source sections and after they returned to Austin it was decided that those surveys should have been surveyed a half mile front on the river and extend north far enough to contain 640 acres of land. It seemed much furthe from Austin to Hutchinson County then than it is at present and they would not return to Hutchinso Co. to meander the north bank of the river. At that time it was not very healthy for a white man in Hutchinson Co. as the Surveying party was only one days journey from Dobie Walls on their return to Austin when the Dobie Walls fight occurred. Mr. Munson stated that a draftsman was procurred out of the Land Office to compile the field notes of these surveys from the picture which he had made of the river. You will notice that the rock monument which is called for from the west line of survey No. 16 Block 47 according to Maddox' field notes falls in the river. This rock monument sets up on a bluff about three hundred feet high. Mr. Munson went further into the detail of this survey but it is too long to relate and don't know that it would have much bearing as to the location of these surveys, but it was very interesting to me to know these facts. You will notice on the white map that the position of the monument is about 1 1/4 mi. south and about a half mi. west of where Maddox thought he had placed it.

I am enclosing you two tracings showing different positions of the surveys concended, the larger tracing shows the connections between the original corners controlling these lands and a position in

2

Report out of Hutchinson

#### AMARILLO, TEXAS.

which some of these landshave been placed. The smaller tracing shows the Maddox river surveys in block 47 platted from the position of the Rock Mt. bearing to the west line of 16 and some of the other surveys scaled and platted in from their beginning calls from the Maddox surveys. If there is any information further that I can supply which will assist you in the correct location of these lands will gladly do so.

After you have given me the instructions for this survey will try and get all parties concerned to make this survey on an agreed plan and stop all future trouble and litigation.

On this larger tracing I have apportioned Spiller's excess east and west from the N.W. corner of survey No. 9 to the west line of survey No. 16 and would like to know if that should be done or leave each survey 950 east and west and placing all the excess in survey No. 16.

Please return my tracings as you can gets prints from them if you wish. Would like to come down and go over all this with you but don't feel that I have the time at present.

3

Respectfully,

Howard J. Jigg.

counter 46064

# RECEIVED

DCT 9 1920

AMARILLO, TEXAS, Sept. 30" 1920.

Hon. J.T.Robison,

Austin, Texas.

Referred to Map

## Dear Sir: -

Your letter of the 22" in regard to my resurveying lands in Hutchinson County received.

I fail to find any thing in my notes to justify a distance of 7490.2 from a point in the west line of survey No. 16 west to the West line of survey No. 24 Block 47 H & T C R R Co. so I would advise to disregard that. The total of 8592.6 vrs. is the distance from the Spiller N.W. corber of survey No. 25 east to a point in the west line of survey No.16 block 47. I simply divided the 8592.6 vrs. by 9, the number of surveys. from the west line of 16 to the west line of 25 and arrived at 954.7 for an average width of the surveys. The point in the west line of survey No. 16 Block 47, from which a rock monument bears S. 46 1/2° W. 1900 vrs. and its S.W. corner bears south 900 vrs. is 8592.6 vrs. east 422.2 vrs. south of Spiller's N.W. corner of survey No. 25 Block 47. I identified this pile of rock on the east slpoe of a rocky hill as Spiller's N.W. corner of survey No. 25 Block 47 since the large Cottonwood tree was marked = and the course and distance checked very closely with his course and distance of N.17° E. 148 vrs.From the N.W. corner of survey No. 25 block 47/ Twichell's S.W. corner of Survey No. 1 Block X O 2 bears N. 217.3 vrs. and west 1142.1 vrs.

I ran the connecting line from the S.W. 1 Block X O 2 east over the S.E. corner of same 1 and connected to the bearing corner of 16 Block 47 but did not get the distance to the S.E. corner of survey No. 1 Block X O 2, as this corner is down in a deep canyon and I triangulated over and across to the east side of the canyon to level ground. I am sure though that the south line of survey 1 is 1901 vrs.

4

menter 76068

### AMARILLO, TEXAS.

That country in there is cut by deep canons and is difficult to chain over consequently whenever I could get a level base I would triangulate instead of chaining it out, which is more accurate. Assuming that the South line of survey No. 1 X O 2 is 1901 vrs. then the point in the west line of survey No. 16 Block 47 located from the Rk. Mt. N. 46 1/2° E. 1900 vrs. is 7833.7 vrs. East and 639.5 vrs. S. of Twichell's S.E. corner of survey No. 1 Block X O 2. You asked about the creek south of the N.W. corner of survey No. 25 Block 47 called for by Spiller, but I did not measure down that line any further than the P.S. at the N.E. corner of survey No. 26 Block 47.

I have other connections to original corners North and East of this vacinity but thought I had shown on the maps which I sect you all that would be concerned in this work. However, if there are other connections which you want let me know and I will see if I can supply it from my private records. I notice from Mr. Spiller's field notes of survey No. 1 Block 47 that he identified the Cottonwood tree called for by Maddox in the east line of survey No. 1 Block 47, but he makes mention of no others found and identified till he reaches the Rk. Mt. as bearing to the West line of 16 Block 47.

I would like to call your attention to the fact that the position of the present mouth of Moore's Creek (known by Maddox as, Cold Water) is not for from where it should be if located from the bearing monument of 16 Block 47. There would be something like 300 vrs. excess from the mouth of Moore's creek up to the west line of 16 assuming that the creek continued on running south. The North bank there I believe has washed off considerably as the river is about a mile wide ther at that place. I realize there is a large error in Maddox work but believe it is is between Survey No. 1 and Moore's creek.

If I can be of further service let ke know.

counter \$6069

Yours very truly, Howard I chigg



