

File No. 55

Boundary Line be-
tween the County of
Kern
&
Kern

Filed Nov. 25th 1885

~~16~~

Examined, approved
and applied.


Dec 90

Beaman


See Edwards Co.
for Kern body

Supposed Variation 9 1/2 - 8

K I M B L E



25
J. H.
Gibson



E D W A R D S .

B A N T W O

K E R R

Ken to B
Ken co. Bdy. Line #55

Supposed
Variation
9' 15"

The State of Texas
County of Kent Field Note of a Survey of
the Boundary Line between the Counties
of Ken and Kimble made under the pro-
visions of "An act establishing and present-
ing the manner of ascertaining the bounda-
ries of Counties Approved April 22. AD 1849

Beginning at a oak and the N.W. corner of
Ken County a fld L.O. 10" brs S59 E 81 3/5 vs
a L.O. 5" brs N64 E 90 vs and the S.W. corner
of Smoey N^o 121 Walter Co Schs Land brs
S 1080 vs and W 4068 vs


- 1 Thence E 1900 vs a oak and a L.O. 7" brs S54 E
93 vs a L.O. 3" brs N77 W 89 vs
- 2 Thence E 1900 vs a oak and a L.O. 5" brs N61 E
114 vs a L.O. 5" brs N61 1/2 E 114 vs
- 3 Thence E 1900 vs a oak and a L.O. 5" brs S26 1/2 W
66 1/2 vs a L.O. 9" brs S27 W 111 1/2 vs
- 4 Thence E 1900 vs a oak and a L.O. 5" brs S80 W
25 vs a fld L.O. 16" brs S43 E 110 vs
- 5 Thence E 1900 vs a oak and a L.O. 5" brs N61 E before
Cedar Water Hole brs S50 E about 1900 vs
- 6 Thence E 1900 vs a oak and a L.O. 6" brs S19 W 18 vs
a L.O. 9" brs S83 E 40 vs
- 7 Thence E 1900 vs a oak and a Met. 7" brs S57 W
18 vs a Met. 10" brs N72 W 14
- 8 Thence E at 300 vs Johnsons Job of the Land
at 1900 vs a oak and a Sp.O. 5" brs S70 W 19 vs
a L.O. 6" brs N62 1/2 E 33 1/2 vs

Corr No. Bdry line #55

- 9 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md on N side of road to Snyder's Rancho from Mc Kavott road a L.O. 18" brs N 27 E 60 vs a L.O. 14" brs N 28 1/2 E 65 vs the N corner of Amory No 1037, E L O R R R R 60 brs N 87 1/2 vs and E 96 1/2 vs
- 10 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a L.O. 8" brs S 52 E 64 1/2 a L.O. 6" brs S 27 E 66 vs
- 11 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a L.O. 10" brs N 27 E 187 vs
- 12 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a L.O. 8" brs N 42 1/2 W 72 vs a L.O. 8" brs S 33 W 75 vs
- 13 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a fld L.O. 15" brs S 49 W 62 1/2 vs a L.O. 7" brs S 49 1/2 W 27 vs
- 14 Thence E at 1193 vs a rk md on N.E. side Mc Kavott Road a L.O. 7" brs S 52 E 126 vs a L.O. 4" brs S 77 E 35 vs at 1900 vs a rk md a fld 7" brs N 9 1/4 E 17 1/2 vs a fld 8" brs S 19 1/2 E 58 vs
- 15 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a L.O. 9" brs N 75 1/2 W 166 vs a L.O. 7" brs N 74 1/2 W 166 vs The N cor Sur no 3 27 R R Co Scrip no 220 br N 1207 W x East 579 W.
- 16 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a B.f. 14" brs N 28 1/2 E 10 3/5 vs a P.O. 12" brs S 55 E 25 3/5 vs
- 17 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a L.O. 14" brs S 46 W 70 1/2 vs a L.O. 12" brs S 48 1/2 W 79 1/2 vs
- 18 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a L.O. 18" brs N 67 1/2 E 160 vs a L.O. 12" brs N 68 1/2 E 63 1/2 vs
- 19 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a fld L.O. 10" brs S 67 E 80 vs

- a L.O. 9" brs S 68 1/2 E 75 vs
- 20 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a B.f. 9" brs S 27 E 54 vs a B.f. 7" brs S 45 1/2 E 35 1/2 vs
- 21 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a L.O. 14" brs N 84 E 29 vs a L.O. 10" brs N 82 1/2 E 34 vs
- 22 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a fld Met. brs N 61 W 116 vs An Elm Met brs N 79 W the S.E. cor sur no 1041 27 R R Co. br N 1158 W x East 1340 W.
- 23 The S.E. corner survey No 1041 27 R R Co brs S 1133 vs and W 560 vs
- 24 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a L.O. 7" brs N 52 1/4 W 20 1/3 vs a L.O. 7" brs N 68 1/2 W 19 vs
- 24 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a L.O. 10" brs N 74 E 20 2/3 vs a P.O. 28" brs S 20 1/2 W 70 vs
- 25 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a P.O. 14" brs N 10 E 19 vs a P.O. 18" brs N 22 1/2 E 30 1/4 vs
- 26 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a B.f. 11" brs N 56 E 45 vs a B.f. 7" brs N 58 E 29 vs
- 27 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a L.O. 22" brs E 292 vs
- 28 Thence E 1900 vs a rk md a L.O. 12" brs S 89 1/2 W 192 vs
- 29 Thence E at 662 vs a rk md on N side of road from Johnson's Creek to South River a L.O. 12" brs S 36 E 17 vs a L.O. 12" brs S 68 E 23 vs at 1900 vs a rk md a L.O. 14" brs N 14 E 38 vs a L.O. 18" brs N 9 E 54 1/2 vs Bearings mtd "KK" W E Frank Vickrey survey of Kimble Co

Bearings



and B. B. Richards Surveyor of Kerr Co. do hereby certify that the foregoing survey was made actually in the field according to law that the marks natural and artificial are truly described in the foregoing field notes and that the above described connections to adjoining surveys are correctly made and described

Given under our hands at Junction City Kimble County Texas this 26th day of September 1882

Frank Vickrey
Co. Sur. Kerr Co.

B. B. Richards
Co. Sur. Kerr Co.

Report of Survey
J. W. Kimble Co. City
Lives.

Filed February 15th 1883
A. B. G. Johnson
Co. Clerk Kerr Co.

Recorded in the Minutes
of the County Court
Court of Kerr Co. Texas
J. W. Kimble
2572 to 2575
A. B. G. Johnson
Co. Clerk Kerr Co.

Filed Novemb. 23/85
D. W. Robinson
Chk. Clerk.
See Skel. 12 Kimble Co.



Change (see book No 2
Kerr Co. Real. Comp. No 1.
Jones & Watson Com. No 4

Examined & approved in open
Court this 10th day of July 1888
by J. W. Kimble
Co. Clerk Kerr Co.

Kerr Co. City Line 55