



I. W. T. Hope County Surveyor  
of Kimble County do hereby certify that  
the foregoing sketch correctly represents  
the South line of Menard County together  
with the adjacent surveys as far as  
could be determined by identified  
corners and that the red figures represent  
the distances in varas from line to con-  
nection corners this 29<sup>th</sup> day of July 1896

W. T. Hope  
County Surveyor Kimble Co.

I concur in the above plat  
J. W. Henley

Special Surveyor for  
Menard County

1

Counter 64846

DISTRICT AND COUNTY CLERK

KIMBLE COUNTY.

W. G. BOYLE, CLERK.

245-3

JUNCTION CITY, TEXAS, Aug. 19<sup>th</sup> 1896.

Hon. Andrew J. Baker.

Com. Genl Land Offic.  
Austin.

Dear Sir:

Please find herewith report  
of establishment of the boundary  
line between Menard and Kimble  
Counties, recorded in the minutes  
of our Commissioners Court.

Yours truly,  
W. G. Boyle, clk.

Kimble Co Baby Line 3

9-25-1898

151

Counted 64848

Field notes of the South line of Menard and North line of Kimble County -

Beginning at the large stone 12 x 24 inches  $4\frac{1}{2}$  feet high set on top of a high hill marked Corner of M.K.S & S Counties from which a large rock mkd. S:S. bro. West  $4\frac{1}{2}$  vs. - Do. mkd. N. bro.  $780\frac{1}{4}$  E. 5 vs. - Do. mkd. K. bro.  $880\frac{1}{4}$  E.  $16\frac{1}{2}$  vs. a stone md. mkd. N.E.S. - N.W.K. bro due East 32 vs. - a stone md. mkd. Corner. N. S. S. & K. Counties bro. South  $85\frac{1}{4}$  vs. and west  $81\frac{1}{2}$  vs.

From said corner I. in company with J. D. Hurley appointed by the Commissioners Court of Menard to assist in the work, did on the 4<sup>th</sup> day of June 1896, proceed to run, measure, mark and define by Survey connection and mile monuments the South line of Menard County the same being the North line of Kimble County.

#### 1<sup>st</sup> Mile

Thence East at 1300 vs. cross draw 1900 vs. to st. md. in flat mkd. 1M. from which a L.O. 24" bro.  $77.30\frac{3}{4}$  E.  $12\frac{1}{2}$  vs. - a L.O. 12" bro.  $8.42$  E.  $2\frac{1}{2}$  vs.

#### 2<sup>d</sup> Mile

Thence East at 115 vs. cross draw at 124 vs. cross road. at 800 vs. the cor. of J. D. Whitten Sur. bro. North  $2120\frac{1}{2}$  vs. from said cor. a st. md. made by G. M. Williams made for the cor. of Sur.  $7^{\circ}3$ . R. T. Co. bro. East  $15\frac{3}{4}$  vs. 1900 vs. to st. md. from which a larger rock bro.  $822\frac{3}{4}$  W.  $5\frac{1}{2}$  vs. - a rock bro.  $720$  E.  $12\frac{1}{2}$  vs.

#### 3<sup>d</sup> Mile

Thence East at 560 vs. cross draw 1900 vs. to st. md. mkd. 3 M. from which a rock bro.  $8.83$  E.  $8\frac{3}{5}$  vs. a rock bro.  $764\frac{3}{4}$  E.  $2\frac{1}{2}$  vs.

#### 4<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 580 vs. cross draw at 1582  
vs. cross draw <sup>road</sup> at 1630 vs. cross draw 1900 vs.  
to st. md. mkd 4 M. from which a L.O. 18" bro \$89 $\frac{3}{4}$  ft.  
249 $\frac{3}{5}$  vs. a L.O. 16" bro N 89 $\frac{3}{4}$  E 249 $\frac{3}{5}$  vs.

#### 5<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 871 vs. cross wire fence 6036 vs.  
North of N. E. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 30, G.H. & S.A. Ry. Co. said cor. made  
by G. M. Williams 1900 vs. to st. md. mkd. 5 M. from which  
a L.O. 8" bro \$54 $\frac{1}{2}$  E. 86 vs. - a mesq. 3" bro. N. 87 $\frac{1}{2}$  E. 48 $\frac{1}{2}$   
vs.

#### 6<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 1450 the top of divide between San  
Saba and Llano rivers. 1900 vs. to st. md. mkd. 6 M.  
from which a L.O. 6" bro. \$38 $\frac{1}{4}$  W. 38 $\frac{3}{5}$  vs. - a L.O. 4" bro.  
N 87 $\frac{3}{4}$  W. 40 $\frac{3}{5}$  vs.

#### 7<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 490 and 705 vs. cross draws of Bear  
Creek at 1747 vs. cross wire fence. 1900 vs. to st. md.  
mkd. 7 M. on E. side of draw from which a L.O. 8" bro.  
N 14 $\frac{1}{2}$  W. 11 $\frac{3}{5}$  vs. - a L.O. 12" bro. \$68 W. 70 vs.

#### 8<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 552 vs. a L.O. 20" on E. side of draw  
mkd. N on North side and K. on South side. at 770 vs.  
cross road, at 1420 vs. cross draw. 1900 vs. to st. md. mkd.  
8 M. from which a L.O. 5" bro \$56 W. 9 $\frac{1}{2}$  vs. - a L.O. 5" bro.  
N 40 $\frac{1}{2}$  W. 13 $\frac{3}{5}$  vs.

#### 9<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 360 vs. cross draw. 1900 vs. to st. md.  
mkd. 9 M. from which a L.O. 5" bro. \$7 $\frac{1}{4}$  W. 54 $\frac{2}{3}$  vs. - a  
L.O. 7" bro. N. 32 $\frac{3}{4}$  W. 58 vs.

10<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 1600 vs. cross draw 1900 vs. to st. md. mkd. 10 M. from which a L.O. 12" bro. S 31 $\frac{1}{2}$  E. 15 $\frac{1}{2}$  vs. - a rock bro. N 31 $\frac{1}{2}$  W. 9 $\frac{1}{2}$  vs.

11<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 140 vs. cross draw at 1050 and 1745 vs. cross draws 1900 vs. to st. md. mkd. 11 M. from which a L.O. 20" bro. S 38 $\frac{1}{4}$  W. 19 $\frac{1}{2}$  vs. - a rock bro. N 80 $\frac{1}{2}$  E. 17 vs.

12<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 554 vs. cross wire fence 1900 vs. to st. md. mkd. 12 M. from which a rock bro. S 76 $\frac{1}{4}$  W. 3 $\frac{1}{2}$  vs. a rock bro. N 80 $\frac{1}{2}$  W. 4 $\frac{1}{2}$  vs.

13<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 387 vs. cross road at 636 $\frac{3}{5}$  vs. the S.W. cor. of N<sup>o</sup> 8 - a. n. Patent bro. S 10' E. 1496 vs. and from said S.W. cor. the S.E. cor. of same Sur. bro. East 1726 vs. at 1150 vs. cross draw 1900 vs. to st. md. mkd. 13 M. from which a L.O. 6" bro. S 18 W. 2 $\frac{3}{4}$  vs. - a L.O. 12" bro. N 35 $\frac{3}{4}$  E. 55 $\frac{1}{2}$  vs.

14<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 1326 $\frac{3}{8}$  vs. cross wire fence 478 vs. South of N. W. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 31. - Mary Tulliver at 1780 vs. cross draw 1900 vs. to st. md. mkd. 14 M. from which a L.O. 20" bro. N 8 E. 60 vs. - a L.O. 20" bro. S 7 E. 59 $\frac{4}{5}$  vs.

15<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 282 vs. the N.E. cor. of N<sup>o</sup> 32. bro. North 48 $\frac{1}{3}$  vs. 1900 vs. to st. md. mkd. 15 M. from which a L.O. 18" bro. S 62 $\frac{3}{4}$  E. 14 $\frac{1}{2}$  vs. - a L.O. 18" bro. N. 56 $\frac{1}{2}$  E. 80 vs.

16<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 355 vs. cross Menardville and Junction City road on E. side of draw, at 523 vs. the N.E. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 7. bro. 413. bro. South 620 vs. 1900 vs. to st. md. mkd. 16 M. from which a L.O. 15" bro. N 57 $\frac{1}{4}$  E. 48 $\frac{4}{5}$  vs. a L.O. 10" bro. S 38 $\frac{3}{4}$  E. 67 $\frac{2}{5}$  vs.

17<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at  $59\frac{1}{3}$  vs. the N.E. cor.  $W^{\circ}14$ -B. & B. bro. South  $608\frac{2}{3}$  as. at 880 and 1284 as. cross draws. 1900 vs. to st. mld. mld. 17 M. from which a L.O. 7" bro.  $N60\frac{3}{4}E$ .  $28\frac{2}{5}$  vs. - a L.O. 7" bro.  $S82E$ .  $12\frac{1}{5}$  vs.

18<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at  $615\frac{3}{4}$  vs. the N.E. cor.  $N^{\circ}12$ . bro. South 600 vs. at 1186 vs. cross draw. at 1600 vs. the N.W. cor.  $N^{\circ}2$ . B. Merchant bro.  $n.71W$ .  $137\frac{3}{4}$  vs. 1900 vs. to st. mld. mld. 18 M. from which a L.O. 6" mld. M. bro.  $N89E$ .  $83\frac{1}{2}$  vs. - a L.O. 5" mld K bro.  $N89E$ . 84 vs.

19<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 700 vs. ran South 1080 vs. and  $859\frac{1}{2}$  w. 241 vs. to a st. mld. from which a L.O. 12" bro.  $S47\frac{1}{3}W$ . 16 vs. at 1860 vs. cross draw of Saline Creek 1900 vs. to st. mld. mld. 19 M. from which a L.O. 7" bro.  $N4E$ . 28 vs. a L.O. 6" bro.  $S79E$ .  $32\frac{1}{2}$  vs.

20<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 1320 vs. cross Saline Creek 1900 vs. to st. mld. mld. 20 M. from which a L.O. 5" bro.  $N57\frac{3}{4}W$ .  $29\frac{2}{5}$  vs. a L.O. 6" bro.  $N55\frac{1}{2}W$ . 29 vs.

21<sup>st</sup> Mile

Thence East at 1188 vs. cross dry creek 1900 vs. to st. mld. mld. 21 M. from which a L.O. 6" bro.  $N46\frac{1}{2}W$ .  $19\frac{2}{5}$  vs. a L.O. 12" bro.  $S61\frac{1}{2}E$ . 61 vs.

22<sup>d</sup> Mile

Thence East at 400 vs. cross draw 1900 vs. to st. mld. mld. 22 M. from which a L.O. 5" bro.  $N10\frac{1}{2}E$ . 66 vs. a L.O. 5" bro.  $S30\frac{1}{2}W$ .  $29\frac{1}{5}$  vs.

### 23<sup>d</sup> Mile

Thence East at 180 and 1000 vs. cross draws 1900  
vs. to st. md. mkt 23 M. from which a L.O. 18" bros.  
 $N 18^{\circ} 17\frac{3}{5}$  vs. - a L.O. 6" bros.  $S 69\frac{4}{5} E.$   $38\frac{1}{2}$  vs.

### 24<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 1562 vs. cross draw 1900 vs. to st.  
md. mkt. 24 M. from which a L.O. <sup>mkt</sup> 10" bros.  $S 24\frac{3}{4} E.$   $25\frac{2}{5}$   
vs. a L.O. <sup>md. M.</sup> 6" bros.  $S 1\frac{1}{2} E.$  50 vs.

### 25<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 460 vs. the N.W. cor. Sur. N<sup>o</sup> 54 - Geo.  
Walton bros. South 2027 vs. at 1254 vs. cross Cum-  
miss prong of Saline Creek 1900 vs. to st. md. mkt  
25 M. from which a L.O. 12" bros.  $N 2\frac{1}{2} E.$   $43\frac{3}{5}$  vs. - a  
L.O. 12" bros.  $S. 4\frac{1}{2} W.$  50 vs.

### 26<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 485 vs. the N.W. cor. of F.A. Weaver  
Preemption bro South 395 vs. at 1276 $\frac{3}{5}$  vs. cross wire  
fence at 1289 vs. the N.E. cor. of the Weaver Preemption  
bro. South 400 $\frac{1}{2}$  vs. and from it a st. md. bro North  
50 vs. 1900 vs. to st. md. mkt. 26 M. from which a  
L.O. 8" bros.  $S 62\frac{1}{2} W.$  32 vs. a rock bro.  $N. 83\frac{3}{4} E.$   $3\frac{1}{2}$  vs.

### 27<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 340 vs. ran South 360 vs. to a wire  
fence for N.E. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 224, not found. at 1348 vs. the  
N.E. cor. of N<sup>o</sup> 225 established by Vickroy bros. South 375  
vs. at 1860 vs. cross Corn Hollow 1900 vs. to st. md. mkt.  
27 M. from which a rock bro.  $N. 73\frac{1}{2} E.$   $6\frac{1}{2}$  vs. - R.A. Barnows  
Kyo House bro.  $N. 69\frac{1}{2} W.$

### 28<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 1200 vs. cross draw at 1372 $\frac{1}{2}$  vs. a st. md.  
bro. South 461 $\frac{1}{2}$  vs. 1900 vs. to st. md. mkt. 28 M. from which  
a L.O. 18" bros.  $S 68\frac{1}{2} E.$   $25\frac{4}{5}$  vs. - a L.O. 6" bros.  $N 48\frac{1}{4} W.$   $15\frac{3}{5}$  vs.

29<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 379 vs. the N.E. cor. N° 208-C. Krause  
brs. South 363 vs. at 700 vs. cross draw. at 1342 vs. the  
N.E. cor. N° 207 and S.W. cor. of N° 198 bro. South 373 vs.  
1900 vs. to st. and mtd. 29 M. from which a L.O. 6" brs.  
N. 76 1/4 E. 18 1/5 vs. a L.O. 6" brs. S 71 1/2 E. 31 1/2 vs.

30<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 400 vs. ran South 385 vs. to wire fence  
cor. not found at 1451 vs. the N.E. cor. N° 197, J. Rohmudder  
brs. North 1526 vs. 1900 vs. to st. and mtd. 30 M. from  
which a rock brs. N. 5 E. 7 2/5 vs. - a rock brs. S 1 1/2 W. 11 3/5 vs.

31<sup>st</sup> Mile

Thence East at 542 vs. ran South 435 vs. to Barnowsky's  
wire fence cor. not found. at 625 vs. cross Frog  
Spring Branch at 1504 vs. a wire fence from this  
point ran with wire fence N. 8° E. 375 vs. - N 3 1/2 W.  
1152 vs. to N.W. cor. N° 174- H. Neibuhler 1900 vs. to  
st. and mtd. 31 M. on head of draw from which a  
L.O. 5" brs. S 87 E. 15 vs. a L.O. 12" brs. N 20 E. 35 1/2 vs.

32<sup>d</sup> Mile

Thence East at 1407 vs. the S.E. cor. N° 173. brs. South  
422 vs. 1900 vs. to st. and mtd. 32 M. from which  
a S.L.O. 5" brs. N 35 1/2 E. 13 3/5 vs. a L.O. 15" brs. S 33 1/2 W. 57 vs.

33<sup>d</sup> Mile

Thence East at 1100 vs. W. B. Adams' House bro.  
South 45 1/2 vs. at 1500 vs. cross London and Menard-  
ville road at 1572 vs. the N.E. cor. N° 2. brs. North 535  
vs. at 1586 vs. the N.W. cor. H. Volk. Sur. brs. North  
1491 vs. 1900 vs. to point in Mart Nelson's field  
for 33 miles from which a L.O. 16" mtd C. L. bro. N 89 1/4  
E. 589 vs.

### 34<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 569 vs. the S.E. cor. of the Volk Sur. bros S. 3½ W. 459 vs. at 1000 vs. cross Little Saline Creek at 1531 vs. the S.E. cor. of A. Buckel Sur. bros. S 2½ W. 466 ¾ vs. at 1616 vs. the N.E. cor. of same bros North 1474 vs. 1900 vs. to st. ant. in H.C. Jackson's field mld 34 M. from which a P.O. 14" bros. S 37 ¾ W. 96 vs. a P.O. 10" bros East 560 vs. mld C.L. & M. on North and K on South side.

### 35<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 620 vs. cross Mason and Junction City road at 1532 vs. the S.W. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 118. bros North 486 vs. at 1553 vs. the S.W. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 128 - C.L. Co. bros S ½ W. 487 vs. 1900 vs. to meag. Post. mld 35 M. from which a P.O. 12" bros. N 26 ½ W. 16 ¾ vs. - a P.O. 8" bros. S 78 ½ W. 19 vs.

### 36<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 569 vs. the S.W. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 117. bro. North 471 vs. at 1359 vs. the S.E. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 115 bros. South 528 at 1579 vs. the N.W. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 29. bros. North 1416 vs. 1900 vs. to meag. Post. mld. 36 M. from which a P.O. 16" bros. S. 60 ½ E. 13 ½ vs. a P.O. 16" bros. N 62 ½ W. 8 vs.

### 37<sup>th</sup> Mile

Thence East at 415 ½ vs. the S.E. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 29. bros. <sup>south</sup> 536 vs. at 633 vs. the N.E. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 29. bro North 1408.5 at 1374 vs. the S.E. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 30. bros. South 542 vs. ~~at 1579 vs.~~ the N.E. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 30. bros. North 1399 vs. 1900 vs. to st. mld. mld. 37 M. from which a L.O. 14" bro. S. 59 E. 39 ½ vs. a L.O. 10" bro. N. 54 ¾ W. 53 ½ vs.

Thence East 782 vs. to st. mld. on West line of Mason County made for the N.E. cor. of Kimble County and S.E. cor. of Menard County mld. N.E. of K & S.E. of M<sup>d</sup>.

mkd. N.

from which a L.O. 14" fro. S 50 E.  $1\frac{3}{4}$  vs. a L.O. 14"  
 mkd N. fro. S 65 W. 2 vs. a P.O. 14" mkd. N. fro. N 27 $\frac{1}{2}$   
 W.  $112\frac{3}{4}$  vs. the S. E. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 84 fro. South 538 vs.  
 and West 348 vs and the N. E. cor. N<sup>o</sup> 84 fro.  
 North 1406 and West 362 vs.

L. H. Maddux } Chain  
 R. D. Hurley } Carriers  
 Bearings mkd N. north and K. South of line. Line trees mkd  $\odot$

J. W. T. Wolfe County Surveyor of Kimble County  
 Texas do hereby certify that the foregoing field  
 notes are correct and that the South line of  
 Menard County is truly represented by the same  
 and the accompanying plat. this 29<sup>th</sup> day  
 of July 1896

W. T. Wolfe

County Surveyor of Kimble County Texas  
 I concur in the foregoing report and field notes

J. D. Hurley  
 Special Surveyor for  
 Menard County

989.

Filed this August  
 2<sup>nd</sup> 1896  
 W. G. Boyle  
 C. Clerk  
 Kimble Co.  
 Texas

1 The State of Texas  
2

3 County of Kimble } This is to certify that the  
4 foregoing report of the survey and establish-  
5 ment of the boundary line between Menard  
6 and Kimble Counties, was approved by the  
7 Commissioners Court of Kimble County, on the  
8 10<sup>th</sup> day of August 1896, and that said report  
9 is recorded in the minutes of said Court, in  
Vol. N° 1, on pages 512 to 516, inclusive.

10 Witness my hand and the seal of said  
11 Court, this 18<sup>th</sup> day of August, 1896.

12 W. G. Boyle, County Clerk  
13 Kimble Co. Texas

Kimble Co.

Fieldnotes of the Northline of  
Kimble County filed in the Gen.  
Land Office August 20<sup>th</sup> 1896.

Line being surveyed by order of  
the County Commissioners Board.

E. M. Parker

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----