Brewster Co. Rolled Sketch No. 113 (Flat Folder)

Correspondence Relative to Blocks G.4, T.J.9. & T.& St. L.Ry. Co.

& Connections

1. arney Jr. Office Sketches & Plats See also Sk. in

11482 Rolled Sketches 96, 43, 65.



COUNTY OFFICERS FELIX P. McGAUGHY **County Judge** SARA PUGH County and **District Clerk** JIM SKINNER Sheriff V. G. HEIL Tax Assessor-Collector AVORA OWENS **County Treasurer** BRUCE C. SUTTON County Attorney J. T. CARNEY JR. Surveyor

COUNTY OF BREWSTER

Alpine, Texas

V

D- 192

RECEIVED

JUN 17 1964

General Land Office

COMMISSIONERS

J. C. COOLEY Precinct No. 1

ROBERT VALADEZ Precinct No. 2

TOM YARBRO Precinct No. 3

REX IVEY JR. Precinct No. 4

J. P. PARKER J. P. Precinct No. 1

15 June 1964

, JERRY SADLER, COMMISSIONER GENERAL LAND OFFICE AUSTIN, TEXAS

See SF.11482 And Brewster Co. Rolled St. 96

Dear Mr. Sadler:

Enclosed herewith are three interpretations of construction of Sections and Blocks in Brewster County, Texas. HARMON-1916, STOVELL-1940 and GARD-1951. It is evident that at least two of the surveyors are wrong, and it would be no great surprise to me if all are wrong.

I have been employed by Mr. David A. Witts, Attorney, 1000 Vaughn Building, Dallas, Texas, to make surveys necessary to determine the actual situation. Mr. Witts is the owner of the former M. Minchen and W.M. Olliver Ranches.

There is an additional tracing of work which I have done in Block G-4, HE & WT Ry Co, which will give you an idea of some of the inaccuracies to be found in the work of Mr. R.S. Dod. So much of this information as pertains to NW168-NE169, Block G-4 was submitted in October 1956 (Received Oct. 29, 1956, General Land Office) and returned to me without comment. On 4 November 1963, I wrote the Commissioner concerning Mining Claims-Solomon 1-4,(incl.) pointing out conflict in corners which might affect these claims. Although I requested certain information, I received no reply.

I believe that Mr. Otha Draper, Licensed State Land Surveyor of Odessa, can verify the discrepancy in corners. Mr. Draper made extensive surveys and sent me a 2 or 3 page report on his findings in exchange for information which I furnished him. He elected to use a mound evidently set by Mr. Gard for the SW corner of Section 128. As far as I am concerned he is absolutely correct. Dod's corners are on the ground and identifiable by bearings. RIGHT OR WRONG.

Since we are bound by Dod's re-survey of Block G-4 and various connecting lines I would like to go on record as having pointed out certain known discrepances which may some day cause an untold amount of grief.

There are two reasons for my persistence in being heard;; l. I am endeavoring to make an honest living in the surveying profession and I need the assistance which only your office can give; 2. I feel that it is my duty as County Surveyor to advise you of all apparent discrepancies which appear to exist.

purs, very truly, 1. Came J.T. Carney, Jr. County Surveyor, Brewster County

Box 641, Alpine, Texas

counter 93/10

June 30, 1964

Mr. J. T. Carney County Surveyor, Brewster County Box 641 Alpine, Texas

Dear Mr. Carney:

Since receipt of your letters and enclosures in regard to the problems in the G-4 and T&St.L Blocks, Brewster County, we have done considerable research in an effort to find any information that would be of value to you.

In connection with your letters and enclosures, for the present, we are placing these in a tentative file which will later be made a part of the Brewster County Sketch files. Copies of your instruments have been made so the originals can be returned to you.

In comparing the connecting lines by Stovell and Gard to corners in Block 9 and G-4 we have concluded that Gard is more nearly correct for the reason that he ties to Dod's SW corner, Block G-4 and the SE corners of Sections 54, 51 and 34 in Block 9, and then made a connection line with the original Thompson "L" corner in Block 240.

The survey by Stovell, as reflected in Rolled Sketch 65, can not be related to Block G-4 and Block 9. We have platted in the patented surveys on your tracing which you refer to as enclosure No. 2. By holding Gard and Stovell to the Spiller NE corner of Section 55, Block 9, which they both identify, we find quite a discrepancy in latitudes between the two surveyors. Gard's lines are colored in blue, Stovell in orange and Harmon in red. According to these patented field notes Gard and Harmon appear to be 0.K.

Stovell's patent for Section 24, Block 335, is in conflict with Thompson's Section 25, if that Section is located on Gard's connections.

We find no field notes on file for the area colored in yellow with the question mark, lying North of Section 92, Block 9.

Section 27, Block 335, has not been patented and corrected field notes are required before this can be accomplished. The Gard position for this Section looks O.K. except for a conflict with Stovell's patented Section 24 which is to be recognized.

Dod in 1921 wrote the Land Commissioner a letter concerning the

Page 2 J. T. Carney June 30, 1964

excess in Block 335. In this letter he describes the "L" corner which possibly can be located today. A copy of this letter, known as Sketch File S-1, is being made for you and charged to your account. Trust this meets your approval.

We have made a tracing from Gard's survey of Block 335 and parts of Block 240, and made a rough comparison with Stovell's work in the area. Gard and Stovell's departures between the "L" corner in Block 240 and the East line of T&St.L Ry. Co. Block 221 are fairly close; however, we can't explain his big error in latitudes. There is one conclusion we think can be drawn from this comparison, and that is: that Block 335 is excessive East and West.

In a further effort to check the relation between the G-4, Block 9 and Thompson's Blocks, a tracing has been made of a part of Dod's Rolled Sketch 43 and an overlay sketch compiled from Dod, Harmon's and Gard's surveys which tie to the "L" corner and SE corner of Section 34, Block 9. These sketches will reveal the same gap or excess between T&St.L Ry. Co. Block 221 and GC&SF Ry. Block 335, and also further indicate that Gard is correct in his construction of Blocks 240 and 335.

You are correct in holding to Dod's corners in Block G-4 and it might well be that the corners on the ground will give you quite a different picture than that revealed by the plats. If we can somehow confirm Gard's ties to the Spiller corners in Block 9 with Dod's corner for Section 54, Block G-4, and Thompson's "L" corner in Block 240, we would know what to do with Blocks 240 and 335.

Hope you find something of value in the enclosures.

Sincerely yours,

JERRY SADLER, COMMISSIONER

JS/ves

Enclosures

counter 13/12

D253

COUNTY OFFICERS FELIX P. McGAUGHY County Judge SARA PUGH County and District Clerk JIM SKINNER Sheriff V. G. HEIL Tax Assessor-Collector AVORA OWENS County Treasurer BRUCE C. SUTTON County Attorney

J. T. CARNEY JR. Surveyor COUNTY OF BREWSTER

Alpine, Texas

J. C. COOLEY Precinct No. 1

COMMISSIONERS

ROBERT VALADEZ Precinct No. 2

TOM YARBRO Precinct No. 3

REX IVEY JR. Precinct No. 4

J. P. PARKER J. P. Precinct No. 1

19 July 1964

Mr. V.E. Sterzing General Land Office Austin, Texas

Dear Mr. Sterzing:

I am all packed to get back to ties on Blocks G-4, 335, 241 and Block 9. Will leave Alpine early tomorrow and hope to stay on this SNAFU until I am able to solve the mystery or further confuse the issue.

The attached sketch, if you find time to examine it, may be worth considering at such time as I get my final ties made.

The NE 169-NW 168, Block G-4 has been the focal point in an expensive lawsuit in the past few years. Both Gard(employed by Maurice Minchen) and Thee (employed by the opposition) found and identified the Rk. Md. circled red. Thee pointed out this corner to me in 1954. The bearings as recorded by Mr. Dod were off some $0^{\circ}27'$ with those given me by Thee. I had a difference of $0^{\circ}29'$

The Federal District Court in El Paso, appointed me, in 1956, to make a complete survey of the surrounding area for the purpose of determining the exact location of a dam and lake constructed by M. Minchen, and claimed by others.

At this time I began my survey at NE 238-NW 207 (Dod corner identified by recorded bearings), as had both Thee and Gard in 1951 or 1952. We arrived at the same corner NE 169, with little difference in lat. and dep.

All my work in connection with this law-suit was passed on to GLO. See files D 749 and D 563. Also forwarded to GLO was a work sheet of Gard's traverse notes NE-238 to NE-169. I was unable to get a copy of Thee's work, but had occasion to study same in an attorney's office in El Paso, and found no appreciable difference in the findings of the three of use (It may be that in Mr. Thee's files, which I understand were donated to THE COMMISSIONER, you may find a plat labeled "AMENT vs. MINCHEN". Thee would not discuss the issue with me, except to beally-ache about a \$50 bonus Mrs. Ament promised and did not remit.

Thanks for your past cooperation. The overlay of conflicts in surveys by other surveyors emphasizes the difficulty in finding a correct solution.

> Yours very truly, Jon Carney, J.T. Carney, Jr.

JUL 21 1964

General Land Office

counter 93113

...... COMMISSIONERS

> L.C. COOLEY Precinct No. 1

ROBERT VALADEZ Precinct No. 2

TOM YARBRO Precinci No. 3

REX IVEY JR. Precinci No. 4

L. P. PARKER J. P. Provinci No. 1

COUNTY OF BREWSTER

D-354

Alpine, Texas 1 September 1964 COUNTY OFFICERS FELIX P. McGAUGHY County Judge SARA PUGH County and District Clark JIM SKINHER Sherlff V. G. HEIL Tox Assesso Collector AVORA OWENS County Treasurer BRUCE C. SUTTON County Attorney J. T. CARNEY JR. SUTYOYOF

To:

Re:

From: Machine Section (Mail)

TRAVERSES

2-352

yy me on 1.00 T

f certain non-existi and the and G-11.

antifiable bebnetxe e of his -nevreta following ting succeedseldineluna established

have been! line of the ate and miles his subject s office.' The attached letter is to be handled as indicated below by a check mark.

Plup

Referred to you by Mr. Sadler.

Talk to Mr. Sadler regarding the attached.

Reply for Mr. Sadler's signature. Give reply letter to his secretary for signature.

Reply for Mr. Sadler's signature. Talk to him before writing the letter. Give letter

to his secretary for signature.

JERRY SADLER, COMM GEMERAL LAND OFFIC AUSTIN, TEXAS

Dear Mr. Sadler:

The accompat the ground, accord 335, GC & SF Ry C COUNTY, TEXAS.

This survey included areas, 4 ence of overage conflicting, over

The indivi on the ground fr due North from corners found ar ing terrain it : the line of lea ing corners at of this nature corners off a r

As for MI You know that subject and fil from the subjewas in the 'Original and other Tield now

Harmon ---- I do not recognize except where specifically Dod and Harmon' which merely implies that Dod was THE Surveyor and Harmon tagged along as County Surveyor. learning the business.

It appears that my work compares favorably with Mr. Gard's findings in most instances. Mr. Gard was prone to make things "FIT", fudge a little here and there, 'give and take' to keep everybody happy.

Request that you check my work on the accompanying sketch and assist in arriving at the most logical solution of the areas in conflict.

Brewster @. Rld. Sp. 1132 . A blood on 1000 Waughn Bldg.

WJ.T. Carney, Jr.

an 134 7345

Dallas, Texas counter \$3114

0-356

Attn: V.E. STERZING

COMMISSIONERS

J. C. COOLEY Precinct No. 1

ROBERT VALADEZ Precinct No. 2

TOM YARBRO Precinct No. 3

REX IVEY JR. Precinct No. 4

J. P. PARKER J. P. Precinct No. 1 Chief

REPORT OF SURVEYS AND TRAVERSES.

1964

JERRY SADLER, COMMISSIONER GENERAL LAND OFFICE AUSTIN, TEXAS RECEIVED

COUNTY OF BREWSTER

Alpine, Texas

1 September 1964

Dear Mr. Sadler:

COUNTY OFFICERS

FELIX P. McGAUGHY County Judge

> County and District Clerk

Tax Assessor-

Collector AVORA OWENS County Treasurer

BRUCE C. SUTTON

Surveyor

County Attorney J. T. CARNEY JR.

SARA PUGH

JIM SKINNER

Sheriff V. G. HEIL

General Land Office

SEP 3

The accompanying sketch represents surveys and traverses made by me on the ground, according to law, in BLOCKS 221, 240 and 241, T & St L Ry Co.; 335, GC & SF Ry Co; G-4, D & W Ry Co; and 9, H & TC Ry Co, all in BREWSTER COUNTY, TEXAS.

This survey was made by request of MR. DAVID A. WITTS, OWNER of certain included areas, and for the purpose of determining the existence or non-existence of overages or shortages in acreage particularly in Blocks 335 and the conflicting, overlapping and garbled area lying between Blocks 221 and G-11.

The <u>individual</u> R.S. Dod corners as shown on the sketch are identifiable on the ground from description-location and bearing, however a line extended due North from Dod's 'NE c 7, 335' bears consistently to the West of his corners found and identified to the North. Due to the nature of the intervening terrain it is apparent that Dod followed his regular system of <u>following</u> the line of least resistance (following flats and valleys) and setting succeeding corners at calculated points off his traverse line. Other irregularities of this nature have been found in the rough country, and where Dod established corners off a road traverse. ****Rapid field calculations.

As for Mr. Stovell---'he just did not go where he claimed to have been! You know that landscape artists are capable of sketching in an outline of the subject and filling in the detail, rather accurately at a later date and miles from the subject---that was the Stovell system, but in many cases his subject was in the 'Original and other field note records available in his office.'

Harmon----I do not recognize except where specifically'Dod and Harmon' which merely implies that Dod was THE Surveyor and Harmon tagged along as County Surveyor, learning the business.

It appears that my work compares favorably with Mr. Gard's findings in most instances. Mr. Gard was prone to make things "FIT", fudge a little here and there, 'give and take' to keep everybody happy.

Request that you check my work on the accompanying sketch and assist in arriving at the most logical solution of the areas in conflict.

cc David A. Witts 1000 Vaughn Bldg. Dallas, Texas

\$3114

crinter 73115

September 10, 1964

Mr. J. T. Carney, Jr. Alpine Texas

Dear Mr. Carney:

Receipt is acknowledged of your letter of September 1, 1964 (with sketches enclosed) concerning the problems in connection with the T&St.L. Ry. Co., GC&SF Ry. Co. D&W Ry. Co. surveys in Brewster County, Texas.

Just as soon as expedient we will give this matter our full attention and carefully explore possible solutions.

For some reason there appears to be an unusual influx of work for this time of year; however, an answer should be forthcoming this next week.

Sincerely yours,

JERRY SADLER, COMMISSIONER

'JS/ves

counter 73/16

COUNTY OFFICERS FELIX P. McGAUGHY **County Judge** SARA PUGH County and **District Clerk** JIM SKINNER Sheriff V. G. HEIL Tax Assessor-Collector AVORA OWENS **County Treasurer** BRUCE C. SUTTON **County Attorney** J. T. CARNEY JR. Surveyor

COUNTY OF BREWSTER

Alpine, Texas

RECEIVED

D-205

JUN 23 1964

General Land Office

COMMISSIONERS

J. C. COOLEY Precinct No. 1

ROBERT VALADEZ Precinct No. 2

TOM YARBRO Precinct No. 3

REX IVEY JR. Precinct No. 4

21 June 1964

J. P. PARKER J. P. Precinct No. 1

counter 73117

JERRY SADLER, COMMISSIONER GENERAL LAND OFFICE AUSTIN, TEXAS

Attention: V.E. Sterzing

Dear Sir:

Thanks for your letter of June 18, and enclosures, Dod's Letters. I had hoped that Mr. Dod pointed out some reason for discrepancies in plats and calculation sheet on his traverse.

I thought it might be well to bring discrepancies to your attention since I fully intend to submit my finished ranch map for your information and consideration. With the modern methods of calculation available in your office it would require very few minutes to check the various data by latitude and departure and determine which of the three solutions, or combination of the three, would most nearly agree with my findings.

There is no argument with Mr. Dod on the N-S width of the Adobe Walls strip, Block TJ, (551 varas) <u>until</u> we give <u>Block 217</u> it's full N-S value of 11,400 varas. Ref. The contested 'good old rk. md. under fence M'k'd NW 2 JD.' which is in fact 11,324.8 varas South of NW2-217, ref. N-70vs. and E.-6 vs. from BM 3301. (Here I. established a corner South 75.2 vs. and East 45.7 vs. against Mr. Poole's SW some 131 varas.) NW2-248

I maintain that we may disregard any field notes and/or reports of Mr. H.R. Gardin connection with his survey of SF 15439. His field book indicates that he had transit station at 11,204 vs. South of NW 2-217 and a note::'at 11,205 'RM on fence line at"11, 205 lvs. East, fence runs'N.88°52'E." (my brg. for this fence is N.88°59'E.) RK. Md. is NW 2 JD.or for many years accepted NW 2-248.

Mr. Dod <u>reports no Thompson corners</u> between NW 3, Blk. 213 and NE 207, Blk. G-4 and I have no doubt that he made an honest effort. Mr. Stovell found <u>ORIG'S</u> all over the place, and so marked them. Mr. Poole found old mounds and rotted mesquite stakes with '<u>Newer</u> cap rocks mkd!, following Stovell's footsteps??? In the event that Mr. Burkhart is basing his objections upon the Stovell excesses I am sure that I can convince him that Mr. Stovell' field notes and plats of 02 **R**anch do not reflect the actual findings on the ground. 99 per cent are <u>not</u> honest errors. It is difficult to understand why Stovell's S. line of Block 213 was accept ed when he found mkd mds. NW5 and NW6 (T&SL Blk. 216) and why, with no apparent supporting evidence, his West line of Block 214 was adopted.

Thanks again for your assistance. 0.1 . Carney

$\frac{7}{2491.0}$	GRANTE	е	MINCHEN	SUR.	NO.	NCHEN VACA BLK.	NCY	COUNTY	STAT	YE	20.9
Sort Active 38000 26 R. Active W AS 7 38000 26 R. Active South S.S.1.0 551.0 551.0 South A. 443.0 443.0 644.3.0 South A. 443.0 443.0 644.3.0 South A. 443.0 10.78.3 4.58 S. 3*02.0 10.800 91.9 1.372.2 10.78.3 S. 3*02.0 10.800 91.9 1.372.2 10.78.3 S. 3*02.0 10.99.0 1.99.19 1.372.2 S. 3*02.0 10.99.0 1.00.000000000000000000000000000000000	SURVEY	ED BY G	ARD		DATE APR	1211, 1952 C	ALCULATED	BY BOOK - Ray	DAT	E Chill an	ald Come line
Sort Active 38000 26 R. Active W AS 7 38000 26 R. Active South S.S.1.0 551.0 551.0 South A. 443.0 443.0 644.3.0 South A. 443.0 443.0 644.3.0 South A. 443.0 10.78.3 4.58 S. 3*02.0 10.800 91.9 1.372.2 10.78.3 S. 3*02.0 10.800 91.9 1.372.2 10.78.3 S. 3*02.0 10.99.0 1.99.19 1.372.2 S. 3*02.0 10.99.0 1.00.000000000000000000000000000000000	STECK, AUSTIN BEGI	al R.M.	on WEST	SIGE O	ritudes	420V DEPAR	TURES	, on West slope o	+ n///, 01	Dig fence i mo	
X 38000 20 Rest South 551.0 38000 20 Rest South 551.0 8M or 551.0 South 443.0 100.500.0 South 100.500.0 100.19 South 100.200.0 100.19 South 100.200.0 100.200.0 South 100.200.0	h			SINE	N	S	E	w	D. M. D. N.	D. A.	S. D. A.
South 551.0 551.0 RM. on 55/opt h.W South 443.0 443.0 443.0 S. 8702W 1089.0 99.019 139.01 1078.3 458 S. 8702W 1089.0 99.019 139.01 1078.3 458 S. 8702W 108.90 99.019 0.611.85 327.4 200.2 S. 645.1W 92.0 99.019 0.611.85 327.4 200.2 S. 645.1W 92.0 99.010 0.611.85 327.4 200.2 W. 80043W 197.0 1.613.2 98.690 31.8 1744 Te. R.M. on E.S./e pr.W.W WE 24 W. 80043W 197.0 1.613.2 98.690 31.8 1744 Te. R.M. on E.S./e pr.W.W WE 24 W. 80043W 197.2 190.00 4071.5 10 190.00 109.00 S. 844 197.2 197.2 190.00 197.7 100.23.964 100.23.964 S. 445.1 197.2 190.00 197.2 190.00 100.00 S. 445.1 197.2 197.2 190.00 100.00 100.00	s Thence										
South 551.0 551.0 RM. on 55/opt h.W South 443.0 443.0 443.0 S. 8702W 1089.0 99.019 139.01 1078.3 458 S. 8702W 1089.0 99.019 139.01 1078.3 458 S. 8702W 108.90 99.019 0.611.85 327.4 200.2 S. 645.1W 92.0 99.019 0.611.85 327.4 200.2 S. 645.1W 92.0 99.010 0.611.85 327.4 200.2 W. 80043W 197.0 1.613.2 98.690 31.8 1744 Te. R.M. on E.S./e pr.W.W WE 24 W. 80043W 197.0 1.613.2 98.690 31.8 1744 Te. R.M. on E.S./e pr.W.W WE 24 W. 80043W 197.2 190.00 4071.5 10 190.00 109.00 S. 844 197.2 197.2 190.00 197.7 100.23.964 100.23.964 S. 445.1 197.2 190.00 197.2 190.00 100.00 S. 445.1 197.2 197.2 190.00 100.00 100.00	A	20.000						20000	Farm		
South b 443.0 S. 8 + 2 M 1089.0 S. 8 + 2 M 129.2 S. 8 + 2 M 120.2 S. 8 + 2 M 120.2 S. 8 + 2 M 120.2 M. 80 + 4 M 10.13 Z M. 80 + 4 M 197.2 M. 80 + 4 M	WEST	3800,0				661 -		38000	and the second statement without the second statement is a second statement of	slagh	4/1
5.3732m 328.0 99810 0.6163 327.4 202 5.6655m 92.0 99272 12043 913 1111 N.80043m 197.0 16132 98690 31.8 194.4 To 2.46 on 5.510 profilm 24.91.0 4071.5 0 100.23 964 100.23 964 100.23 964 24.97.2 190.00 100.23 964 100.23 964 100.23 964 24.97.2 190.00 100.23 964 100.23 964 100.23 964 24.97.5 0 59.7.2 JUN 23 964 100.25 862m 24.97.6 190.00 100.23 964 100.25 862m 33.8 40.77.55 100.23 964 100.25 862m 33.8 40.77.55 100.25 964 100.25 97.2 33.8 40.77.55 100.25 964 100.25 97.2	·(South	351.0				221.0			AN. 24 4	stopen!	
5.3732m 328.0 99810 0.6163 327.4 202 5.6655m 92.0 99272 12043 913 1111 N.80043m 197.0 16132 98690 31.8 194.4 To 2.46 on 5.510 profilm 24.91.0 4071.5 0 100.23 964 100.23 964 100.23 964 24.97.2 190.00 100.23 964 100.23 964 100.23 964 24.97.2 190.00 100.23 964 100.23 964 100.23 964 24.97.5 0 59.7.2 JUN 23 964 100.25 862m 24.97.6 190.00 100.23 964 100.25 862m 33.8 40.77.55 100.23 964 100.25 862m 33.8 40.77.55 100.25 964 100.25 97.2 33.8 40.77.55 100.25 964 100.25 97.2	South	10000	88 4 1 6	12075		10702		150			
N.80°43W 19710 16132 98690 3118 1944 Te R.40 on E.S./a perform W. WE 25 The solution of the set of the	5 3037 W	2280	9991			3270					
N.80°43W 19710 16132 98690 3118 1944 Te R.40 on E.S./a perform W. WE 25 The solution of the set of the	5 6955W	92.0	99272					1/1			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	N. 80043W	1970	16132		310	Canada and a second secon		1944	To R.M. on A	E, Slopes	4/1 WE 237
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		1110		1001	2110						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						24910		4071.5		no	19/ at Van
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8										Fre Fre son son The anc
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	21					2459.2				can	JO JO JO JO JO JO JO JO JO JO JO JO JO J
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2					19000			RECEIVED	Adc	JOHN E. m Mr. G 951, Pa 9 point e point South c . is 551
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Lue Ville					529.2			JUN 2 3 1964	1 Nort	Gard' Gard' age 9 age 9 age 9 age 9 age 1 t Wes end c 51 var 51 var calcul
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									General Land Office	P 0	MITCHELL* rd's fiel e 9, date Vest of H Vest of H d of his varas wid culation
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										nd	ielo ateo f H: f H: his wid
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										of	d bo d Ap ighv ighv tra tra
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										tra	nsu orij vay vay ver
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										Ver	"Raw
2. Begins 2. Begins 38.00 8.19.6 8.19.6								4071.5		œ	no to y
								548.1		and	MAN 952 pro
	uin and an and							4619.6		the	Loug B -550
								3800-		ere	hby legit
								8196		1.8	VS.
		-									
Counter 73/18				-						1. 1.	21/0

	YED BY GARD							STATE willoughby 1949 DATE Page	11-12
T	Beginning at Fe			TUDES	BOI brs. DEPAR				1
COURSE	DISTANCE COS	SINE	N	S	E	w	D. M. D.	N. D. A.	S. D. A.
THENCE									
			The second second				GA.	eo-	
South	5132.0			5132,0			711,2	04 BM on fence	line at 20
5. 8°50W.	486.0 9881			480.2		74,6	Gard	note at this point	t" RM on ten
5. 8052E.	549.0 9880.	5 15414		542.4	84,6	. 81.6	line	at 11,205 1 vs	East-Runs
5.0005W	1124.0 7009.	00145		1124.0		1,6	N 88	952 F."	11
5.0°34 W	666.0 9999.	5 00989		666.0		6,6	5-1120	4.6 GARDReport	R.M. under
5, 0°01 W	524.0 1000	0 00029		524.0	7726	E-1.6 2	E - 1.6	4.6 7GARDReport HIT Good fence " " The	ce 5-3800 Set
SOUTH	4404.0	99		4404.0			RMa	+ 13, 349 (12	872,6)
SOUTH	1791.0			1791.0			(14,663.5) 61	14 VS 5.0fe	1d fence
N 870334	295.0 0427	5 99909	12,6			294,7	14,651	o pt. on olg	fenceline
West	255.0					255.0	1	along old	fence toflag,
02									
8									
ja l				14651.0	84.6	632,7	t		
12				12.6		84.6	the I		JOHN Fre
	15,200			14,638,4		548.1	No	North prove w South- add Total to NE	N E
- 27	14.638.4			2489.0			No way Highway	日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日	. M Gai
	TTIC			17097.4			y. of	h part of Gard tr width of vacancy h 14.638.4 va h 14.59.2 1 17.097.6 f E 239-G-4.	OHN E. MITC From Gard 1 1949-1951;
2	, 36/17			1709/1-				9-13-25 0 0) HELL* field page
92				17,100.)		RECEIVED	now	63 63	L**
1.						JUN 23 1964	knowing	ard aca 3.4 7.6	CHELL***INST. field book ' page 11, no
12						JUN 23 1904	and the state and the state and the	tr ncy va f	NO NO
07.						General Land Office	how he	trave: ncy. varas N from	day
8							0	traverse t cy. varas from NW2,	ST. k 'Ray Willoughby no date
2							ros	2, to	110
N							ssed	712	ug

	1		-								
6	5	×	M	. 2	1	6	5	¥	B	2	
	т		b	8			St i	1.7		L	
		BLK-	- 215				÷	BLK-	217	1.00	
19	2-2	71	22								
GH BL S	A RY.er.	pt.	-11 27	26	27	30	29	28	27		
T	D. - 15	2-	1	35 31	34	31	32	n	34	35	
17	11.1	3	4	1016	13	6	5	4	N	P	
					14	7	e	вяк	- 2/48		

BLK. T.J.





		• •		Stone de of Mt. Stone de of Mt. N. Side mkd. Rock	1 cor. 2
		I	Stone Md . ing out	Ston de med.	
)	5	4	3		
		630	Pala		
6	7	17	18	-	
9	8	16	19		
10	, II	15	20	61	62
	G. H. 8		RY. CO.		-
12	13	14	21	10	63
	В	LOCK	15		63
3	2	/1	22	9	
6		h den		-	64
4	5	6	7	8	6.0
	G H 8	SAR	Y CO.		
15	14	13	12	II	65
		LOCK			. 6.5

DOD'S TIE TO SPILLER'S BLOCK- 9

	Pon Hen Aga B.M.																92	73	72	53	52	33	32	//11	10
63 ⁶	294	277	262	239	238	207	206	169	168	131	130	93	92	57	2093 ^{1/24.} 56	2093 1/2 v. 55		1	in.	12	5%	21-3			
63	700	277	200	230	2.1			lat		13 100	120	12.	12	50	s det	-25-1	11					12			
									160						<u> </u>		91	74	71	54	51	,34	31	12	9
64	293	278	261	240	237	208	205	170	167	132	129	94	91	58	53	Weth dr.	4/1	214		511	Md Sale N	Hoine Red W	101 5 K 5112	Montered Montered non bis entre	1
	223		7.91		127		151	17.0	167 2	21	189	14		_Y /		0K			10-11-		Roca Ked Soola	Postil - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	131 131 131 131 131 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	550101011015013	
											100	05			C	51	90	75	70	55	50	35	30	13	8
	292	279	260	241	236	209	204	171	166	133	128	95	90	59	52	31	104	11.		ST.	1. 1 0	St	20	13	
65					100						12					C. M. S								10	
15	291	280	259	242	235	210	203	172	165	134	127	96	89	60	49	50	89	76	69	56	49	36	29	14	·
	201	100	2.10				& FI		14.00	13:		16	g.g.		plan	NO.				H. 8 T. C.	RY. CO.	- Shape	24		2
							1		BLOCK-	G-4		Carp 1				-	88	77	68	57	48	37	28	15	6
	2 90	281	258	243	234	211	202	173	164	135	126	97	88	61	48	47	00	"	00	51	-0	51	20		16
1	290		3.58	24	234	2-1/		123	100	13,000	176 6	44	88.1		. H. Y.	H7.				BL	DCK-9				
																-				<u> </u>		- 46.5			

62 Manualto 50 1 955 to 10 155 to 1

10082

Brewster Co: Rld: SK: #113

RE-SURVEY BY SPILLER

Por la

1







BLK. 335 Dold RE Md GARD'S SW7 BLK 241 Gard's construction is Haywire I lack this Tie and It is almost inaccessible to apy type of transportation . Should have it in 10 days, or YWEEKS-CARNEY 8 July 1964 counter 43123

D- 274

COUNTY OFFICERS FELIX P. McGAUGHY County Judge SARA PUGH County and District Clerk JIM SKINNER Sheriff V. G. HEIL Tax Assessor-Collector AVORA OWENS County Treasurer BRUCE C. SUTTON County Attorney

J. T. CARNEY JR. Surveyor COUNTY OF BREWSTER

Alpine, Texas

COMMISSIONERS

J. C. COOLEY Precinct No. 1

ROBERT VALADEZ Precinct No. 2

TOM YARBRO Precinct No. 3

REX IVEY JR. Precinct No. 4

J. P. PARKER J. P. Precinct No. 1

27 July 1964

JERRY SADLER, COMMISSIONER GENERAL LAND OFFICE AUSTIN, TEXAS

Attn: V.E. STERZING

Dear Mr. Sadler:

Attached is a sketch of additional ties in connection with a survey being made for Mr. David A. Witts of Dallas, Texas.

This survey is being made for the purpose of constructing an accurate ranch map of the former Maurice Minchen and W.M. Olliver Ranches in Brewster County.

This sketch represents ties made on the ground to determine as accurately as possible, the connection between Blocks G-4, G-11, T & St L Blocks 221, 240, and 241, H & TC Block 9, and GC & SF Block 335, also Block TJ.

Due to read conditions it has been impractical to make additional ties to corners in Block 9 and in Block 240.

To date it appears that I have sufficient evidence to establish the width of Block 335 from SE corner of Section 36, Block 221. Also latitude SE 36, Block 221 to North Line G-11.

I think that Mr. Gard did not go South beyond SW 7, Block 241. He was West of the old line of markers at this point and according to his plat, continued S.0°08'W, and at 1689 varas, built Rk Md, thence S.0°08'W-211 and S.89°52'E.-160. Contrary to his plat, the fence is not on line at any point between SW 6 and SW 18. Long range ties were made according to his field book titled "Olliver-Minchen", <u>not connected</u>. My work, I think, will be closer to Gard's construction than to either of the other various surveyors.on the overall picture, where Block 335 is involved.

I will appreciate any suggestions which you may find time to make regarding construction of Block 247 and the various <u>Scraps.</u>It appears that Block TJ will be reduced as I have found it on the West end.

Brauster 6. 913. 54. 113

Yours very truly, came J.T. Carney, Jr. County Surveyor

RECEIVED

JUL 29 1964

General Land Office

counter 43124

September 17, 1964

Mr. J. T. Carney, Jr. County Surveyor Alpine, Texas

> Re: Brewster County Surveys Mr. David A. Witts Assignment, J. T. Carney, Jr. Re-surveys

Dear Mr. Carney:

Pursuant to your request we have examined and studied your sketches and reports concerning the re-survey of parts of Blocks 221, 240, 241, T& St. L. Ry. Co., Block 335, GC&SF Ry. Co., and adjoining surveys in Brewster County, Texas.

You make specific statements in your letter regarding your recognition and interpretation of the Dod, Stovell, Harmon and Gard surveys in the subject area. Now in this connection we must bear in mind that all identified patented corners will have to be respected and consequently the construction of adjoining surveys may be effected accordingly. It does appear, however, from an overlay applied to your sketch dated June-July, 1964, received July 29, 1964 in Land Office, and which we have titled "Overlay No. 1", that your ground work and connecting line from Dod's SW corner Sec. 54, Block G-4 to the SE corner of Sec. 36, Elock 221 indicates that the pattern of surveys in between these points would conform to the patented field notes of the respective surveys. Enclosed is a copy of a sketch, compiled from these patented field notes, which is styled Exhibit A, to be used with this overlay No. 1.

The following curative measures are indicated by your survey: Corrected field notes for Section 27, T&St.L. Ry. Co., Block 241 are required before a patent may issue; corrected field notes for Section 1, T&St.L. Ry. Co., Block 247 are required if the abstract or patent is to be corrected; Good Faith Claimant application should be filed on the area South of Section 27, T&St.L. Ry. Co., Block 241 and East of Section 1, Block G-11.

Regarding the connection line to the NE corner Section 1, Block 335 and SW 18, Block 240, the corners you have identified along this line seem to fit the pattern of Dod and Gard. As to the Rk. Md. marked NW39, that must be in error as Stovell wrote the corrected field notes on which Section 38 was patented and this corner was not marked. The old Rk. Md. marked "SW18-240 seems to be acceptable for it fits very closely to the calls of all the previous surveyors who claim to have tied to the "L" corner at the SW corner of Section 14.

counter +3125

The maps you sent with your letter of September 1, 1964, together with

Page 2 J. T. Carney, Jr. 9/17/64

our overlay map are enclosed herewith. Also enclosed are the necessary forms to be used in connection with a Good Faith Claimant filing and other field note forms.

Sincerely yours,

JERRY SADLER, COMMISSIONER

JS/ves Enclosures

counter #3126





